

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovi.org

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS STAFF REPORT

FOR: City of Novi Zoning Board of Appeals

MEETING DATE: June 11, 2024

REGARDING: 1375 East Lake Drive # 50-22-02-328-009 (PZ24-0018)

BY: Alan Hall, Deputy Director Community Development

GENERAL INFORMATION:

<u>Applicant</u> Josh & Erin Robinson

<u>Variance Type</u> Dimensional Variance

Property Characteristics

Zoning District:	This property is zoned One-Family Residential (R-4)
Location:	on East Lake Road, north of Thirteen Mile Road
Parcel #:	50-22-02-328-009

<u>Request</u>

The applicant is requesting a variance from City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.32(10)A.ii.a for a 330 sq. ft. shed (100 sq. ft. allowed, variance of 230 sq. ft.); Section 3.32(10)A.ii.a to allow 10.75 ft. shed height (9 ft. allowed, variance of 1.75 sq. ft.); Section 3.32(10)A.ii.b to allow 8.57% lot coverage (5% allowed, variance of 3.57%); Section 4.19.1.J to allow 2 accessory structures on a lot having less than 21,780 sq. ft. (1 allowed, variance of 1). This variance would accommodate a second accessory structure on a lot with water frontage.

II. STAFF COMMENTS:

The applicant is seeking (4) dimensional variances.

History: April 13, 2021 – ZBA denied a variance for a 300 SF shed on the waterfront. The newly proposed 300 SF shed is to be located on the waterfront with a restroom, seating, and storage accommodations.

III. RECOMMENDATION:

The Zoning Board of Appeals may take one of the following actions:

1. I move that we grant the variance in Case No. PZ24-0018, sought by _____, for ______, because Petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring ______

(a) Without the variance Petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to use of the property because _____

(b) The property is unique because_____

(c) Petitioner did not create the condition because_____

(d) The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because

(e) The relief if consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because

(f) The variance granted is subject to:

2. I move that we <u>deny</u> the variance in Case No. **PZ24-0018**, sought by _____, for ______,

because Petitioner has not shown practical difficulty requiring_____

(a) The circumstances and features of the property including _____

_____ are not unique because they exist generally throughout the City.

- (b) The circumstances and features of the property relating to the variance request are self-created because _____
- (c) The failure to grant relief will result in mere inconvenience or inability to attain higher economic or financial return based on Petitioners statements that _____

- (d)The variance would result in interference with the adjacent and surrounding properties by _____
- (e) Granting the variance would be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance to _____

Should you have any further questions with regards to the matter please feel free to contact me at (248) 347-0417.

Alan Hall – Deputy Director Community Development - City of Novi



45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovl.org

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION

RECEIVED

MAY 0 1 2024

CITY OF NOVI COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

APPLICATION MUST BE FILLED OUT COMPLETELY

				4	5220M
		ress of subject ZBA C	ase)	Application Fee:	P220.00
PROJECT NAME / SUBDIVI				Meeting Date:	6-11-24
ADDRESS			LOT/SIUTE/SPACE #		the second second second second
1375 E. Lake Dr.		Maybaa	btain from Assessing	ZBA Case #: PZ_	24-0018
50-22-20	.326 .010		ent (248) 347-0485		
CROSS ROADS OF PROPI 13 mile & E. Lake L	RTY Jr.				
IS THE PROPERTY WITHIN A HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION JURISDICTION?		REQUEST IS FOR:		PROPERTY 🖾 SIG NA GE	
DOES YOUR APPEAL RESULT FROM A NOTICE OF VIOLATION OR C					
		IICE OF VIOLATION OR (CITATION ISSUED?	IS 🗆 NO	
II. APPLICANT INFO	MMATION	EMAIL ADDRESS		CELL PHONE NO.	
A. APPLICANT		josh@strivecreativ	e.com	248.756.3464	
NAME				TELEPHONENO.	
Joshua Robinson	v			FAX NO.	
ADDRESS			CITY	STATE MI	ZIP CODE 48377
1375 E. Lake Dr. B. PROPERTY OWN	• D				403//
Identify the person or o		ERE IF APPLICANT IS ALSO	THE PROPERTY OWNER	CELL PHONE NO.	
owns the subject prop		josh@strivecreati	ve.com	248.756.3464	
NAME				TELEPHONENO.	
Joshua Robinson	v			FAX NO.	
ADDRESS 1375 E. Lake Dr.			ςπγ Νονί	STATE MI	ZIP C O DE 48377
III. ZONING INFOR	MATION	12 No. 22 32 30 30			
A. ZONING DISTRI	and the second se				
□ R-A □ R-	1 🗌 R-2	🗆 R-3 🛛 🗹 R-4	🗆 RM-1 🛛 RM-2	🗆 мн	
B. VARIANCE REQ	UESTED			-	
INDICATE ORDINANCE	SECTION (S) AND	VARIANCE REQUESTED:			
1. Section 3.32	(10)Aiia	Variance requested	330 sq ft shed (100 allow	ved, variance of 230) sq ft)
2. Section 3.2(1	0)Aiia	Variance requested	10.75 ft shed height (9 ft	allowed, variance o	of 1.75 ft)
3. Section 3.32	(10)Aiib	Variance requested	8.57% lot coverage (5% allowed, variance of 3.57%)		
4. Section 4.19.1.J Variance requested 2 accessory structures (1 allowed, variance of 1)		of 1)			
IV. FEES AND DRAY	NAIN 65	Construction of the second of	en la company des la company de la company	Carlo Car	en des des des des des l
	sidential (Existin	a) \$ 220 🗌 (With Viola	ution) \$275 🗆 Single Fam	ilv Residential (New)	\$275
Multiple/Comm	•	-, ,	ution) \$440 🗌 Signs \$330	- , ,	
House Moves \$3			eetings (At discretion of Bo		
		ITAL COPY SUBMITTED		/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u/u	
Dimensioned Dray			 Existing & proposed 		
Site/Plot Plan Site / Plot Plan	 Site/Plot Plan Existing or proposed buildings or addition on the property Floor plans & elevations 				
		addition on the prope parking, if applicable			ariance application
		and a philor a philor a philor	- ning ether mormat	with the same to the v	analiee applied of

101 ZBA Application Revised 10.5.2023



cayetracaag	
V. VARIANCE	
A. VARIANCE (S) REQUESTED	
🗹 DIMENSIONAL 🗆 USE 🗆 SIGN	
There is a five-(5) hold period before work/action can be taken on variance approva	ls.
B. SIGN CASES (ONLY) Your signature on this application indicates that you agree to install a Mock-Up Sign <u>t</u> meeting. Failure to install a mock-up sign may result in your case not being heard by t schedule ZBA meeting, or cancelled. A mock-up sign is NOT to be actual sign. Upon a	he Board, postponed to the next approval, the mock-up sign must be
removed within five-(5) days of the meeting. If the case is denied, the applicant is respression of the mock-up or actual sign (if erected under violation) within five-(5) days	
C. ORDINANCE	
City of Novi Ordinance, Section 3107 – Miscellaneous	
No order of the Board permitting the erection of a building shall be valid for a period building permit for such erection or alteration is obtained within such period and such proceeds to completion in accordance with the terms of such permit.	
No order of the Board permitting a use of a building or premises shall be valid for a per eighty-(180) days unless such use is establish within such a period; provided, however, dependent upon the erection or alteration or a building such order shall continue in f for such erection or alteration is obtained within one-(1) year and such erection or alt completion in accordance with the terms of such permit. D. APPEAL THE DETERMINATION OF THE BUILDING OFFICIAL	, where such use permitted is orce and effect if a building permit
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:	
The undersigned hereby appeals the determination of the Building Official / Inspector	a o Ordina na a mada
CONSTRUCT NEW HOME/BUILDING ADDITION TO EXISTING HOME/BUILDING	
A C CESSORY BUILDIN G USE OTHER	
A C CESSORY BUILDIN G USE OTHER VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES ////////////////////////////////////	
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES	// a d a a a
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT	<u> </u>
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES	2/29-24 Date
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature	2/27-24 Date
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER	2/39-24 Date
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature	$\frac{2}{237-37-34}$ w: he property described in this
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of th	$\frac{2}{237-37-34}$ w: he property described in this
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of th	$\frac{2}{237-37-34}$ w: he property described in this
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosure Property Owner Signature	$\frac{2}{237-37-34}$ w: he property described in this
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of th application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosure	$\frac{2/3 \overline{7} - 3 \overline{7} - 3 \overline{7}}{Date}$ w: ne property described in this
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosus Property Owner Signature VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY DECISION ON APPEAL:	$\frac{2}{237-37-34}$ w: he property described in this
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosus Property Owner Signature VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY DECISION ON APPEAL: □ GRANTED	$\frac{2/39-34}{Date}$ w: ne property described in this res. $\frac{4}{Date}$
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosus Property Owner Signature VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY DECISION ON APPEAL:	$\frac{2/39-34}{Date}$ w: ne property described in this res. $\frac{4}{Date}$
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosus Property Owner Signature VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY DECISION ON APPEAL: □ GRANTED	$\frac{2/39-34}{Date}$ w: ne property described in this res. $\frac{4}{Date}$
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosus Property Owner Signature VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY DECISION ON APPEAL: □ GRANTED	$\frac{2/39-34}{Date}$ w: ne property described in this res. $\frac{4}{Date}$
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosus Property Owner Signature VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY DECISION ON APPEAL: Image: Content of the content of the subject of the application	$\frac{2}{Date} + \frac{2}{Date} + 2$
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES A. APPLICANT Applicant Signature B. PROPERTY OWNER If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign belo The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosus Property Owner Signature VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY DECISION ON APPEAL:	$\frac{2}{Date} + \frac{2}{Date} + 2$



Community Development Department 45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovi.org

The Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) will review the application package and determine if the proposed Dimensional Variance meets the required standards for approval. In the space below, and on additional paper if necessary, explain how the proposed project meets each of the following standards. (Increased costs associated with complying with the Zoning Ordinance will not be considered a basis for granting a Dimensional Variance.)

Standard #1. Circumstances or Physical Conditions.

Explain the circumstances or physical conditions that apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in the same zoning district or in the general vicinity. Circumstances or physical conditions may include:

a. Shape of Lot. Exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of a specific property in existence on the effective date of the Zoning Ordinance or amendment. Not Applicable Applicable If applicable, describe below:

and/or

b. Environmental Conditions. Exceptional topographic or environmental conditions or other extraordinary situations on the land, building or structure. Not Applicable

Applicable If applicable, describe below:

The lakeside setting of our property exposes it to unique environmental challenges such as high winds and potential water-related issues. These conditions have historically caused damage and present ongoing risks, a storage solution like the proposed shed would effectively resolve these issues.

and/or

c. Abutting Property. The use or development of the property immediately adjacent to the subject property would prohibit the literal enforcement of the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance or would involve significant practical difficulties. Not Applicable Applicable If applicable, describe below:

Standard # . Not Self-Created.

Describe the immediate practical difficulty causing the need for the Dimensional Variance, that the need for the requested variance is not the result of actions of the property owner or previous property owners (i.e., is not self-created).

The need for the variance is primarily due to the property's location across a busy road, creating significant safety concerns when moving bulky items to and from the lakeside, along with the additional challenges of high winds and proximity to the lake.

Standard #3. Strict Compliance.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance in strict compliance with regulations governing area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome.

The necessity for the variance primarily stems from the safety concerns associated with transporting bulky lake items across a busy road. This will allow us to construct a shed of sufficient size to safely store these items, reducing the frequency of potentially hazardous crossings. Additionally, securing these items is crucial to protect them from adverse weather, and theft, which can be prevalent given the lakeside setting. The ability to store these items indoors not only addresses these safety and security concerns but also enhances the aesthetic appeal of the property by keeping the outdoor area clear and orderly.

Standard # . Minimum Variance Necessary.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance requested is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district.

The variance requested is precisely calculated to meet essential safety needs, allowing us to safely store bulky lake items and reduce dangerous crossings. The shed size is determined by the space needed to securely protect these items from weather and theft, ensuring the variance is minimal and necessary. This approach addresses key safety and security concerns efficiently, enhancing property usability without excess.

Standard # . Adverse Impact on Surrounding Area.


Explain how the Dimensional Variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values, or the use and enjoyment of property in the neighborhood or zoning district.

The proposed shed, designed to match the refacing of our house, will enhance the lakeside aesthetic. Owning the property closest to the shed ensures minimal impact on neighbors, and the organized, attractive lakeside area will improve the overall visual appeal of the neighborhood. By reducing clutter and enhancing safety, the shed will increase property values for our home and for our neighbors.


Page of

Robinson Storage Structure







Side View




Storage Utilization



Additional Storage Items







Single Property

