# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS <br> CITY OF NOVI <br> Commmity Development Department <br> (248) 347-0415 

## Case No. P213-0038 27855 Cabot Drive (Starbucks)

## Location: 27855 Cabot Drive

## Zoning District: OST, Planned Office Service Technology

The applicant is requesting variances from the CITY OF NOVI, CODE OF ORDINANCES, Section 2400 to allow a 5 foot reduction in the required 50 foot building setback, to allow a 10 foot reduction in the northern yard of the required 20 foot parking setback. Section 2506.12.d to allow a drive-through lane without the required 18 foot bypass lane and Section 2503.2.F. 4 to allow a 5 foot reduction in the required 20 foot accessory structure setback. The property is located at the northwest comer of Cabot Drive and Twelve Mile Road.

## Ordinance Seclions:

CITY OF NOVI, CODE OF ORDINANCES, Section 2400 requires buildings be setback 50 feet from all property lines and parking be setback 20 feet from all property lines. Section 2506.12.d requires an 18 foot bypass lane be provided adjacent to all proposed drivethrough lanes. Section 2503,2,F. 4 requires all accessory structures be setback the same as porking ( 20 feet in this case) from all property lines.

## City of Novi Stalf Comments:

Please see the aftached PIan Review Center Report from the Site Plan review process for the redevelopment.

## Standards for Granting Dimensional Variances:

A variance may be granted if a practical difficulty exists due to all of the following:

- There are unique circumstances or physical conditions of the property such as narrowness, shailowness, shape, water, fopography or similar physical conditions and the need for the variance is not due to the appicant's personal or economic difficulty because
- The need is not self-created because $\qquad$ -.
- Strict compliance with regulations goveming area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, densily or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those reguiations unnecessarily burdensome because $\qquad$ _.
- The requested variance is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district because
- The requested variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values or the use and enjoyment of the property in the neighborhood or zoning district
because $\qquad$ .



## PLAN REVIEW CENTER REPORT

June 14, 2013

## Planning Review

Starbucks
JSP 13-38

## Petitioner

Northem Equities Group

## Review Type

Preliminary Site Plan with Retail Service Overlay

## Properly Characteristics

- Site Location:
- Site Zoning:
- Adjoining Zoning:
- Current Site Use:
- Adjoining Uses:
- School District:
- Site Size:
- Plan Date:

Northwest corner of Cabot Drive and Twelve Mile Road (Section 12)

OST, Planned Office Service Technology North, South, East and West: OST Vacant
North and East (across Cabol Drive): Professional offices; West: ITC utilly corridor; South (across Twelve Mile Road): vacant, ITC Headquarters, Holiday Inn Express Novi Community School Districl
0.74 acres

06-03-13

## Prolect Summary

The parcel in question is tocated at the northwest comer of Cabot Drive and Twelve Mile Road in Section 12 of the City of Novi. The properly fotals 0.74 acres. The current zoning of the property is OST, Planned Office Service Technology. The applicant has proposed a $2,032 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. Starbucks resfaurant with an accessory drive-through (with associated parking, landscaping and stormwater facilifies) utilizing the Retail Service Overlay Option.

The Retail Service Overiay Option is intended "...to provide a timited amount of retail and personal service establishments to serve the employees of and visitors to the nearby office use areas." The option allows additional uses nof typlcally permitted in the OST District provided certain conditions are met and subject to the Special Land Use requirements outlined in Section $2516.2(\mathrm{c})$. A drive-through restaurant is a use permitted under this option.

## Recommendation

Provided the noted variances and waivers are granted, approval of the Prellminary site Plan is recommended. The applicant will be seeking several variances and waivers from the Zoning Board or Appeals and Planning Commission as detailed in this and in the accompanying staff and consultant review letters. The applicant has met the standards of the Retail Service Overiay Option and there are only minor planning related items to be addressed on the Final Site Plan submittal.

## Spectal Land Use Considerotlons

This is the only fast food drive-through restaurant proposed at the intersection of a nonresidential collector and major arterial road. the hours of operation of the use must be limited to $6 A M$ to IOPM. The applicant should Include a note on the plans limiting the hours of operatlon. A total of $15 \%$ of the site musi be maintained as open space. Although it appears the site will meet this requirement, the applicant has not clearly provided the open space calculations. A sheet filled 'Open Space Plan' shall be included in the plan set providing area calculations for open space and Identifying those areas whlch count towards open space. The proposed building is approximately 2,032 square feet, consistent with ordinance requirements for fast food restaurants. An access point has been provided to the adjacent non-residential street, Cabot Drive. An indoor and outdoor dining area has been provided. The proposed façade has met the standards of the façade ordinance and will be complementary to the design of other buildings in the area. The drive through lane has been screened with landscaping. Troffic Impact information (see note later in this letier) and Noise Impact Statement have been provided. A publl hearing to be held by the Planning Commlssion is requlred.
2. Building Setbacks: Buildings in the OST District must be setback 50 feef from all properiy lines. The plan indicales a 45 toot building selback in the northern yard. The applicant has Indicated they will seek a varlance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for this deficiency.
3. Parking Setbacks; Parking areas in the OST District must be setback 20 feet from ail property lines. The plan indicates a 15 foot parking setback in the western yard and a 10 foot setback in the northern yard. The applicant has indicated they will seek a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for these deficiencles,
4. Drive-through Bypass Lane: The Zoning Ordinance requires an 18 foot bypass lane to be provided adjacent to all drive-through lanes. No bypass lane has been provided and the applicant has indlcated they will seek a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for thls deflclency.
5. Accesson Structure Selback: Accessory structures must be setback the same as parking from all property lines, in this case, 20 feet. The proposed dumpster is 15 feet from the adjacent property line. The applicant has indicated they will seek a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for thls deflclency.
6. Iraffic Study: The applicant has submifted a raffic impact Study as required by the Zoning Ordinance. However, the study submitted does not evaluate all potential impacts of the proposed development and the Planning Commission must determine whelher they wish to walve the need for a full Traffic Study. See the traffic review letter for additional information.
7. Use of the ITC Utility Corridor: The applicant has proposed an access drive through the adjacentITC Coridor. A copy of the executed license agreement permitting this access drive must be provided to the City. See the engineering review letter for addifional information.
8. Signage: Exterior Signage is not regulated by the Planning Division or Planning Commission. Please contact Jeannie Niland ( $248,347,0438$ ) for information regarding sign permits.

## Response Letter

A letter from either the applicant or the applicant's representofive addressing comments in this, and in the other review letters, is requested to be submitted prior to the Planning Commission meeting and with the next set of plans addressing each of the comments listed above and in other review letters.

## Chapter 26.5

Chapter 26.5 of the City of Novi Code of Ordinances generally requires all projects be completed within two years of the issuance of any starting permit. Flease contact sarah

Planning Review Summary Chart
Starbucks JSP 13 -38
Preliminary Site Plan Review
Plan Dated: 06.03-13

| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets <br> Requirements? | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Master Plan | Office RD Tech | Office RD Tech | Yes |  |
| Zoning | OST | OST | Yes |  |
| Use (Sec. 2301A <br> and 2302A) | Office and Retail <br> Service Overlay <br> Uses | Starbucks restaurant | Yes | Publlc Hearing <br> required. <br> Planning <br> Commisslon finding <br> of factors listed in <br> Section 2302A.2.b <br> required. |
| Bulding Height <br> Sec. 2400) | Maximum 46 feet | Approximately 20 <br> feet | Yes |  |

Retall Service Overiay Provisions (Sec. 2302A)

| Number of fast food restaurants | Only one fast food restaurant is permitted at the intersection of a minor anterial road and arterial road or at the intersection of an orterial or major arterial and nonresidentiol collector | One fast food restaurant at the intersection of a non-residential coliector and a major arterial road | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours of operation | Limited to 6 AM to IOPM | Hours of operation not indicated | Yes? | Applicani should include a note on the plans indicating the hours of operation |
| Open Space | A minimum of $15 \%$ must be landscaped open space | Open space calculations not provided | Yes? | Applicant should provide the required open space calculations |
| Building Size | Freestanding fast food restaurants must be between 1,800 sq. ft. and 10,000 se. ft. | $2032 \mathrm{sc} . \mathrm{ft}$. | Yes |  |
| Vehicular and pedestrian connections | sites must be connected to non-residential streets and neighboring properties | Access drive anto Cabot Drive provided, connection to Cabot Drive sidewalk provided | Yes |  |
| Façade | Architectural design and |  |  | See façade review comments for |


| Item | Required | Proposed | Meets <br> Requirements? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Front (south) | 50 feet | 69 leet | Yes |  |
| Exterior Side (east) | 50 feet | 82 feet | Yes |  |
| Interior side (west) | 50 feet | 50 feet | Yes |  |
| Rear (north) | 50 feet | 45 feef | No | Applicant hod Indicated they will seek a variance from the Zoning Boord of Appeals. |
| Parking Setbacks (Sec. 2400) |  |  |  |  |
| Front (south) | 20 feet | 22 feet | Yes |  |
| Exferior Side feast) | 20 feet | 22 feet | Yes |  |
| Interior Side (west) | 20 feet | 15 feet | No | Applicant has indicated they will seek a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals. |
| Rear (north) | 20 feet | 10 feet | No | Applicant has indicated they will seek a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals. |
| Number of Parking Spaces (Sec. 2505) | One for each employee plus one for each two persons allowed in the seating and waiting area <br> 41 people $/ 2=22$ <br> spaces <br> 4 employees $=2$ <br> spaces <br> 24 spaces <br> required | 29 spaces | Yes |  |
| Parking Space Dimensions (Sec. 2506) | $9^{\prime} \times 19^{\prime}$ parking space dimensions ( 9 $\times 17^{\prime}$ if overhang on 7 ' wide interior sidewalk or landscaped area as long as detail indicates 4" curb) and $24^{\prime}$ wide drives. | $9^{\prime} \times 17^{\prime}$ parking space dimensions with 24 ' wide drive for $90^{\circ}$ parking layout. | Yes | A 4" curb should be indicated wherever 17 parklng spaces are proposed. |


| Hem | Required | Proposed | Meets <br> Requirements? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bypass Lane for Drive-through (5ec. 2506) | Drive-through facilities shall provide I bypass iane. Such bypass lane shall be a minimum of $18^{\prime}$ in widih, unless otherwise determined by the Fire Marshal. | No bypass tane provided | No | Applicant has indicated they will seek a varlance from the Zoning Board of Appeals. |
| Width and Centerline Radius of Drivethrough Lanes (Sec. 2506) | Drive-through lanes shall have a minimum 9 ' width and centerline radius of $25^{\prime}$. | $12^{\prime}$ width. Centerline radius not clearly indicated | Yes? | Applicant should indlcate the centerline radlus. |
| Drive-through Lanes Separation (Sec. 2506) | Drive-through lanes shall be separate from the circulation routes and lanes necessary for ingress to, and egress from, the property. | Drive-through lanes are situated on the north side of the proposed structure wrapping oround to the west side of the building | Yes |  |
| Accessory <br> Structure <br> Setback- <br> Dumpster <br> (Sec. 2503) | Accessory structures should be in the rear yard and setback a minimum of $10^{\circ}$ from any buliding unless structurally attached to the building and setback the same as parking from all properly lines. | Dumpster enclosure setback 17 feel from the proposed building and setback 15 feet from adjaceni property line in the side yard. | No | Applicant has Indicated they seek a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for the deficient dumpster setback. |
| Dumpster <br> (Chap. 21. <br> Sec. 21-145) | Screening of not less than 5 feet on 3 sides of dumpster required, interior bumpers or posis must also be shown. Enclosure to match building materials and be at least one fool taller than height of refuse bin. | Screening details meeting ordinance requirements provided | Yes |  |


9. State the practical difficulties which prevent conformance with the Zoning Ordinance requirements fatach separate sheet if necessary);

Finale Sane Allachad Shatioltama
10. Describe any unique circumstances regarding the property (ie., shape, topography, etc.) which are not common to other properties in the area and which prevent strict compliance with the Zoning Ordinance:

Please Sea Allachad Sheet - llem 10

## SIGN CASES ONLY:

Your signature on this application Indicates that you agree to Install a Mock-Up SIgn ten (10) days before the scheduled ZBA meeting.
Failure to Install a mock-up sign may result In your case not being heard by the Board, postponed to the next scheduled ZBA meeting, or cancelled. A mock-up sign is NOT to be the actual sign. Upon approval, the mock-up sign must be removed within five (5) days of the meeting. If the case Is denied, the applicant is responsible for all costs involved in the removal of the mockup or actual sign (if erected under violation) within flue (5) days of the meeting.

Variance approval is void if permit not obtained within one hundred eighty (180) days of date of decision.
There is a five ( 5 ) day hold period before work/actlon can be taken on variance approvals.
All property owners' within 300 feet of ZBA properly address will be nolfifed of the ZBA case and variance requests.

## PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

The undersigned hereby appeals the determination of the Building Official/ Inspector or Ordinance Officer made $\triangle$ Construct New Home/Building $\square$ Addition to Existing Horne/Bullding Accessory Building


DECISION ON APPEAL


Tho Dulling Inspector is hereby directed io issue a permit to tho Applicant upon the following tens and condition:

## Starbucks ZBA Application

Item 7: Variances being requested:

1. Section 2400 Building Setback; (North) Required 50 feet; Proposed 45 feet.
2. Section 2400 Parking Setbacks: Interior Side (west) Required 20 feet; Proposed 15 feet. Due to changes in the fimal plan this variance will no longer be required.
3. Section 2400 Parking Setbacks: Rear (north) Required 20 feet; Proposed 10 feet.
4. Section 2506 Bypass Lane for Drive through: A drive through bypass lane has not been provided; instead applicant is proposing a bailout lane substitute. A bypass lane conld be provided but if provided it would create additional variances.
5. Section 2503 Accessory Structure Setback-Dumpster: Required: Accessory Structures should be setback the same as parking from all property lines. $20^{\prime}$ required, Proposed: $15^{\prime}$ feet from adjacent property line in side yard. This side yard is adjacent to the 90 foot wide ITC property, there are no buildings on that property only electrical transmission towers.

## Item 9. State the practical difficulties which prevent conformance with the Zoning Ordinance requirements.

The . 74 acre parcel which is located in a area for designated for the Retail Service Overlay has practical difficulties associated with its size, and it being on a comer lot. A brief history of this parcel will help to understand the circumstances of our difficulty in meeting the Zoning Ordinance. In 2000, this parcel was under a sale contract to the former Michigan National Bank. $A+/-2,500$ s.f. branch with drive through was plamed by Michigan National. During the bank's due diligence period, Haggerty Corridor was being designed, and the Haggerty Corridor Office Centre II building was planned adjacent to the parcel to the north.

This parcel was created to accommodate the bank branch and the HCOC II building. As fate would have it, Michigan National Bank backed out of the contract (they were then acquired by Standard Federal Bank who had a branch one mile east on 12 Mile Road in Farmington Hills.) Haggerty Corridor Partners was left with a .74 acre site and no user.

As you know, we have worked diligently over the last few weeks to modify the Starbucks site plan and building interior to attempt to minimize the variances that were identified in the preapplication meeting. As part of this effort, we re-engineered the site to include underground detention, instead of detaining under the ITC Towers. This entailed a substantial cost, but helped make the site more workable per the ordinance. We are still going in for variances on the plan as identified but have kept them to a minimum given the size of the site. In the final site plan, we will eliminate the parking variance on the west side of the site which, along with the ITC transmission wires, are the two most significant practical difficulties. In 10 years, other than minor sign variances, we have met the OST ordinance in all aspects for the development of every one of the 23 buildings Northern Equities Group developed in the Park.

Item 10: Describe any unique circumstances regarding the property (i.e. shape, topography, etc.) which are not common to other properties in the area and which prevent strict compliance with the Zoning Ordinance:

The property is a .74 acre comer lot that is bordered to the north by an existing office building owned by the Applicant and the ITC Transmission line corridor to the west. It is on the northwest corner of Cabot Drive and 12 Mile Road. The topography to the north and northwest are significantly higher than the grade at the comer of Twelve Mile and Cabot Drive. While we are trying to save the existing landscaping along each of the right-of-ways, this creates difficulties in the design of the proposed site.

