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Any changes or anything? No.
Okay. Approval of meeting minutes is done. And public remarks?

MS. SAARELA: Did we all vote on the minutes?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
Public remarks?
Approval of agenda, any changes or anything, this is the time where you can make any changes on the public.

No. No changes. Okay. Thank you.
Public remarks?
Okay. Public hearings and we have a total number of cases nine. Please bear with me. We have only four board members in the office. So we missed the meeting last month so we postponed it to this and we'll try to do our best with these nine cases and we will give it hopefully the best of our ability of the board of the people and our staff.

Thank you so much.
And today's first case, PZ23-0039 Central Park Estates, north of Eleven Mile, east of Beck Road, parcel 50-22-16-300-055. The applicant is requesting a variance from the city of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section
3.8.2.C for an increase in maximum horizontal length of the building to 261 feet. 180 feet maximum, a variance of 81 feet.

Section 3.8.2.F for a reduction in the parking setback to 11.5 feet, per section 3.1.8.D. 20 feet required, a variance of 8.5 feet.

Section 5.10.1.B.vi for a reduction of the building setback from the abutting parking spaces to 17 feet. 25 feet required, a variance of 8 feet.

And Section 3.1.8.D to either allow areas with less than 50 -foot dimension to be considered as usable open space or reduce the required open space to allow areas with less than 50 -foot dimension to be considered as usable open space or reduce the required open space to 19,726 feet. 28,400 square feet required, a variance of 8,674 square feet. This property is zoned High-Density Multiple-Family, RM-2.

Is the applicant present, please?
Yes, please, Mr. Blair.
Yes, please. Tell your first and last name clearly for our secretary. If you're not an attorney, our secretary will take an oath, please.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Could you spell -- I know
your name but could you spell it for our court recorder.

MR. BOWMAN: Sure. Blair Bowman, B-l-a-i-r B-o-w-m-a-n. And it's Central Park South.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And are you an attorney?
MR. BOWMAN: I am educated as an attorney, but I don't have a $P$ number so $I$ can still tell all the attorney jokes.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Oh, cute. All right. Do you swear to tell the truth in this case?

MR. BOWMAN: I do.
MEMBER KRIEGER: All right. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr.
Blair, Sr. And you can proceed what way we can help you tonight. We'll do our best.

MR. BOWMAN: So what I want to do is start off -- I don't know if this will project up there. Hopefully it will.
(Document displayed.)
MR. BOWMAN: So this project is literally 20 years in the making. These sites -- the existing site or the subject site is zoned RM-2 and we're proposing and RM-2 structure on it. It was already previously
proposed along with -- and site plan approved for along with the existing Central Park townhouse community for an RM-2 facility called the Beck house.

The Beck house facility was an RM-2, facility as well. It was a very irregularly shaped facility and in that style and format two different parcels were established at the time of the site planning. And that's why it's somewhat oddly configured. And one of the reasons that we're here tonight is because even those these are jointly owned and commonly owned, because these, technically, were two separate parcels at the time they were approved, these property lines create some -- I'll say phantom down boundary lines between the facilities and the property. So, technically, we're required to come before you for at least a couple of these variances that relate to that. The third variance is relating to a parking setback. But $I$ think we really, truly do meet the full spirit and intent of the actual ordinance in that that's no living space on the first floor. It's literally underground or covered parking under the first level so it's not adjacent to living space. But it's recommended by the staff and it was unanimously
approved at the planning commission and technically that would also require a variance.

The variances all were done in the purpose of really trying to preserve as many of the natural features that existed on the site. They existed at the time that we had the Beck house site portion of this approved. There was even an MDEQ permit at the time that was approved for some wetland intrusion. And, you know, the vast majority of the site was preserved for both wetlands and woodlands. But in our effort now with this podium-style building we were able to pull that out.

And it's a little hard to see. It's the more beige footprint underneath. And you can see along with a detention and retention basin, which is much larger than what was previously necessary as another natural feature which will exist, we were able to pull about 20 percent further out of the sensitive environmental features. But that then with the design with elongating the facility created the need for the first variance.

And then the final variance is relating to open space. And again, I'll go back to this plan here.
(Document displayed.)
MR. BOWMAN: All of these areas back here are common open spaces that were dedicated to both projects at the time they were originally approved. Literally, I think that both projects at the time required something like 1.5 acres of open space and we had 14.8 acres dedicated. That is still existing. They have pathways and benches and are to be sustainably enjoyed by the residents only at these properties. We will per the Planning Commission approval put in another connection directly from the Central Park South property into the existing pathway as well.

So the final variance we would like to request be approved in the form and fashion that you allow for less dimension. Because, technically, our balconies are not quite 50 feet and the pathway itself is only considered to be eight or 10 feet. But in reality it's open to that entire almost 10 acres of complete open woodland space to be enjoyed.

And then in addition, I'll just add that it was approved for a wetlands permit. While we didn't have to do any mitigation under the previous approved plan, for the current approach to it, we actually were
required under the new regulations to create . 66 acres of additional wetlands on the site and we were able to find that onsite option. So at the end of the development activities there will be actually more wetlands on the site than existed when we began developing. So ...

And with that, I certainly would be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bowman, wonderful explanation.

On the backside of the administrator, can you turn on the TVs also, please? The production TVs. (Production displayed.)

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: He got it. Thank you.

Thank you. I really appreciate your presentation and everything.

I want to go from the City. Any comments at this moment on the City?

MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yeah, they are asking for four variances. And did a nice job in the site plan and it is a complicated site so we have no exceptions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Correspondence, Secretary Linda.
MEMBER KRIEGER: For this case 15 were
mailed. One returned. No objections. No approvals. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Linda.

Public hearing, anybody who would like to speak on this case. Anybody who wants to comment or anything this is the time where you can speak.

I really appreciate if you can come on the podium and tell your first and last name clearly.

This is the time.
Thank you so much. No public hearing.
Okay. And Mr. Senior, I have no objection. I have no comments on this. I really appreciate for your presentation and this is such a wonderful project on the city and looking forward to seeing you.

And I'm putting on the board to comment on the board.

Thank you, anybody on the board?
Okay. Looks like Dr. Sanghvi. Please go ahead.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
Good evening, Mr. Bowman.
MR. BOWMAN: Good evening.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I went and visited the
Central Park on Saturday morning. I had only seen it from the outside before. Inside it is a humongous subdivision inside. So will you kindly take me through the work that currently exists and what you are building new.

MR. BOWMAN: I'm going to put this back up here.

So what exists currently is off of Beck Road is all of the existing Central Park Estates townhouse community.

It has the clubhouse along Beck Road and then 44 building units between four and up to a eight individual luxury townhouse units.

What we were proposing to build -- and I might even have to borrow ...
(Retrieving document from galley) Could I borrow the plan?

MR. BOWMAN: So this is the proposed new structure and facility, 142 units RM-2 with a
single -- the first story will have parking underneath. And then a limited amount of perimeter parking. Again, that allowed us to reduce any impact on the adjacent natural features greatly.

And then you'll see the existing tennis courts to the south -- or to the north, I'm sorry. And then to the east, of course, is where you see some of the existing smaller townhouse units in the Central Park.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Very good. Thank you.
MR. BOWMAN: You're welcome.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I know you asked for a lot of variances but with the size of the property and everything you are doing, I have no problem. Because I think it's a high density area anyway. So I can understand your need and I can support your project. Thank you.

MR. BOWMAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.

Any other board member, please?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Um ...
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Linda, please
go ahead. Board Member.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Just for clarification, the distance to 11 Mile from this new building that you're going to have?

MR. BOWMAN: Boy, I'm not -- it's half a mile plus, I mean, I would say. It's right across from ...

You know where the Rose Senior Housing is?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MR. BOWMAN: That entrance is directly I
across from our entrance.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. So it will be complementary as well.

MR. BOWMAN: Correct. We actually -- that was one change from the site plan for the planned Beck house project. That actually -- our proposed entrance there actually shifted so it now aligns directly with the Rose Senior center entrance.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Thank you. I also am willing to approve your request.

MR. BOWMAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
Any other board member?
Okay. It's the time for the motion.

Michael Thompson.
MEMBER THOMPSON: The only question I had was how many units are going to be in the building?

MR. BOWMAN: 142.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 142.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. So there's 142 in the egress parking to going in off of Beck Road?

MR. BOWMAN: So there will also be as was planned for -- and that's why you can even see further it was going to be an integrated development.

There will be an entrance that will be coming through and a secondary access through the existing Central Park estates as well, too.

So there's a signalized intersection at the main entrance. There will be a gated entrance and then there will be the main entrance off of Beck Road for the new Central Park South.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. And then the last question I had was I know you mentioned the Rose Senior Center a couple of times. This is all ages, yes?

MR. BOWMAN: This is all ages. Very candidly, the original Beck house project was to be a senior housing project 162 units or 165 units and over
the years just certain conditions in the market just frankly -- and there's an awful lot of very good quality senior housing developments that have been planned and built in the city of Novi. It just impacted the feasibility of it.

I will say this that this is an elevator based project. The units are very generously sized. The amenities, we expect that we will see a very decent amount of seniors in our demographic as far as our customer base. But it is not exclusively geared toward seniors.

MEMBER THOMPSON: It didn't look like one. I was just making sure.

MR. BOWMAN: Yeah, sure.
CHAIRPERSON. PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Make a motion, Mr. Michael Thompson.

MEMBER THOMPSON: I move that we grant the variances in case PZ23-0039 sought by Central Park Estates South for the variances requested because the petitioner has shown difficulty -- practical difficulty requiring getting them passed.

Without the variance the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the
use of the property because of the unique layout of it. The property is unique because of the amount of wetlands that are on the site currently.

The petitioner did not create the condition. And actually, if I'm understanding it right, is adding to the amount of wetlands on it?

MR. BOWMAN: (Nods.)
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. So that's an upgrade.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because they're going to fit in and look similar. And the release is constant with the spirit and the intent of the ordinance with the amount of work done to preserve the wetlands in the area.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Second. All right. You only have four.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Roll call, please.

MS. FLETCHER: Chairperson Peddiboyina? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. MS. FLETCHER: Member Krieger?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Motion passes. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr.

Bowman. I really appreciate and good luck for your project.

Okay. I forgot to mention. As I told you, we have only four members out of six board members. There are nine cases. Out of nine, one is done. On eight cases, if anybody wants to change their mind to come for the next month, we can change your case to postpone. Because of a full attendance of four people instead of seven. Just giving you a heads up to less chances to more chances for your case.

That's what $I$ want to give you. Thank you. And coming to the second case. PZ23-0040, Matthew Burns, 24560 Glenda Street, north of 10 Mile Road, east of Taft, parcel 50-22-22-351-035. The applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.5 for a side yard setback
of three feet. 10 feet required, a variance of seven feet.

And Section 4.19.1.E.i for an increase in garage square foot coverage to 1,374 square feet. 850 square feet, a maximum variance of 524 square feet. This variance would accommodate a garage addition. This property is zoned one family residential, R-4.

Is the applicant present, please?
Yes. Please come to the podium and tell your first and last name clearly for our records. And if you're not an attorney, our secretary will take an oath.

MR. BURNS: Hi. My name is Matthew Burns.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Are you an attorney?
MR. BURNS: No.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. BURNS: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Mr. Matthew,
welcome. And continue on where we can help you
tonight. You can present your case, please.
MR. BURNS: Okay. Currently, what we would
like to do is get a side variance of seven feet in order to build a 24 by 30 foot garage in the existing parking spaces in our driveway. The original garage was a side entry. It still is a garage, but it's never worked for us for a place to park a vehicle since we purchased the property.

One of the reasons it doesn't work is the actual interior dimensions are 19 by 20, I believe it is, give or take an inch or two one way or the other.

And the dimensions inside, you can't actually pull a vehicle all the way up to the wall because when they poured the cement in the garage, they poured a three and a half-inch lip there.

Even in the event that they didn't do that then it takes up two and a half feet of the actual existing garage. Even if they didn't do that, it would be a pretty hard garage to park a full-size vehicle in.

In fact, I tried it one time unknowingly. I fully completely backed out, I was leaving the next and morning and lo and behold the door didn't close. So I learned early on that $I$ no longer could not park my large vehicle in there. That was when we first moved in about 28 years ago.

We currently would like to also point out that the reason that $I$ want to go the 30 -foot distance is I want to connect to the existing garage.

If $I$ do not -- if $I$ was to tear down the existing garage, it would cost a whole lot more money. And by connecting to the existing garage to get the roof pitches to line up, I really only need like a couple feet on each end. So that's where I came up with the 30 -feet and that's really about as far as I want to go anyway. It's matches the house perspectives in both directions, front and back.

The other thing I would like to point out is putting the garage in the existing spot where we're parking currently is also not going to cause any runoff issues since we are lower than the next door neighbor. They're at least a foot and a half higher at that point. So that runoff of any of any water would not be an issue. The runoff of the garage, if we get the variance, would just rundown the current driveway. And that's about it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Do you have any pictures you want to present tonight? MR. BURNS: Do I have what?
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 drainage; is that correct?
MR. BURNS: That is correct.
MR. HALL: Okay. With that we have no exceptions.
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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank you so much.

And correspondence, secretary?

MEMBER KRIEGER: For this case 32 were mailed. Two returned. Zero objections. Six approvals.

And all six are generally just stating their support.

That's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much.

Public hearing. Anybody would like to speak on this case you have three minutes each of you. Come and speak on the podium.

Okay. Looks like none.
Okay, I have no objection, Matthew. Thank you.

I'm open to the board.
Okay, Dr. Sanghvi?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
\(\square\)

I came and visited your garage space area and your thing. I think you don't have enough room to put such a big thing you want to put there. So your need for a bigger garage -- especially in Michigan weather is quite justifiable.

MR. BURNS: I think I saw you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: So I can support your
request. Thank you.
MR. BURNS: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.

Any other board member, please?
Okay. MEMBER Thompson, please.
MEMBER THOMPSON: So we have a picture of it here. So there's the house and then what is currently the garage that you pull into sideways now --

MR. BURNS: Yes.
MEMBER THOMPSON: -- you're going to put a window on the front of that or the window's already there?

MR. BURNS: There's a window on the existing garage; is that what you're asking?

MEMBER THOMPSON: On the existing. So this
new garage is going to be where you pull straight up your driveway and into it?

MR. BURNS: That's correct.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Rather than turn into it.
MR. BURNS: We'll be changing the road pitch to being in line with the current driveway.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So we hear a lot of cases that involve Walled Lake houses that are trying to get three feet off of the lot. And a point that was brought up in the last meeting was three feet doesn't ever allow someone to bring an air-conditioner around the house without trespassing on their neighbor's property or ever put something big in the backyard. Would there be a way to turn that and do like a put a three foot into a five foot setback?

MR. BURNS: That would require the garage to go to 22 feet and that would not be a good thing. MEMBER THOMPSON: What about cutting the garage that's currently on the house --

MR. BURNS: Maybe I can answer your question in another way. The garage that I proposed will have a door on the back also.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So you can go through it?

MR. BURNS: Right straight through. MEMBER THOMPSON: That helps.

MR. BURNS: Yeah. We kind of thought about that, too, to get to the backyard.

MEMBER THOMPSON: It's just turned into a common problem.

MR. BURNS: We also own the property
adjacent. It's 160 feet wide, the property. So we have 60 -- top of my head, it's got to be at least 70 feet on the house from the opposite side of the house. That is our property that we can get to the backyard with no problem.

MEMBER THOMPSON: That's all I have.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Any other board member, please.

Okay, Linda.
MEMBER KRIEGER: I drove by as well and I'm willing to support because, in comparison, the three feet between houses there's more distance because of the yard size. And then the intent, it's going to add value to the surrounding properties so I'll be able to support your request.

MR. BURNS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda. Motion time, Michael Thompson.

MEMBER THOMPSON: I move that we grant the variance in case PZ23-0040 sought by Matthew Burns for a three-foot setback because the petitioner has shown difficulty requiring a setback variance. Without the variance the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use adding the new garage to the existing roof lines. The property is unique.

Boy, this is ...
MEMBER SANGHVI: The look.
MEMBER THOMPSON: I'm sorry?
MEMBER SANGHVI: It's a very unique look.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. The property is
unique the way it sits. The petitioner did not create the condition and has made an exception where you would be able to go through the garage.

The relief granted would not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties and would fit in with the current condition of the neighborhood. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance especially being able to go
through the garage to get to the backyard. MEMBER SANGHVI: Second. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Roll call, please. MS. FLETCHER: Chairperson Peddiboyina? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. MS. FLETCHER: Member Krieger? MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. MS. FLETCHER: Member Sanghvi? MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes. MS. FLETCHER: Member Thompson? MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Motion passes. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good luck, Matthew. Congratulations.

MR. BURNS: Thank you very much.
Thank you, Sarah. Appreciate it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Case number three. PZ23-0041, MI Remodelers, 22526 Porter Street, north of Nine Mile, west of Taft, parcel 50-22-28-478-002. The applicant is requesting a variance from the city of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 4.19.1.E.i for an increase in garage square foot coverage to 1,459 square
feet. 850 square feet is the maximum, a variance of 609 square feet. This variance would be to accommodate a garage addition. This property is zoned One-Family Residential R-3.

Is the applicant present, please?
MR. MUEHLING: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Please come to the podium and tell your first and last name clearly for our secretary. And if you're not an attorney, my secretary will take the oath.

MR. MUEHLING: Gary Muehling, M-u-e-h-l-i-n-g.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Are you an attorney?
MR. MUEHLING: No.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Can you raise your right hand?

Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. MUEHLING: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Gary, please proceed where we can help you tonight on this case, please.

MR. MUEHLING: Thank you. I am with MI

Remodelers, licensed builder and we're looking to build a garage addition for my clients, the Krzemanski [sic], who are present.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: M-i.
MR. MUEHLING: M-i-n, I'm sorry.
So my clients have seven motor vehicles, including a motorcycle and six cars and trucks. My client does all of his own service to his vehicles as well as to his lawn maintenance to which he has a zero turn lawn mower, two regular lawn mowers, a snow blower, two chainsaws, a lawn edger and weed wacker.

And so the addition on the back of his existing garage here with a side rollup door will give him the accommodation to bring his equipment in and out while keeping all of his vehicles secure. And I'm hoping that you will grant us a variance today for those 609 square feet.
(Document displayed.)
MR. MUEHLING: From the front, the addition would be completely concealed by the existing garage.

Their property has a very special shape and so the back of the garage, this addition would be completely concealed and private and leaving plenty of
space for drainage and landscaping.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Are you done?
MR. MUEHLING: (Nods.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: From the City?
MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. He's just asking for single variance to make the garage larger. I do have a question for the applicant.

That's the rear yard we're saying we're going to add to the back of that elevation right there in that photograph?

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Yes, sir.
MR. HALL: So it looks like you might be removing some trees; is that correct?

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Yes.
MR. HALL: So when we do the permitting, they'll have to look at those trees to make sure they're not protected and we'll have to address that at the time.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: It's just one tree. It's an oak tree.

MR. HALL: So we'll -- just to let you know at the time of permitting, we'll have to look at that tree to make sure. There's an ordinance for trees in
the city of Novi. We'd have to do a replacement program if you do something like that. We'll look at that at permitting.

MR. MUEHLING: Okay.
MR. HALL: I just want to make you aware of that. Otherwise we have no exceptions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Okay, correspondence?

MEMBER KRIEGER: For this case, 33 were mailed. Zero returned. Zero objections. Four approvals.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Let's see, we have four general supports.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Linda.

Anybody in the public hearing, this is the time where you can speak on this case for three minutes.

Please come up.
Tell your first and last name clearly.
MR. KRZEMINSKI: Dennis Krzeminski. I think
you have the spelling. And I'm not an attorney.

I just want to say to piggy back with what my builder Gary has said, I am a car guy. And that's kind of my passion and my hobby, if you will, especially Corvettes. So I wanted to -- that's the main emphasis of this. As well as, like I said, I do my own maintenance, et cetera. I outsource nothing to any contractor. I do it all myself and I hope to continue that.

I have three letters of support from my neighbors, directly on each side and across the street. As you can hopefully see from that, the addition will pose no problem for anybody, either of my neighbors. There's woods on the west of the garage. There's woods directly behind. And with the berm and all the foliage on Taft Road, nobody will even see the garage addition from the front or either side.

And I also want to say I went to the -- I obtained HOA support. I filed that and they approved the addition in two days.

So there's no issues with the homeowner's association. And my wife and I have been here in this house -- we built this house. We have been here 32 years. I want to maintain that. But again, it's an
emphasis on my hobby and my passion which is -- which are cars and vehicles.

So that's about it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much. I appreciate.

Anybody in the audience.
Okay. Looks like none.
I'll put it on the board and they can speak.
Okay. Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
Good evening. I came and saw the property on
Saturday. I looked around. It's a nice, beautiful
home. It looks like you have got a side opening garage and the back garage also. So are you trying to bring it all into one line?

MR. KRZEMINSKI: No. Just the -- knock out the back wall of that garage and go directly back, you know, like 20 feet. That's it.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Well, I have no problem supporting your request. Thank you.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other board member?

Okay. Go ahead.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. So I was a car guy also. We had an auto salvage yard in Sterling Heights and worked on our own stuff there. Any thought to putting a garage door in the back instead of -- what was the thought of putting the door on the side instead of the back.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Well, that garage has a side entrance door. You can't see it. It's right -- right that way. Just a side entrance door.

And I wanted the entrance door in the back so I can pull the lawn mower at zero turn-in directly from the back instead of going on either side, if that makes sense.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So I'm seeing that you're pulling up the driveway right now.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Right.
MEMBER THOMPSON: And you can probably park like two vehicles maybe three inside the garage.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Correct.

MEMBER THOMPSON: And now you want to stack it so you can park deeper --

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Exactly.

MEMBER THOMPSON: -- into the garage?
MR. KRZEMINSKI: Yeah.
MEMBER THOMPSON: But by going deeper, if there's only a door on the side.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: There's a side entrance for just a walk through. And then there will be a -- say like a golf cart door, if you will, on the back which will go up and down, which I can have access with for the equipment and zero turn mower.

It's on that -- it's here.
(Pointing to drawing) Yeah, it's right
there.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Got it. That's the back?
MR. KRZEMINSKI: Yes.
MEMBER SANGHVI: The orientation is different.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. The drawing that we are looking at -- the drawing that we're looking at has the drawing just as a solid. Not as a door on the back.

MR. MUEHLING: We changed it from when I drafted that up to final.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: That's the architect I
engaged to make these plans and you can see the door there on the back.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. Especially working on equipment the though of, unfortunately, if a fire does happen. You would be able to get ...

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Exactly.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Good. I like that there's a door on the back also.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Michael.

Go ahead.
MEMBER KRIEGER: My dad liked to tinker with cars as well so I can totally support your request.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Coming to that, my City people mentioned about the trees. I'm also concerned about the trees. If anything is needed or my city -- our City says, you know, says to plant it in a tree, you need to be prepared.

And also your hobby, I appreciate for that one. And HOA, already got the HOA permission for the extension.

MR. KRZEMINSKI: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: That's one thing. Thank you on those things. And I wish you good luck. And let's see. Dr. Sanghvi, you want to say something?

MEMBER SANGHVI: May I make a motion?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please make a motion.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I move that we grant the variance in the case number PZ23-0041 at 22526 Porter Street, parcel number 50-22-28-478-002.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the city of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 4.19.1.E.i for an increase in the garage square foot coverage to 1,459 square feet, a variance of 609 square feet. This variance would accommodate a garage addition.

The petitioner has shown that practical without the variance he would be unreasonably prevented and limited in the use of his property in storing his equipment. The property is unique because it is a pie shape and it is a situation that is located in a such an area that it won't interfere for anybody.

This was not self-created by the petitioner.

The situation is requiring a variance. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with any additional surrounding properties. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance.

Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Roll call, please?

MS. FLETCHER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.

MS. FLETCHER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Good luck.
Thank you so much.
MR. KRZEMINSKI: Thank you all very much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: PZ23-0042, Za --
Z-a-c-h-a-r-y, R-z-o-t-k-i-e-w-i-c-z, 233 Bernstadt Street, south of South Lake Drive, east of Old Novi

Road, parcel 50-22-03-456-005. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance section 4.19.1.E.i for an increase in garage square foot coverage to 1,768 square feet. 850 square feet is the maximum, a variance of 918 square feet.

Section 4.19.1.E.v to allow the aggregate of all accessory buildings to exceed the principal building on the lot or parcel.

Section 4.19.1.G to allow accessory structure to be placed three feet from property line. Six feet minimum, a variance of 3 feet.

Section 4.19.1.J. to allow two detached accessory structures for a lot having less than 21,780 square feet of area. Maximum of one allowed, a variance of one additional. This variance would accommodate the addition of an accessory structure to the property. This property is zoned One-Family Residential, R-4.

Okay. Please go ahead and tell your first and last name clearly for our secretary. And if you're not an attorney, our secretary will take the oath.

MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: This is Zachary Rzotkiewicz. Last name spelled \(R-z-o-t-k-i-e-w-i-c-z\),

233 Berndstadt Street. I am not an attorney.
MEMBER KRIEGER: You're not an attorney. MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: No.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Do you swear or
affirm to tell the truth in this case?
MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Please go
ahead and present your case. If you have anything to present on the projector, you can show it to the audience and to us so we can do our best to you today.

MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: First of all, thank you for
your time. And a lot of context is required for this case so I'll try to be brief. This is a continuation of a relationship effort with the City of Novi with regards to our property being directly adjacent and surrounded by the Lakeshore Park, construction completed in about 2021 of Lakeshore Park. And that includes the main facility as well as several pavilions, a new road and parking lot that surrounds the entirety of our home.

Hopefully, you've had a chance to take a look
at the document provided. Let me just zoom in here real quick. But to kind of illustrate, what we're dealing with is, our property is the very corner of the Idlemere Park subdivision, as you can see here our property does extend the entirety of this photograph.

And as you can see, pavilion one has been constructed parallel to the property line and the new road wraps around our property itself.

If you're not familiar, the previous construction of Lakeshore Park did have a pavilion structure. However, it was rotated in a 90 angle compared to the current construction. As well as the elevation was significantly below the grade at which our properties meet.

This structure, I never had any issues with it when I lived at the property during that time. Even with amplified music or people attending the park it was fine. Never an issue.

The new construction, though, however has significantly raised elevation for drainage maintenance inside the park itself. It is rotated 90 degrees parallel to our property and the double eve structure of the house seems to amplify or at least project the
audio that's inside of the pavilion towards our residence.

A brief elevation study shows that the living space and the pavilion itself have about a difference of about three feet. The city promised to build a berm in part of the preconstruction negotiations; however, this berm is a total height of two feet. They did promise to but in foliage on this berm to achieve an 80 to 90 percent visibility reduction. However, while they're doing a good job at this, this is going to take probably a decade or more to achieve those promised numbers.

We also have park illumination which does in some areas reach the edge of the property line itself. So many nuances in terms of audible and visual in relation to the construction of the pavilion.

Moving on here before we get to the variances. To widen this structure, I had a design meeting with the City of Novi and I did propose two options, whether it be a fence or a structure itself to obstruct the direct visibility to the pavilion from both sides. However, according to elevation study, the structure would have to be at least twelve feet to
block out visibility from standing within the pavilion to our living space. They said that with approvals, the most they would allow is eight foot. And the city had absolutely no interest in building a fence or structure themselves. It would have to be totally up to me in this case.

So why the structure? The structure is actually the most cost effective and practical thing I can construct at my own expense to achieve the minimum height as well as length to block out Pavilion One at Lakeshore Park.

The structure is a very simple steel structure, run of the mill. Nothing special about it. Colors and design could be done to match the actual pavilion, Lakeshore Park pavilion. It has a steel roof as well.

This is a mockup -- this is not real -- of what that might look like from the park's perspective as well as our perspective on the property. And then, finally, views for the four seasons of what that looks like standing inside of our yard towards the park.

And, also importantly, from the park into our house, our kitchen space is completely visible at
night. The park is lit up \(24 / 7\) and people do go into the park after dusk even though it's closed. So there's a little bit of a privacy concern there.

If we take a look at the site plan, a simple 50 by 20 foot structure at twelve foot wall height with two foot pitch structure totalling 14 feet in height would be significant in obstructing the visual from the house to the park and the park to the house. As well as putting some minimal barrier in terms of audio reduction. We know that audio reduction cannot be completely solved. The trees will help in time, but there's no physical barrier between our bedroom or living space in the park itself.
(Playing cell phone audio) Just to give you a sample of what that sounds like throughout the season. Whether it's 10 or a hundred people in the park having a constant drone of individuals in the park amplified music what is not allow but still permitted during city events. It's a constant thing we have to deal with.

I'm here today to propose this structure as a possible solution to solving that issue for us. It does require the four variances listed. Why the three
foot setback? Simply because it is next to a perpetually undeveloped area, merely a space-saving desire.

Why 50 feet by 20 feet? Because that would at least give us a field of view (phonetic) blockage of the pavilion itself.

Why a square footage increase the previous owner built a detached garage which is nice on the married third lot which bumps our accessory structure square footage well over the limit. However, as stated before, a structure is far more cost effective and actually effective in terms of blocking the visual and audible nuances -- nuisances from the park itself.

Any questions?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: How do you pronounce your first name? Jackary (phonetic). MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: Zachary.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: You have manufacture.

I would like to see from the city?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Beth?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Attorney?
MS. SAARELA: So I just want to let you know
that the director of Parks and Rec was able to speak with us on this matter ahead of time and he does actually think that this is a good solution for a buffer from the park. So the parks director doesn't have any objection to this variance and he thinks it would prevent some of this noise from entering into the property.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. MS. SAARELA: That's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Beth. I appreciate it.

Okay. From the City?
MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, sir.
MR. HALL: Yes. The variances he's asking for are well within reason. Just as a note, by right they're allowed to have one detached structure. They have that so a variance for the second detached structure would be warranted.

Without that I said no exceptions.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.
I appreciate it.
And correspondence, Secretary?
MEMBER KRIEGER: In this case 21 were mailed. Zero returned. Zero objections. Two approvals.
And they're both generalized support for the petition.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda. I appreciate.
From the public, anybody would like to speak on this case? Three minutes each of you, anybody.
This is the time where you can speak.
Looks like none.
Okay. Zachary, you did a good presentation and as my attorney said the Parks and Recreation people think also, and my City. And I'm putting the board to talk on this case.
And Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please go ahead, sir.
MEMBER SANGHVI: All right. Good evening.
MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: Good evening.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I came and visited your
street and went down inside all the way.
MR. HALL: Nice.
```
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Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.

Any other board member, please?
Okay. Mr. Michael Thompson.
MEMBER THOMPSON: What is the garage going to be used for; the thousand square feet?

MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: Primarily storage. The existing shed would be destroyed in the process because that would exceed the limit. And one more as storage, honestly, $I$ don't have enough stuff to put in a structure of that size at this point. But our family is growing. We would like to put our workout equipment out there to free up some place other places and
primarily use it as storage and workout area.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. You compare this
versus like a fence?
MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: Yeah. So fence-wise the highest with approval we can go is eight feet.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Eight feet.
MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: Again, looking out the
outer window or the back door you would be looking at like this.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Understood.
MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: So it doesn't really
achieve what we're trying to go for here.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA:
MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Michael.
Linda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: My question when you put it up, are you going to paint it to match the home or like a brown or green that blends and disappears?

MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: Yeah. So we want to go more of like a natural forestry brown and black scheme.

The steel does come precolored. It would be a similar look to the current roof of Lakeshore Park. However, we would go in with a brown or black scheme.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. And then I can totally understand over the years driving in there was a little entrance place where you had to stop and the kids from the rec center would ask you where you're from. Now that I drive through the last two summers and the place is packed all summer using the entire area. And the structure itself, the noise that I have heard from there.

And then I drove down your street and I was like, "Oh my goodness." I couldn't believe it.

And the look at the trees, yes, the pines that are there, they're not going to -- they don't cut it as far as noise, and then light at night. And you don't know who is coming and going. Anybody can come and go now. And then because you can't have a twelve foot fence I totally would approve for your request for this structure.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda. Okay. Dr. Sanghvi, can you make a motion, please.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I move that we grant the variance in the case number PZ23-0042 at 233 Bernstadt Street, parcel number 50-22-03-456-005. The applicant is requesting a variance from the city of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 4.19.1.E.i for an increase in the garage square foot coverage of 1,768 square feet, which is a variance of 918 square feet.

And Section 4.19.1.E.v, to allow the aggregate of all accessory buildings to exceed the principal building on the lot or parcel; and Section 4.1 9.1.G to allow accessory structure to be placed three feet from the property line, a variance of three feet.

And section 4.19.1.J to allow two detached accessory structures for a lot having less than 21,780 square feet of area, maximum of one allowed, a variance of one additional structure.

And the petitioner requires variances because he has shown practical difficulty requiring all these variances because of the rights of reasons including that without this -- including the restructuring and development of the Lakeshore Park, we changed the
topography of this area completely. And also this property is located at the far end of this street and not likely to interfere with anybody else's property.

And without the variance the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented and limited with respect to the use of his property. The property is unique because of its location and at the end of the street as well as the shape of this property.

The petitioner did not create the condition requiring all these variances and the relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with the surrounding properties and the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance.

Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, both of you.

Okay. Roll call, please.
MS. FLETCHER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Sanghvi?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good luck. Thank you so much.

MR. RZOTKIEWICZ: Thank you for your time.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. PZ23-0043,
Novi Pet Resort, south of Grand River and west of Novi Road, parcel 50-22-22-276-017. The applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.18.D for a reduction of exterior side yard setback to 10 feet, variance of 30 feet.

Section 4.46.4.B and 4.46.4.D to allow outdoor facilities to be located in the exterior side yard within the required setback.

Section 4.19.2.F.i to allow refuse bin to be located in the exterior side yard.

And section 5.4 to waive the requirement For designated loading space. This property is zoned Light Industrial, L-1.

Okay. Please go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly for our secretary. If you're not
an attorney, my secretary will go ahead and take the oath. Please go ahead.

MR. AULEPP: Thank you. My name is
Christopher. First name is spelled
C-h-r-i-s-t-o-p-h-e-r. Last name is Aulepp, spelled A-u-l-e and then two Ps like Pablo Picasso.

I am an attorney. I am -- moved here recently. I am a licensed attorney in the state of Missouri, but I'm here today on my own behalf, not acting as an attorney for anybody else. I'm the one who has asked for this.

I want to build a 10,000 -- or, I'm sorry. A 9,350 square foot pet resort facility here in the city of Novi.

So anyway I would be glad to swear in if you want me to.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Does he?
MS. SAARELA: It's fine.
MEMBER KRIEGER: You're good.
MR. AULEPP: Okay. I just wanted to make clear of that.
(Displaying phone) Anyway, here is where the property is located at. You have here Novi Road going
to the north and right below it, to the south side here, 10 Mile Road. And if $I$ zoom in further, you can see here are some train tracks. This particular piece of property is located at what's been designated Here. It's on the west side of Novi Road.

The property actually is very unique in that it is bounded on four sides by other roads. So it has a front yard on every single side, which means I have no side yard. I have no backyard. You know, to build any type of prop -- to build anything on this piece of property, to put in a refuse bin, I need you to grant the variance so that $I$ can put a -- you know, the trash receptacle, the refuse bin in there.

Because with all four sides being frontage, right now it's undevelopable. You know, nobody has developed it. It's a vacant plan.

I also need a variance to -- from the side yard on the setback, there is, if I Zoom out here ...

On the actual piece of property there are two easements. One runs from the south in a northwesterly general direction and another easement runs, as I indicated here, from east to west, which cuts down the actual usage of the property.

Furthermore, on the east side of the property running parallel to and adjacent to Novi Road, a little more than a decade ago the railroad tracks that are just to the north of the property, the city built a bridge that goes over the railroad tracks.

And in order to accomplish that, they built up a berm on the side to support the road. And so if you come from Novi Road heading west, there's a giant drop-off of more than a dozen feet.

Which makes anything east in between where this giant drop-off is on Novi Road and then where the County, the Oakland County easement is, there's literally not enough space to build anything there. So everything would have to be built on the west side of the easement. So one of the variances that I'm requesting is to have -- if you'll grant the variance, then I can put in the building and I'm asking for that.

You can see in here to the north side is where I want to put the trash receptacle. And then this would be a pet boarding facility and the dogs need a place to be able to go outside and use the restroom and play and be dogs.

And again, because there is no -- there's all
four sides are front yard, I have to put the outdoor play area somewhere on the property. So one of the variances that I'm requesting for addresses that. It would allow me to put what you see here on the south side of the property. That's where I'd put the outdoor play areas.

The City of Novi, I believe, had no objections last time I was aware. And I would be glad to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. From the City?

MR. HALL: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
Yes, he's asking for a few variances and the site is very unique that it has four street frontages. So it is very difficult to plan a site with that. I believe that the parcel was created by part of the road reconfiguration for Novi Road for the bridge over the railroad tracks. So there was some hardships there also. So saying that, we have no exceptions to what he's asking for.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Correspondence.

MEMBER KRIEGER: 13 were mailed. Three
returns. Zero objections. Zero approvals.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Anybody in the public to speak on this case, please?

Okay. Christopher, you said nine thousand -MR. AULEPP: 9,350 square feet.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 9,350.
MR. AULEPP: That's just the footprint of the building. Not the parking lot and the water retention and outdoor play areas. I don't know the square footage of those, but it is in the plans that we submitted to the city which I think they had no objection to.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Is it a pet resort?
MR. AULEPP: Is what?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Is it a pet resort?
MR. AULEPP: Yes. A pet resort, is that what you said?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
MR. AULEPP: Yeah. So it would be dog day care and dog boarding.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: In this 9,350
square feet how many we can accommodate at one time?

MR. AULEPP: That depends on the number of -that depends on the number of and the size of the kennels. But the facility that I propose, the floor plan we submitted would have 150 .

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 150?
MR. AULEPP: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And let's talk on the board. Open to the board members.

Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and visited your property the other day on Saturday. Drove around. There's nothing to see.

MR. AULEPP: Right. It's empty.
MEMBER SANGHVI: It was even hard to locate, where your pet resort is going to be over there and it is all wide open space and I think you won't disturb anybody on whatever you build there. So I have no difficulty supporting your request. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.

## Linda?

MEMBER KRIEGER: The fencing is going to be to the south. Is it going to be enclosed or would
people driving by be able to see the dogs that are out? MR. AULEPP: So the fencing would be enclosed so that the dogs don't escape. So yes.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Right.
MR. AULEPP: The fencing -- depends which direction you're coming from. Because if you're coming southbound on Novi Road, you're way up high as you go over those train tracks. So you're a good 15 or 20 feet, $I$ don't know how high you are exactly but you're more than 10 feet. That berm is huge. So -- and then if you add the height of whatever you're elevated sitting in the car, I would estimate you're about 15 to 20 feet above ground level of what you see on the west side here.

So for a person who is driving southbound on Novi Road and coming into this direction, they would be able to see inside of the fencing. I see that as a plus. People get to see that there's dogs out there and hopefully they'll want to come to my place.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Right. And the trucks that are usually going by, the cargo trucks that are driving by, I'm thinking more of like safety of the pet, if somebody wanted to steal the dog, how are they -- like
children. If you have kids --
MR. AULEPP: If somebody wanted to steal the dog?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah, sure. Like kids in the KINDERCARE, that they have these fences. Because I have dogs too, so that's what $I$ would be thinking if $I$ wanted to board my dog.

MR. AULEPP: The dogs are not kept overnight outside. The dogs are kept inside.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Right.
MR. AULEPP: They're only outside in this proposed play area with -- which would be right here on the south side. They're only out there when there are employees out there with the animals. There's always employees with the animals any time they're not inside of their kennel to make sure -- for the dog's safety, but also for the public's safety to make sure a dog doesn't escape.

Everything is proposed to be completely
fenced in. And I don't know how a member of the public would get in and steal a dog. Hopefully the police would come to the rescue if $9-1-1$ was called. I don't know how to answer that scenario of yours.
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MEMBER KRIEGER: If you had it fenced in, like the fencing is -- Guess you could see in it.

Is it obtrusive or $I$ don't know what to call it.

MR. HALL: Chain link?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Chain link with the green?
MR. AULEPP: No. It's not chain link because dogs can climb chain link fences. It's a special type of fencing that is used to prevent -- and I don't know the technical name of it.

But there's a special type of fencing that you use so that the dogs can't -- for the escape artists that they can't climb it. It's also -- you can't see through it. I'm just saying that somebody driving on the south side will be so far up above it, they'll probably be able to see down into it.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Right. They'd be driving so fast they wouldn't see it anyway.

MR. AULEPP: But if you're driving on Gen-Mar Road, which is the three sides on the south, the north and the west side, the fencing is going to be -- nobody will be able to see it from those directions. And somebody coming from the south on Novi

Road going to the north shouldn't be able to see inside either.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Okay, Linda. Can you make a motion, please? MEMBER KRIEGER: No other questions? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No.

MEMBER KRIEGER: For this case, PZ23-0043, I move that we grant the request sought by the petitioner. Without the variance the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because, as he stated, there are four sides, four frontages that he has to deal with.

The property is unique because of the incline next to -- because of the train tracks, the road has made it unique.

The petitioner did not create the condition because he has to deal with the slope and putting in the building and the topography and the four frontages.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because of its location.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it's a reasonable request.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Roll call, please.

MS. FLETCHER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations.
MR. AULEPP: Appreciate.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: PZ23-0045 Ronnie
and Wafaa Jamil, 1927 West Lake Drive, south of Pontiac Trail, east of West Park Drive, parcel 50-22-03-131-026. The applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1 .5 for a side yard setback of 4 feet, 10
feet required, a variance of six feet; for an aggregate total of 8 feet, 25 feet required, variance of 17 feet, for an increase in lot coverage to 37 percent -- 25 percent maximum, a variance of 12 percent.

This variance would accommodate the building of a new home. This property is zoned One-Family Residential, R-4.

Is the applicant there, please?
Please come to the podium. Tell your first and last name clearly. You can tell the both the names clearly for our secretary. If you're not an attorney, our secretary will take the oath.

MR. MEROGI: Name is Gary Merogi. Spelling of last name: M like Mary, E like Edward, R-o, G like George, I.

I'm engineer and I prepared the site plan for this property.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Are you both going to speak.

MR. SAMONA: He's going to speak.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Are you an attorney?
MR. MEROGI: No.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Do you swear or affirm to
tell the truth in this case?

MR. MEROGI: Yes.

MR. SAMONA: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Merogi and friend, both can go ahead and you can present your case where we can help you tonight.

MR. MEROGI: We have a meeting with the Zoning Board last month. And the requirement was to put four feet from each side of the property. So we did this and we have the entrance to be recessed inside the building. So the entrance is inside the building. It would be inside the building.

And you can tell here in the drawing that I have some -- one second here.
(Document displayed.)
MR. MEROGI: See here. There's like a porch. Seven inches high. It's wooden with the planks. Then here I have two steps that go inside. From here we go inside the house.

And here also we extended the house to the back and I put a ray (phonetic) here for this neighbor and the other neighbor on the side here. Line for the
view of the lake.
So I have here four feet and four Feet.
That's the size that is required by the first meeting last month, zoning meeting.

That's it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Anything you would like to add?
Do you want to speak anything?
MR. SAMONA: No. Basically -- my name is Rad R-a-a-d, S-a-m-o-n-a.

Basically, last month they required us to have a four-feet setback on each side and we got all that prepared for that. Simple as that.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
From the City.
MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I would like to thank the applicant for working with us. We were discussing that side entry and having it being an alcove inside, which is very nice. Thank you.

The patio he has, that's extended right to the property line. That goes right to the zero property line, but the ordinance allows for a nine-inch
element there. It can't be higher than nine inches and they're presenting seven. So that is within tolerance of the ordinance.

The plan doesn't show any utility condensers or generators on the plan. When we go in for final design, we'll have to look at accommodations for drainage. We've already discussed that of how that drainage would work through those areas. It's very tight there.

And we also discussed that -- the house itself would have to be architecturally designed because the walls are closer than five feet in which case they'll have to be rated and then there will be limitation on the windows of how much they can handle per side. So we discussed that also.

So with those understandings, we have no objection. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Correspondence, secretary?
MEMBER KRIEGER: In this case 24 were mailed. One returned. Zero objections. Two approvals.

Approval is from the Houghtons. "We support the Jamils building a new home at 1927 West Lake with
the requested variances."
And the second one is from -- "we're the neighbors directly to the south of the Jamils. We fully support the variances as requested, the site plan as updated in September 2023. Note that as of today the agenda packet still has the original plan."

Which they did not support. And that is from Roberts Hull and Brenda Topp (phonetic).

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
Public hearing. Anybody would like to speak on this case?

Yeah. Please come to the podium and tell your first and last name clearly for our secretary.

MR. HOUGHTON: Good evening. I am Kurt
Houghton, K-u-r-t, Houghton, H-o-u-g-h-t-o-n. I am the neighbor directly to the north of the Jamils and I just wanted to echo the comments from the city. Really appreciate the Jamils coming back with the requested variances that are consistent with the other new homes in the Bentley sub of the past 20 plus years.

And I fully support the Jamils building a new home and it will greatly improve the neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.

Anybody?
Looks like none. From the board?
Anybody would like to speak. Doctor Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
I came and visited your place on Saturday and drove around. I have been here a lot. The best way to describe it is like a Band-Aid. It's a tiny little street there. I don't know how much depth you have, but the width is so narrow that you can't build anything worthwhile without a lot of variances. So I can understand your need for four variances that most of the other people that might need.

MR. SAMONA: That's true.
MEMBER SANGHVI: The only question I have is how much -- you have a big tree on the side there somewhere, I thought. What are you going to do with it?

MR. MEROGI: It's in the very end near the lake.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay.
MR. MEROGI: Actually, somewhere it's here.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Right.
MR. MEROGI: I think this will --
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MEMBER SANGHVI: It's going to stay.
MR. MEROGI: Nothing to do with that.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Very good. Considering the
size of your lot and the house you want to build, all I
can say is I wish you luck.
MR. MEROGI: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr.
Sanghvi.
Any other board member?
Okay, Mr. Thompson.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Was this -- last month we
weren't here. The meeting was canceled. So it was two
months ago. But was this where the front door was kind
of on an angle two months ago?
MR. MEROGI: Yes.
MEMBER THOMPSON: And now it's tucked back in
the middle of the house?
MR. MEROGI: Now we put it -- it's recessed
inside the house.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
MR. MEROGI: You can see here it is
somewhere --

```
    MEMBER THOMPSON: Yup.

MR. MEROGI: -- it is here. This is the door, main door.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. Just going from memory, were you guys asking for two or three feet off the property line originally?

MR. MEROGI: Yes. First step seven inches and then two risers, each one seven inches here. So total will be 21 inches above this concrete here.

And from here, it's 32-inches high.
And here is around, I think, to 28 inches.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
MR. MEROGI: The house from the ground, natural ground. Of the grass.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
And I understood, right, the City is going to be happy with the placement of the HVAC and generator?

MR. HALL: We can design that. You are correct. It was narrower than that before when they fist visited, first presentation.

MR. MEROGI: Everything would be in the back.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: The generator is in the backside.

MR. MEROGI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Linda. Can you make a motion?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I wanted to say just that I appreciate you going back and giving four feet because it's a dead end road that part of West Lake and that the neighboring homes are just as tight. So four and four gives eight feet, which is good for the fire department. Because there was a fire in the past on the other -- farther down. And because they're so close. So I appreciate your effort in doing that. MR. MEROGI: Sure.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And I guess I'll go right into the motion, then.

I move that we grant the variance request for PZ23-0055 sought by the petitioner that shows a practical difficulty requiring the request. The petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because of its narrowness and length and distance between neighbors.

The property is unique because of its location on West Lake. The petitioner did not create
the condition because of its previously having a home there. So he's building a new home.

The request granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because they're similar in nature with narrowness and fire prevention siding.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because of the -- the environment of the Walled Lake area.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Roll call, please?
MS. FLETCHER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Motion passes.
MR. MEROGI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations.

PZ23-0048, the Goddard School of Novi, 48600 Grand River, north of Grand River Avenue, east of Wixom Road. Parcel 50-22-17-126-012. The applicant is requesting variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 4.12.2.ii for.
(Pause as previous parties exit.)
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening. My name is Kevin --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Hold on one second. I'm not done.

Okay. I'm starting at the beginning.
PZ23-0048, the Goddard School Novi, 48600 Grand River Avenue, north of Grand River, east of the Wixom Road. Parcel 50-22-17-126-012.

The applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 4.12.2.ii.A to allow for the amount of the front yard setback, between the minimum required park setback and the building facade line used for parking, to consist of 60 percent of that area. A maximum allowed 50 percent, a variance of 10 percent.
4.19.1.B slash 4.19.2.A. to allow an accessory structure to be placed in the front yard.

Accessory structures not allowed in the front yard; section 4.19.1.J. to allow four detached accessory structures for a lot having more than 21,780 square feet of area. Maximum of two allowed, variance of two additional.

The property is zoned General Business, B-3.
Okay. Please go ahead and tell your first and last name clearly, sir. And if you're not an attorney, please give the oath to my secretary.

MR. McDEVITT: My name is Kevin McDevitt, M-c-d-e-v-i-t-t. I am not an attorney. I am the engineer on the project.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. McDEVITT: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: What about the other people who is talking on this case?

MR. McDEVITT: Oh, I'm sorry.
With me here today are Derick Doe, the owner of the facility, D-e-r-i-c-k, D-o-e. And Jennifer Elmore, the architect on the project. Her last name is spelled E-l-m-o-r-e.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.

Please go ahead and present your case, sir, where we can help you tonight on this case.
(Document displayed.)
MR. McDEVITT: I guess I should go through them one at a time that way it keeps it all together here. So the first variance has to do with the parking area allowed within the space between the front parking setback, which is this line here, and the front building facade.
(Demonstrating) So this property has both on Twelve Mile and on Grand River Avenue. Grand River Avenue acts as the usage frontage for the project where Twelve Mile is essentially kind of the back area for this facility.

For the Grand River frontage in order to maintain the backside of the building for play areas, open spaces, storm water management, we had to put most of the parking on the front side. This created about 60 percent from the property line to property line between the building setback and the side to be used as parking.

If you consider both frontages, the only parking on the back -- or on the Twelve Mile Road side
that is in that frontage area is this here. So if you define both of them they would be well under 50 percent, but because we're kind of forced to put all the parking on one side in order to maintain the security and open area in the back for the usage of the school, kind of forced us to over park the front.

Right now the amount of parking being proposed on the site I think is one more than the minimum required. So we really couldn't go down in spaces at all and we've pretty much fully parked this side yard area, too. So we can't really increase the parking anywhere else to make for the additional front. So that's our justification for that variance.

The second variance in regards to the structure and front yards setback, as we said, we have two front yards opposing each other. So we got Twelve Mile yard -- I'm sorry.

Grand River and Twelve Mile Road. Right now the existing dumpster is what we're proposing to convert into a small storage structure for, basically, the storage of lawn equipment and the playground canopies during the wintertime, the winter months. So they can be brought in out of the elements to keep them
looking good. So that's one of the variances that have that structure in a front yard setback.

As I said, Twelve Mile Road kind of acts as the barrier area for this parcel. Across the street is the large marina building and an automotive maintenance facility. So really not usages that -- they're not residential. And I think it's the right place for it.

And the dumpster, then, is going to be moved into the interior side yard over here.

That dumpster enclosure is also going to match the sod of the building, the side entrance and the nice wood doors that are painted to kind of match the colors of the building.

The number of structures that we're asking for is due to the fact that we do want the small storage building. And we got one existing canopy on the existing play structure and then we're going to be adding two more play structures here and here. One of them for pre-K and the other one for ...

Elementary school age, correct?
MR. SAMONA: Yes. The one on the east is for infants and toddlers. That's not really an addition. It's really a relocation of the existing --

MR. McDEVITT: Correct.
MR. SAMONA: -- play structure which is currently on the east side of the building. So the idea is just to remove that one --

MR. McDEVITT: This one here?
MR. SAMONA: Yeah. And then essentially
moving that one due north.
MR. McDEVITT: Here?
MR. SAMONA: Yes.
MR. McDEVITT: So those play structures will
March the kind of the look of the existing play structure.

So these are not going to be cheap-looking temporary popup structures. These are kind of what the existing one looks like right now. And the two others that will kind of be either of side of them, they're a different manufacturer. But they'll have the same basic type of canopy. Just a little smaller.

So if any of you have grandchildren or children, you know these structures get extremely hot when they're out in the sun so these would add shade and keep the children comfortable.
```

I think that was it for ...

```
 maintained and closed as well.

I personally own and operate the school. I'm there every day and that's a really important feature not only for safety. It's also important for kind of the look and feel of the curb aspect of the Grand River corridor area.

So they -- we invested a lot of time and energy in making sure what we were looking to build there was a nice product. It complemented the existing structure. It has close mechanisms to make sure they're always closed. The idea is the trash removal service will be here once a week. Initially we had talked about like a single-wide versus double.

Currently we have a single-wide dumpster. With a larger facility, we needed double-wide service. And the idea is we will expect to have them pick up once a week.

And, you know, in terms of kind of filling that at the end of each day, the team, as well as kind of our cleaning team, will be managing that as well as myself.

So, you know, if you've been out and kind of been by our existing facility, I think you should expect to see that our existing structure is closed.

Example: When I left this afternoon before coming here, I went by. We had our service because we have a Tuesday afternoon pickup service and I had made sure those doors were closed.

So it's really important. And I say, you know, the structure itself is being built in a way where it will have latches.

There's a side door on there as well. Where, you know, there's access point beyond getting in the front.

You know, that's our expectation and we think it's a good plan and it works operationally for us as well as it works well for the trash removal service company.

MR. HALL: Thank you. With that, we have no exceptions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.

Correspondence, Secretary? Linda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: 16 were mailed. One returned. Zero objections. Zero approvals.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Public hearing? Anybody would like to speak
```

on this case, please?

```

Looks like none.
Okay. Thank you so much for the good presentation. I saw on a couple years back and I came to your school for another kid to drop in the kindergarten. And it's nice maintained and I seen that whenever I went to the school, you know. Yeah. As per my knowledge.

I'm opening to the board to see what they're going to say.

Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and visited your site on Saturday morning. Entered through the Grand River and went around all the way back on to the Twelve Mile Road. I just want to be reminded of how many students you have in this school.

MR. SAMONA: We're currently licensed for 154. Operationally, say 144 is a more realistic number. Currently, we operate on a daily basis between about 120 and 130 students.

MEMBER SANGHVI: And what is the age group?
MR. SAMONA: Age group is from six weeks to six years of age. Most of our students start out with
us really young. Anywhere from six months or a year, maybe two years of age, and they kind of graduate out of our program and transition into kindergarten.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
MR. SAMONA: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I looked around and I tried to see -- visualize what you are trying to show on the diagram. It looks very different in the diagram than it might look over there when you go to see it, the site. But it's very impressive.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Dr. Sanghvi.
Any other board member, please?
Okay. Linda, can you make a motion, please? MEMBER KRIEGER: In this case I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ23-0048 sought by the petitioner. The petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because he has two fronts, Grand River and Twelve Mile Road, as explained during the presentation. The property is unique because of this location. The petitioner did not create the condition
because the building is as is. And the dumpster will be facing the Grand River door -- the doors, but they will be enclosed, as the petitioner has stated.

The grant will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because of its neighboring businesses.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because of -- they're working with the ordinances that exist.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Somebody make a second.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Roll call, please.
MS. FLETCHER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, ma'am.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.

MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Motion passes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations. And please stay there.

PZ23-0015, the Goddard School of Novi, 48600 Grand River Avenue, north of Grand River Avenue and east of Wixom Road. Parcel 50-22-17-126-012.

The applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi sign ordinance section 28-5(b)(1)a to allow four wall signs -- maximum of one wall sign allowed, a variance of three signs -- to allow a 129.5 square feet wall sign. Maximum allowed is 75.95 square feet, a variance of 53.55 square feet. This property is zoned General Business, B-3.

Please go ahead and present.
MR. McDEVITT: Do I have to reswear in? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I think you've already taken the oath.

MR. HALL: Do you have to reswear him again?
MS. SAARELA: No.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Go ahead and present your case, sir.

MR. McDEVITT: Sure. Thank you. First I want to show a picture of the existing signage on the building. So we have a look and feel of what is there.
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So this is the existing building. This is a cast stone sign with a cast stone horse head logo above it. The intention is to leave the horse head logo in place. There's no reason to remove it. It's stone behind it.

It's unlit so, basically, at night you
wouldn't be able to see it. But the Goddard School schooling cast stone sign here would get relocated to the back side of the gym area. If you look at the overall exhibit, it's kind of over here facing Twelve Mile Road. Once again, that is unlit also. That sign not require a variance. The only reason this one this requires a variance is because of the number of signs on the front.

The new sign for the facility will be lit. And much of the size is due to the limitations of lighting the individual letters on a sign like this. The branding address is the Goddard School branding. We'll have a lit horse head logo sign and then blue LED lit Goddard School sign adjacent to it.

The overall frontage due to the length of the building, it won't look out of character or way too large compared to the structure itself. And we'll have
a similar building in this area might have two or three businesses in it, each with their own signs with way more square footage.

The existing monument sign up here is smaller than what is allowed by an ordinance as is the small existing monument sign on Twelve Mile Road.

So all together we're asking for the variance on the overall size of this sign due to the way it's measured is the outside rectangle of the entire sign and the number of signs on the front of the building.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: How many signs do you have at present?

MR. McDEVITT: Right now it's -- we essentially have two signs, which is the Goddard sign and horse head logo but they kind of act as one because they're right next to each other. That's kind of the way we're proposing the new Goddard School sign and the horse head logo. Essentially, one brand here.

This one, like I said, it's unlit. It's almost more of an architectural element than a sign. That's why we just intend to leave it in place. Even though it's not required to label the business.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.

From the City?
MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yeah they're seeking a variance for three additional signs. And just as a note, in December of 2016, they were granted approval for two wall signs and one monument sign. So what it seems they're doing is very logical and We have no exceptions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
From the correspondence, secretary.
MEMBER KRIEGER: In this case 16 were mailed one returned. Zero objections. Zero approvals.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Public hearing. Anybody who would like to speak on this case, please?

Looks like none.
Okay. From the board, it's open.
Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I just mentioned earlier I was there and I looked around and I was trying to locate where are you going to do your Twelve Mile Road sign. But now it is much clearer with the way you have demonstrated and you consider it your logo as a little thing as the sign and that makes it the
multiple signs there otherwise it would be. And I can support your request. Thank you.

MR. McDEVITT: (Displaying) So this -- just to clarify. This is the existing building that is there right now. This is all a new addition. So that's why you wouldn't see where it's going to be located. Or we could do a mockup of the new sign on site because of that.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other board member would like to speak?

Okay. Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi, can you make a motion, please.

MEMBER SANGHVI: May I make a motion, Mr. Chairman, that I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ23-0051, the Goddard School of Novi at 48600 Grand River Avenue, parcel number 50-22-17-126-012. The applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi sign ordinances section 28-5(b) (1)a to allow four wall signs. Maximum of one wall sign allowed and a variance of three signs.

And to allow a 129.5 square feet wall sign. Maximum allowed is 75.95, a variance of 53.55 square feet. And this is zoned General Business.

Without the variance the petitioner will have difficulty in functioning and identifying this business as the Goddard School. And without these variances they would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property and identification and the students and the parents without it would have difficulty in locating the school.

The property is unique because it has two main road as the frontage. And the petitioner did not create the condition. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with any adjacent or surrounding properties. And the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Dr. Sanghvi ...
Yeah, please go ahead.
MS. SAARELA: I'm sorry. Those are the six standards for a non-use variance. We're looking at a sign variance. So they're a little bit different standards for the motion. It should be right on the sheet.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Do you have it on the your screen?

MEMBER SANGHVI: No. It's okay.
MS. FLETCHER: There is extra copies in the back of your folder.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. We have this one.

MEMBER SANGHVI: It is there.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Do you have this one, Dr. Sanghvi?

MS. SAARELA: You can just read it. Amend the ordinance -- I mean, the motion.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I don't want to put any preconditions there.

MEMBER KRIEGER: So I'll second it and then make an amendment?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay. Fine.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Do that way.

MEMBER KRIEGER: To amend it to include the request is based upon circumstances or features that are exceptional and unique to the property and do not result from conditions that exist generally in the city because of the location on Twelve Mile and Grand River that they're having two fronts.

That the failure to grant relief will unreasonably prevent or limit the use of the property and result in substantially more than mere inconvenience or inability to obtain a higher or economic or financial return because of their location in the footprint of the building to the roads.

That the grant of relief would be offset by other improvements or actions such as increased setbacks or increased landscaping because of where they're putting their parking lot. Such that the net effect will result in an improvement of the property and the project.

And that's it.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Roll call, please.

MS. FLETCHER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MR. FLETCHER: Member Thompson?

MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay. Good luck.
Congratulations.
MR. SAMONA: Very good. Thank you all.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And thanks
for your patience for the final case tonight.
PZ23-0049, Johnson Sign Company, 41500 Ten
Mile Road, north of 10 Mile Road, west of Meadowbrook Road. Parcel 50-22-23-426-017.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the city of Novi Sign Ordinance Section 28-5 (a) to allow an additional wall sign on the south elevation. A maximum of one wall sign is allowed for this tenant, a variance of one additional wall sign.

This property is zoned General Business, B-3.
Okay. Please go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly for our record.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. My name is Jim
Johnson. And that's J-i-m. And Johnson is J-o-h-n-s-o-n.

And I'm also here with the owner of Pure Car Wash.

MR. BURT: Keith Burt, K-e-i-t-h, B as in boy, U-r, \(T\) as in Tom. Thanks.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Are either of you an attorney?

MR. BURT: No.
MEMBER KRIEGER: All right. Raise your right hand.

Do you both swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
MR. BURT: Yes.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Go ahead, Johnson and Keith. Please go ahead and present your case tonight where we can help you on these signs.

MR. JOHNSON: All right.
Okay. Awesome. Well, thank you all for your time. I appreciate it after the long evening of sticking with us. So we'll make sure go quick, but thorough and to the point.

So I wanted to thank you for letting us ask for this request. When we originally met with Pure Car Wash on this particular project we knew of the
uniqueness of this property with this property sitting on the corner of West Ten Mile and Meadowbrook. And one of the uniqueness to this property is the fact that it has a Citizens Bank building in front of it that blocks its view.
(Document displayed.)
MR. JOHNSON: So this is a mockup of how this sign could look. But this view is from the south on West Ten Mile and so you can see here this is their building behind the Citizens Bank. So it has the wall built up now. And I believe if you drove the property we had a banner up there so you can see in person what it would look like.

A couple of other shots that we wanted to show is this is how it would look as you're coming from eastbound on West Ten Mile. So right here is the sign.

And this is really the main entrance here. So it's a good shot of the building and if you can envision this not being there, the hardship of being able to identify that as the car wash.

So there was a couple of main reasons why we consider this to be unique. The biggest is because of their property -- actually, their address is West Ten

Mile. So the majority of their traffic is going to enter in off of the West Ten Mile entrance to the complex.

And another -- I got this on the site plan that was also submitted. But as you can see -- it's kind of hard to see. But here is the entrance into the complex from West Ten Mile. Here is the Pure Car Wash.

So as you're traveling in off of this
entrance, this entrance into the car wash is their main one. And this sign right here will allow the public to, you know, easily see that this is the car wash to be able to enter in. Because if they don't have that sign there, they're going to have to go past that entrance before they realize that's the car wash entrance and then loop around in the parking lot to enter off of the north entrance.

So what we did is we tried to create a reasonable solution for this particular project. We wanted to design a sign that met the intent of the ordinance with its look and its aesthetic. As well as best serve the public so that they can safely and easily locate this property when they're looking for it.
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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. My house is near just a walkable distance on the Willowbrook opposite to the church. And we are eagerly waiting for your project, when you guys are opening.

And I see the difficulty. It is also
reasonable what you're looking for. The views from the sign on the top on the back of Citizens Bank to see all of Novi city. So I know the practical difficulty what you have and the sign. It is more important for the business for anything you need to put a sign so that the people can go. Otherwise, the people go in a loop and or some people change their mind and they may go out. So we would like to increase our business and welcome to the business for the Novi city. And we really thank you for coming forward to start the business in Novi.

I really appreciate and have no objection. I'm okay with the project. What you're asking.

And I'm open to the board.
Anybody would like to speak?
MEMBER SANGHVI: I have been up and down that road for the last 45 years. I know where you are. I know what you are doing and I know you need a sign.

I'm not so sure how much it will be visible from the Ten Mile Road, to be honest. You have to be a long way back on Meadowbrook Road to be able to see your sign over the bank. But I understand your need. Thank you.

MR. BURT: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And I want to add one thing, Keith. I don't know how much -- you have a lot of guts to do the project over there in the complex. It's a residential area and it's not -- you know, Ten Mile or Grand River and Pizza Hut area there is a two-way junction the people can -- I'm sorry.

I don't know whatever it is. You have a good thing and good luck.

Anybody would like to speak on this thing.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Only thing is I'm happy that there is a car wash there, otherwise, I have to go all the way to Novi Road. So it's very convenient for me. Thank you.

MR. BURT: All I need is you to say prayers that some day I get a transformer from DTE. If you have any friends. That's the only thing I'm going to say.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anybody would like to speak on this case? Board members?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Could you explain once it opens how you get in and go around?

MR. BURT: (Demonstrating) I'm going to guess that probably about 75 percent at least of our customers are going to come in this entrance. You'll come in and now at this point you're going to go down this lane. Right here is going to be the actual transaction site.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Uh-huh.
MR. BURT: If you join the club, there's actually cameras right there that'll -- you won't do anything. It'll just hit the gates and it'll open up right away. Then you go around to the entrance.

Once you get through, then you have the option to come out here and use all the vacuums and everything over here. You can literally come in and use the vacuums without going to the car wash, but we hope you go to the car wash.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And so you own that whole corner then now where the bank is and that or just the L-shape?

MR. BURT: No. Right we had to actually lease, really, from the shopping mall owners this whole -- it was a piece of property, but it wasn't big enough to get it done. So we actually extended it out to this area. So we're a tenant.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And did they say they were going to repave it? Because where the -- the water access or something because the road got tore up.

MR. BURT: So there's -- from what I understand. I don't want to speak out of turn for the owners of the shopping mall.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Right.
MR. BURT: But from what I'm being told as a new tenant is that this is going to be repaved all in here. And there's a good chance there might be somebody going for another business right here.

But, yes, everything out here -- and this is all been redone. I don't know if you have been there recently.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Oh, yeah. I'm there all the time.

MR. BURT: I live right off Meadowbrook like a quarter mile away. So I go there all the time.

MEMBER KRIEGER: So the first sign is -- I noticed on Meadowbrook is a little bit in from the building.

MR. BURT: Yeah. That was the setback.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And then I think the other one was a mockup, but the wind got it. But, yes, I can totally agree that you have a need for the sign so I would be approving your sign.

MR. BURT: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you for explaining everything, too.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Keith, is this automatic car wash and nobody is going to be there.

MR. BURT: Oh, no, no. We'll have employees there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Employees and car wash?

MR. BURT: Mainly to help you come in to look at your vehicle to prep it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. You said you are just on the corner of Meadowbrook, your addition.

Not too far.
MR. BURT: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, good, Keith. I appreciate and I have no objections.

And make a motion, Michael Thompson.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. I move that we grant the variance in case PZ23-0051 sought for by Johnson Sign Company for the sign variance because the petitioner has shown difficulty, including the signage requiring an additional sign on the basis of any of the following: It would be letter \(B\), the failure to grant the relief will unreasonably prevent or limit the use of the property and will result in substantially more than mere inconvenience or inability to obtain a higher economic or financial return because the customers will be lost once they're in the plaza and may just opt to leave.

So with just B, I'm happy with passing it. MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Second it. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Roll call, please.

MS. SAARELA: They're supposed to do all the -- they're supposed to meet all the standards.
ones that applied.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah, I thought you were just supposed to pick.

MS. SAARELA: No.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. That's fine.
MEMBER THOMPSON: That the request is based upon the circumstances or features that are exceptional and unique to the property and do not result from the conditions that exist generally in the City or that are not self-created, including our sign variances.

That the grant of relief would be offset by other improvements or actions such as increased setbacks or increased landscaping such that the net effect will result in the improvement of the property or the project of the car wash.

The construction of the conforming sign will require the removal or significant alteration of natural features on the property because of its unique location.

The grant of relief will not result in a use or structure that is incompatible with or unreasonably interferes with adjacent or surrounding properties or
result in substantial justice being done to both the applicant and adjacent or surrounding properties; and is not inconsistent with the spirit or the intent of the chapter because of the shape of the property being tucked back on a corner lot.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Roll call, please.

MS. FLETCHER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Keith and Johnson. Good luck and congratulations.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Congratulations.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And any other matters?

I would like to welcome our new mayor, Justin Fischer.

MEMBER KRIEGER: That's what I wanted to do last night at the council meeting.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations to him. And welcome for the city.

Before I adjourn say all in favor "Aye."
MEMBER KRIEGER: I move to adjourn.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All in favor,

\section*{"Aye."}

THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays.
No. Okay.
Adjourned.
(At 9:01 a.m., hearing concluded.)
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