CITY of NOVI CITY COUNCIL

## Agenda Item I <br> January 25, 2016

cityofnovi.org

SUBJECT: Approval of Traffic Control Order 16-10 to set the speed limit on Nick Lidstrom Drive at 35 miles per hour.

SUBMITTING DEPARTMENT: Department of Public Services, Engineering Division $B / C$

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
For the past several years, DPS has been systematically replacing traffic control signs throughout the City to comply with new Federal regulations relating to visibility and lettering size that have been incorporated into the Michigan Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MMUTCD). As part of this sign replacement program, Engineering staff has been: reviewing each replacement to determine if the sign is still needed or if other signs should be added to improve safety, reviewing all regulatory signs to ensure that a traffic control order is on file, and for speed signs ensuring that a speed study is on file to support the posted speed. Traffic control orders are required by the Uniform Traffic Code (adopted by Section 33-51 of the Novi Ordinance) to enforce traffic control signs that have been installed on public streets. Additionally, the Uniform Traffic Code requires an engineering study to support regulatory signs and for setting speed limits.

Staff is currently reviewing the signage along Nick Lidstrom Drive and we were unable to find a traffic control order or study that established the posted 25 mile per hour speed limit. Consequently, the City's traffic consultant, AECOM, prepared the attached study and recommends a posted speed limit of 35 mph based on existing traffic. Speed limits are generally set using the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, which is the speed at or below which 85 percent of the motorists drive on a given road when unaffected by slower traffic or poor weather. The report also recommends improved signage and an advisory speed of 10 miles per hour at the 90-degree bend in the road.

There is a residential development under construction on the north and east sides of Nick Lidstrom Drive (Ridgeview Villas of Novi). The expectation is that Nick Lidstrom Drive would function similar to other collector roads such as Nine Mile (west of Meadowbrook), Taft Road, and Meadowbrook Road (12-13 Mile), which would have a similar residential land use and similar width, with a posted 35 mile per hour speed limit. Staff would schedule a follow-up review of the speed limit that would be conducted one year after TCO implementation to ensure that the correct speed limit is still warranted at that time.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of Traffic Control Order 16-10 to set the speed limit on Nick Lidstrom Drive at 35 miles per hour.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | Y | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mayor Gatt |  |  |  |  |
| Mayor Pro Tem Staudt |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Burke |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Casey |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Council Member Markham |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Mutch |  |  |  |  |
| Council Member Wrobel |  |  |  |  |



## CITY OF NOVI

TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER


SPEED
PARKING OTHER

DATE OF ORDER: $\quad 1 / 15 / 2016$
CONTROL NUMBER: 16-10

PURSUANT TO CHAPTER NO. 33 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN, SAME BEING THE UNIFORM TRAFFIC CODE FOR CITIES, TOWNSHIPS AND VILLAGES OF MICHIGAN AND IN THE INTEREST OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CONVENIENCE THE FOLLOWING TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER IS HEREBY ISSUED BY BRIAN COBURN, ENGINEERING MANAGER, DULY AUTHORIZED AS TRAFFIC ENGINEER, BY SEC. 33-51 OF THE AFORESAID CHAPTER.

ISSUANCE OF THIS TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER WAS PRECEDED BY STUDY AND INVESTIGATION OF TRAFFIC CONDITIONS ON THE FOLLOWING PUBLIC ROAD OR ROADS IN THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN.

## NICK LIDSTROM DRIVE

AND AFTER SAID INVESTIGATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICES ERECT AND MAINTAIN THE 35 MPH SIGN (S) IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MICHIGAN MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES AS REQUIRED BY SEC. 33-51 OF THE AFORESAID CHAPTER, SAID SIGNS TO GIVE NOTICE OF THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATION:

## 35 MPH SPEED LIMIT ON NICK LIDSTROM DRIVE



## APPROVED BY CITY COUNCIL

TRAFFIC CONTROL ORDER NUMBER $16-10$ HAVING BEEN PRESENTED TO THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NOVI, MICHIGAN FOR STUDY AND APPROVAL, IS HEREBY APPROVED AND IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THIS ORDER BE FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK AND A COPY THEROF IN THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE OF SAID CITY.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DIRECTED THAT THIS ORDER SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE UPON BEING FILED WITH THE CLERK AND UPON ERECTION OF ADEQUATE SIGNS GIVING NOTICE OF THE EXISTENCE OF AFORESAID,

## 35 MPH SPEED LIMIT ON NICK LIDSTROM DRIVE

ADOPTED AT THE REGULAR MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL ON.

By:
Robert J. Gatt, Mayor

By:

## Memorandum

| To | Brian Coburn, PE | Page |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cc |  |  |
| Subject | Nick Lidstrom Speed Study |  |
|  | Matt Klawon, PE <br> Maureen Peters, PE <br> Sterling Frazier |  |
| From | June 15, 2015 |  |
| Date |  |  |

## Introduction

The City of Novi has noticed a trend in speed limit violations and crashes along Nick Lidstrom Drive (previously named Arena Road) and has consulted AECOM to study the roadway to compare the posted speed limit to the actual operating speeds of freely flowing vehicles traveling on the roadway. Nick Lidstrom Drive intersects Novi Road from the east, approximately 1,000 feet south of 10 Mile Road. The intersection of Novi Road and Nick Lidstrom Drive is controlled by a traffic signal. The roadway serves as an access road for the Sports Club of Novi, Novi Ice Arena, Community Financial, and Novi Dog Park. Nearly 1,075 feet east of Novi Road exists a 90 -degree curve on Nick Lidstrom Drive, which motorists must traverse in order to enter/exit the majority of the previously listed facilities. The current posted speed limit on Nick Lidstrom Drive is 25 miles per hour ( mph ). The objective of this evaluation is to provide the City of Novi with an engineering review of the current posted speed limit on Nick Lidstrom Drive.

## Data Collection

## Crash Data

AECOM gathered historic crash data along Nick Lidstrom Drive for the entirety of the segment. Data was extracted from the Traffic Improvement Association's (TIA) Traffic Crash Analysis Tool (TCAT) for a five-year time period of January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2014. Within this time period, five crashes occurred in the study area as shown in Table 1. It is speculated that at least two of the four crashes that occurred at the 90 -degree curve could be attributed to high speed. UD-10 forms for each of the crashes listed are available in Appendix A.

Table 1 - Crash history of Nick Lidstrom Drive for the last 5 years

| Crash ID | Crash Date | Crash Type | Crash Location | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7267974 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2/27/2009 } \\ & \text { 6:46 PM } \end{aligned}$ | Other | Arena Road 100' E of Novi Road | Driver 1 began backing due to a vehicle backing in front of him. Driver 2 was stopped in the midst of the existing U-turn. Driver 1 backed into Driver 2. |
| 8153726 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 26 / 2011 \\ & \text { 12:18 AM } \end{aligned}$ | Single <br> Vehicle | Nick Lidstrom Drive 1,075' E of Novi Rd | Driver 1 was northbound on Nick Lidstrom Drive, approaching the 90degree curve. Driver was going too fast to successfully navigate the left turn, lost control and drove into the curb on the north side of the roadway. Driver 1 drove over the curb then across the grass for several yards before veering back into the roadway. |
| 8509362 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12/1/2012 } \\ & 9: 41 \text { AM } \end{aligned}$ | Single <br> Vehicle | Nick Lidstrom Drive 1,075' E of Novi Rd | Driver 1 was traveling northbound on Nick Lidstrom Drive approaching the 90-degree curve. Driver stated she was distracted and didn't see the curve approaching. Driver approached the curve at posted speed and realized she was going too fast around the curve. Driver attempted to brake, but the brakes did not work. The vehicle struck a tree on the right front, passenger side. |
| 8796666 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11/16/2013 } \\ & 9: 43 \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | Rear End | Nick Lidstrom Drive 1,075' E of Novi Rd | Drivers 1 and 2 were exiting the sports complex on Nick Lidstrom Drive. Driver 2 started to make the 90-degree curve and slowed down. Driver 1 was not able to stop assured clear distanced and caused a rear end collision with Driver 2. |
| 8818084 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12/9/2013 } \\ & 1: 12 \text { PM } \end{aligned}$ | SideswipeOpposite | Nick Lidstrom Drive 1075' E of Novi Rd | Driver 2 was northbound on Nick Lidstrom Drive and was approaching the curve in the roadway. Driver 1 was driving around the curve in the roadway and failed to navigate the curve in the roadway. Driver 1 drove left of center and struck Driver 2's driver side front panel with its driverside front end. |

## AECOM

## Field Review

## Existing Conditions

There are speed limit signs on each of the east/west and north/south segments of Nick Lidstrom Drive, and two curve warning signs per direction of traffic (one upstream of the curve and one at the curve). The locations of each sign can be found in Figure 1.

Figure 1 - Existing signage of Nick Lidstrom Drive


## Speed Data Collection

Vehicle speeds were recorded on Wednesday, June 3, 2015 from 4:00-5:45 PM using a radar gun. Speed data of vehicles were recorded randomly at two separate locations until a sample of 50 freelyflowing vehicles in each direction was obtained at both tangent locations, totaling a sample of 200 freely-flowing vehicles. The two locations where data were recorded are detailed as:

- Midpoint of the east/west tangent of roadway between Novi Road and the 90 -degree turn
- Midpoint of the north/south tangent of roadway south of the 90-degree curve

A summary of the speed data can be found on the next two pages and raw speed data can be found in Appendix B:

## ACOM

Table 2 - Speed Data Summarized for All Directions of Travel

| Direction: | All Directions |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Observed Vehicles | 200 |
| Average $(\mu)(\mathrm{mph})$ | 30.57 |
| 85th Percentile (mph) | 34.72 |
| Std. Deviation (mph) | 3.94 |
| Median (mph) | 30.20 |
| Max (mph) | 43.20 |
| Min (mph) | 21.30 |
| Pace (mph) | 26 to 35 (74\%) |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 5mph | $52.00 \%$ |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 10mph | $14.50 \%$ |
| Precision (95\% confidence) | $\mathrm{E}=.020$ |
|  | $30.53<\mu<30.61$ |

Figure 2 - Frequency Distribution of Speeds for All Directions of Travel


Overall, the average vehicle speed on Nick Lidstrom Drive is 30.57 mph with an $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 34.72 mph . The pace of vehicles can be defined as the 10 mph interval in which the highest percentage of vehicles are traveling. The pace for Nick Lidstrom Drive was calculated at $26-35 \mathrm{mph}$ which encompassed $74 \%$ of total speed observations. The mode ( 29 mph ) is the exact speed at which the most vehicles were traveling during the observation period. Of the 200 observations, it was calculated that $52 \%$ of vehicles were exceeding the posted speed limit by at least 5 mph .

Speed data summarized by location and by the inbound/outbound approach of the 90 -degree curve can be found in Appendix C. As shown in the speed data in the appendices, the east/west tangent section yields higher speeds than the north/south tangent section. The difference in speed on the two tangential sections is assumed to be attributable to the length of each section as the east/west tangent is roughly 350 feet longer than the north/south tangent. The presence of the 90 -degree curve in the roadway contributed to reducing vehicle speeds before/after the curve, however only for the eastbound direction. Based on an observation made in the field, southbound traffic outbound of the

## AECOM

curve would not significantly re-accelerate due to the need to complete an impending turning movement into one of the facilities at the end of the route. However, in the opposite direction, westbound traffic outbound of the curve increased their speed on average 3.30 mph upon completing the turning movement at the 90-degree curve.

## Analysis and Recommendation

Based on the existing conditions, along with the collected data, it is recommended that the City consider one of the two following mitigation measures. Because the existing posted speed limit is not in alignment with standard practices for speed limit establishment, AECOM suggests that

1. The City introduce a speed limit of 35 mph to align with the observed $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, or
2. The City consider removing the posted speed limit altogether as the roadway is very short in length and dead ends.

Police enforcement will likely not lead to reduced speeds when enforcement is not present. Should the City desire to see a reduction of the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of the roadway, the addition of traffic calming measures could be considered to more effectively reduce travel speeds consistently over time.

AECOM also recommends that the City replace the existing $\mathrm{W} 1-2$ signs with two $\mathrm{W} 1-1$ ( R and L ) as per MUTCD Section 2C.07.02. In addition to replacing the existing $\mathrm{W} 1-2$ signs, $A E C O M$ is recommending that an advisory speed plaque (sign W13-1P) of 10 mph also be added to the signs. To attempt to further reduce speeds through the 90-degree bend, W1-8 R\&L signs could be added to the outside radius of the turn; however, this is not considered to be necessary. For further reference, refer to Appendix D.

Figure 3 - Proposed Signing


## AECOM

## Appendix A <br> UD-10 Crash Reports






| Authority: 1949 PA 300, Sec. 257.622 <br> Compliance: Required MSP UD-10E <br> Penalty: $\$ 100$ and/or 90 days (Rev 11/2006) | External \# \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crash ID } \\ 8153726 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

STATE OF MICHIGAN TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ORI: } \\ \mathrm{MI} 6362700 \end{array}$ |  |  | Department NameNovi Police Dept |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Reviewer } \\ \text { MAY (00814) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Crash Date } \\ & 09 / 26 / 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Crash Time } \\ 00: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No. of Units } \\ 01 \end{array}$ | Crash Type <br> Single Motor Vehicle | Special Circumstances O School Bus |  | - Deer <br> O Fleeing Police | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special Ch } \\ \text { ○ Fatal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hecks } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | fic Area | ORV/Snowmobile |
| County 63 - Oakland | Traffic Control None |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Relation to Roadway } \\ & \text { On Road } \end{aligned}$ | Special Study | Weather Cloudy |  | Area11 - NON-FRWY Curved roadway |  |  |  |
| City/Twsp 62 - Novi | ConstructionZype (if applicable)Type Lane Closed |  |  | Activity | Light <br> Dark-Unlighted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Road Condition } \\ & \text { Dry } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Total Lanes } \\ 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed Limit } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Posted } \\ \text { Yes } \end{array}$ |


| z | Prefix | Road Name <br> NICK LIDSTROM | ```Road Type DR``` | Suffix | Divided Roadway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ー | Distance <br> 200 Feet E |  | Traffic Way 01 - Not physically divided |  | Access Control <br> 01 - No access control |
| $\bigcirc$ | Prefix | Intersecting Road NOVI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Road Type } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | Suffix | Divided Roadway |





| Authority: 1949 PA 300, Sec.257.622 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance: Required |
| Penalty: $\$ 100$ and/or 90 days (Rev 11/2006) |$\quad$| External \# | Crash ID |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |

STATE OF MICHIGAN TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ORI: } \\ \mathrm{MI} 6362700 \end{array}$ |  |  | Department NameNovi Police Dept |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Reviewer } \\ \text { MAY (00814) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Crash Date } \\ 12 / 01 / 2012 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Crash Time } \\ 21: 41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No. of Units } \\ 01 \end{array}$ | Crash Type  <br> Single Motor Vehicle Spe | ial Circumstances <br> School Bus |  | - Deer <br> O Fleeing Police | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special Ch } \\ \text { ○ Fatal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hecks } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | fic Area | ORV/Snowmobile |
| County 63 - Oakland | Traffic Control None |  | Relation to Roadway <br> Outside of shoulder/curb | Special Study | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weather } \\ \text { Clear } \end{gathered}$ |  | Area11 - NON-FRWY Curved roadway |  |  |  |
| City/Twsp 62 - Novi | Construction Zone (if applicable) |  | Lane Closed | Activity | Light <br> Dark-Unlighted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Road Condition } \\ & \text { Dry } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Total Lanes } \\ 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed Limit } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Posted } \\ \text { Yes } \end{array}$ |





| Authority: 1949 PA 300, $\operatorname{Sec} .257 .622$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Compliance: Required |
| MSP UD-10E |
| Penalty: $\$ 100$ and/or 90 days (Rev 11/2006) |$\quad$| External \# | Crash ID |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\#\#\#\#\#\# |  |

STATE OF MICHIGAN TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ORI: } \\ \mathrm{MI} 6362700 \end{array}$ |  |  | Department NameNovi Police Department |  |  |  | ReviewerPORTER (00822) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Crash Date } \\ 11 / 16 / 2013 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Crash Time } \\ 21: 43 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { No. of Units } \\ 02 \end{array}$ | Crash Type Rear End | Special Circumstances O School Bus |  | - Deer <br> O Fleeing Police | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special Ch } \\ \text { ○ Fatal } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hecks } \\ & \text { In } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | fic Area | ORV/Snowmobile |
| County 63 - Oakland | Traffic Control <br> Stop sign |  | Relation to Roadway On Road | Special Study | Weather Clear |  | Area09 - Intersection related-othr |  |  |  |
| City/Twsp 62 - Novi | Construction Zone (if applicable) Lane Closed |  |  | Activity | Light <br> Dark-Unlighted | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Road Condition } \\ \text { Dry } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Total Lanes } \\ 02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed Limit } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Posted } \\ \text { Yes } \end{array}$ |


| Z | Prefix | Road Name <br> NICK LIDSTROM | Road Type DR | Suffix | Divided Roadway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| く | Distance 200 Feet E |  | Traffic Way 01 - Not physically divided |  | Access Control <br> 01 - No access control |
| $\bigcirc$ | Prefix | Intersecting Road NOVI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Road Type } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | Suffix | Divided Roadway |





| Authority: 1949 PA 300, Sec. 257.622 <br> Compliance: Required MSP UD-10E <br> Penalty: $\$ 100$ and/or 90 days (Rev 11/2006) | External \# \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crash ID } \\ & 8818084 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

STATE OF MICHIGAN TRAFFIC CRASH REPORT

| ORI: MI 6362700 |  | Department Name <br> Novi Police Department |  |  |  |  | ReviewerPORTER (00822) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Crash Date } \\ 12 / 09 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Crash Time } \\ 13: 12 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of Units } \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | Crash Type Sideswipe-Opposite | Special Circumstances - School Bus | s <br> None <br> O Hit and Run | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O Deer } \\ & \text { O Fleeing Police } \end{aligned}$ | Special Ch O Fatal | hecks <br> O Non | ffic Area | ORV/Snowmobile |
| County 63 - Oakland | Traffic C None |  | Relation to Roadway On Road | Special Study | Weather Cloudy |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Area } \\ 10-\mathrm{NC} \end{array}$ | ON-FRW | traight road | way |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { City/Twsp } \\ & 62 \text { - Novi } \end{aligned}$ | Constru | $\begin{gathered} \text { on Zone (if app } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | Lane Closed | Activity | Light Daylight | Road Condition Wet |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Lanes } \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Speed Limit } \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Posted } \\ \text { Yes } \end{array}$ |


| Z | Prefix | Road Name <br> NICK LIDSTROM | Road Type DR | Suffix | Divided Roadway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| < | Distance 450 Feet E |  | Traffic Way 01 - Not physically divided |  | Access Control <br> 01 - No access control |
| $\bigcirc$ | Prefix | Intersecting Road NOVI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Road Type } \\ & \text { RD } \end{aligned}$ | Suffix | Divided Roadway |







|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\right\|^{\text {at Scene }} \text { Yes }$ | \#\#/\#\#/\#\#\#\# (\#\#:\#\#) | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# (\#\#\#\#\#\#) | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# (\#\#\#\#\#\#) | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |

## VEHICLE 2 WAS DRIVING ON N/B NICK LINDSTROM DR AND WAS

 APPROACING THE CURVE IN THE ROADWAY, WHICH WOULD TURN N/B INTO W/B.ININVEHICLE 1 WAS DRIVING AROUND THE CURVE IN THE ROADWAY FROM E/B NICK LINDSTROM TO S/B AND FAILED TO NAVIGATE THE CURVE IN THE ROADWAY. ININAT THIS TIME, VEHICLE 1 DROVE LEFT OF CENTER AND STRUCK VEHICLE \#2'S DRIVERSIDE FRONT PANAL WITH ITS DRIVERSIDE FRONTEND. VEHICLE \#1'S FRONTEND ALSO STRUCK VEHICLE \#2'S REAR DRIVERSIDE PANAL.ININDRIVER OF VEHICLE \#1 STATED THAT HE DIDN'T REALIZE THE CURVE WAS THAT SHARP AND, WHEN HE HAD APPLIED HIS BRAKES, HE SLIDE LEFT OF CENTER.

## AECOM

## Appendix B <br> Raw Speed Data

| Location: | E/W Tangent Section Nick Lidstrom Dr |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: | 6/3/2015 | Begin Time: 5:00 | End Time: 5:45 |
| EB Observation \#: | Speed (mph): | WB Observation \#: | Speed (mph): |
| 1 | 37.5 | 1 | 32.9 |
| 2 | 32.3 | 2 | 29.6 |
| 3 | 35.6 | 3 | 35.4 |
| 4 | 33.6 | 4 | 40.1 |
| 5 | 27.2 | 5 | 27.6 |
| 6 | 31.2 | 6 | 40.2 |
| 7 | 33.4 | 7 | 36.2 |
| 8 | 36.3 | 8 | 29.2 |
| 9 | 33.3 | 9 | 32.3 |
| 10 | 36.7 | 10 | 34.2 |
| 11 | 35.1 | 11 | 34.2 |
| 12 | 37 | 12 | 35.9 |
| 13 | 33.8 | 13 | 30.8 |
| 14 | 35.9 | 14 | 28.2 |
| 15 | 31.2 | 15 | 29.8 |
| 16 | 34.5 | 16 | 27.5 |
| 17 | 31.6 | 17 | 30.3 |
| 18 | 28.3 | 18 | 29.6 |
| 19 | 33.1 | 19 | 31 |
| 20 | 40.1 | 20 | 34 |
| 21 | 28.3 | 21 | 33.2 |
| 22 | 30 | 22 | 26.6 |
| 23 | 29.6 | 23 | 27.3 |
| 24 | 25 | 24 | 34.1 |
| 25 | 29.2 | 25 | 32 |
| 26 | 35.1 | 26 | 35.3 |
| 27 | 38.7 | 27 | 26.3 |
| 28 | 30.2 | 28 | 27 |
| 29 | 35.1 | 29 | 37.5 |
| 30 | 27 | 30 | 34.4 |
| 31 | 29.8 | 31 | 29.6 |
| 32 | 26.6 | 32 | 30.3 |
| 33 | 35.8 | 33 | 29.1 |
| 34 | 34.3 | 34 | 26.2 |
| 35 | 38.4 | 35 | 37.6 |
| 36 | 33 | 36 | 30.5 |
| 37 | 34.2 | 37 | 31 |
| 38 | 31.3 | 38 | 29.9 |
| 39 | 34 | 39 | 30.4 |
| 40 | 27.9 | 40 | 31.8 |
| 41 | 38 | 41 | 33.3 |
| 42 | 27.7 | 42 | 28.6 |
| 43 | 35.8 | 43 | 32 |
| 44 | 36.7 | 44 | 28 |
| 45 | 34.4 | 45 | 31.8 |
| 46 | 31.9 | 46 | 28.8 |
| 47 | 32.4 | 47 | 32.1 |
| 48 | 37.1 | 48 | 29.3 |
| 49 | 32.5 | 49 | 32.8 |
| 50 | 33.2 | 50 | 28.2 |
| End Time: | 5:45 PM |  | 5:42 PM |


| Location: | N/S Tangent Section Nick Lidstrom Dr |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: | 6/3/2015 | Begin Time: 4:00 | End Time: 5:00 |
| NB Observation \#: | Speed (mph): | SB Observation \#: | Speed (mph): |
| 1 | 28.7 | 1 | 28.8 |
| 2 | 29.6 | 2 | 25.2 |
| 3 | 31.6 | 3 | 26.3 |
| 4 | 23.7 | 4 | 24 |
| 5 | 33.2 | 5 | 27.8 |
| 6 | 29.6 | 6 | 29.3 |
| 7 | 24.7 | 7 | 34.8 |
| 8 | 32 | 8 | 29.4 |
| 9 | 29.7 | 9 | 33.3 |
| 10 | 32.6 | 10 | 30.6 |
| 11 | 32.3 | 11 | 32.6 |
| 12 | 29.7 | 12 | 25.1 |
| 13 | 34 | 13 | 28.5 |
| 14 | 27.1 | 14 | 26.5 |
| 15 | 25.7 | 15 | 26.3 |
| 16 | 31.8 | 16 | 28.1 |
| 17 | 31.9 | 17 | 26.3 |
| 18 | 27.9 | 18 | 25.1 |
| 19 | 34 | 19 | 28.1 |
| 20 | 28.8 | 20 | 30.2 |
| 21 | 33 | 21 | 27.3 |
| 22 | 24.7 | 22 | 30.1 |
| 23 | 28.9 | 23 | 25.6 |
| 24 | 32 | 24 | 26.9 |
| 25 | 29.7 | 25 | 30.9 |
| 26 | 30 | 26 | 29.2 |
| 27 | 26.7 | 27 | 27.8 |
| 28 | 37 | 28 | 28 |
| 29 | 30.8 | 29 | 25.9 |
| 30 | 33.2 | 30 | 26.6 |
| 31 | 28.2 | 31 | 34.7 |
| 32 | 25.2 | 32 | 25.7 |
| 33 | 23.1 | 33 | 27.2 |
| 34 | 30.6 | 34 | 32.1 |
| 35 | 32.3 | 35 | 23 |
| 36 | 24.4 | 36 | 29.8 |
| 37 | 21.3 | 37 | 28.1 |
| 38 | 35.3 | 38 | 25 |
| 39 | 29.7 | 39 | 25.5 |
| 40 | 25.4 | 40 | 24.9 |
| 41 | 33 | 41 | 29.8 |
| 42 | 24.8 | 42 | 35.2 |
| 43 | 28.5 | 43 | 26.3 |
| 44 | 31.1 | 44 | 24.4 |
| 45 | 27.6 | 45 | 28.4 |
| 46 | 24.3 | 46 | 24.6 |
| 47 | 43.2 | 47 | 30.9 |
| 48 | 32.4 | 48 | 27.2 |
| 49 | 32.8 | 49 | 30.7 |
| 50 | 28.3 | 50 | 25.5 |
| End Time: | 5:00pm |  | 4:51pm |

## AECOM

## Appendix C Directional Speed Data

| EB/WB Tangent Location |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direction: | EB | WB | Combined EB and WB |
| Number of Observed Vehicles | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| Average (mph) | 33.02 | 31.48 | 32.25 |
| 85th Percentile (mph) | 36.7 | 34.99 | 35.95 |
| Std. Deviation (mph) | 3.56 | 3.43 | 3.57 |
| Median (mph) | 33.4 | 30.9 | 32.2 |
| Max (mph) | 40.1 | 40.2 | 40.2 |
| Min (mph) | 25 | 26.2 | 25 |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 5mph | 78.00\% | 60.00\% | 69.00\% |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 10 mph | 34.00\% | 16.00\% | 25.00\% |
| EB/WB Tangent Location |  |  |  |
| Direction: | EB | WB | Combined EB and WB |
| Number of Observed Vehicles | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| Average (mph) | 33.02 | 31.48 | 32.25 |
| 85th Percentile (mph) | 36.7 | 34.99 | 35.95 |
| Std. Deviation (mph) | 3.56 | 3.43 | 3.57 |
| Median (mph) | 33.4 | 30.9 | 32.2 |
| Max (mph) | 40.1 | 40.2 | 40.2 |
| Min (mph) | 25 | 26.2 | 25 |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 5mph | 78.00\% | 60.00\% | 69.00\% |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 10 mph | 34.00\% | 16.00\% | 25.00\% |
| Vehicles Traveling Inbound to the 90 Degree Curve |  |  |  |
| Direction: | NB | WB | Combined NB and WB |
| Number of Observed Vehicles | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| Average | 29.72 | 31.48 | 30.6 |
| 85th Percentile | 33 | 34.99 | 34.02 |
| Std. Deviation | 4 | 3.43 | 3.81 |
| Median | 29.7 | 30.9 | 30.4 |
| Max | 43.2 | 40.2 | 43.2 |
| Min | 21.3 | 26.2 | 21.3 |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 5mph | 46.00\% | 60.00\% | 53.00\% |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 10 mph | 6.00\% | 16.00\% | 11.00\% |
| Vehicles Traveling Outbound of the 90 Degree Curve |  |  |  |
| Direction: | EB | SB | Combined SB and EB |
| Number of Observed Vehicles | 50 | 50 | 100 |
| Average | 33.02 | 28.07 | 30.55 |
| 85th Percentile | 36.7 | 30.83 | 35.12 |
| Std. Deviation | 3.56 | 2.92 | 4.08 |
| Median | 33.4 | 27.8 | 30.1 |
| Max | 40.1 | 35.2 | 40.1 |
| Min | 25 | 23 | 23 |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 5mph | 78.00\% | 24.00\% | 51.00\% |
| Percent Exceeding Speed Limit by 10 mph | 34.00\% | 2.00\% | 18.00\% |

## AECOM

## Appendix D Applicable MUTCD Sections

04 Minimum spacing between warning signs with different messages should be based on the estimated PRT for driver comprehension of and reaction to the second sign.
$05 \quad$ The effectiveness of the placement of warning signs should be periodically evaluated under both day and night conditions.
Option:
06 Warning signs that advise road users about conditions that are not related to a specific location, such as Deer Crossing or SOFT SHOULDER, may be installed in an appropriate location, based on engineering judgment, since they are not covered in Table 2C-4.

## Section 2C. 06 Horizontal Alignment Warning Signs

Support:
01 A variety of horizontal alignment warning signs (see Figure 2C-1), pavement markings (see Chapter 3B), and delineation (see Chapter 3F) can be used to advise motorists of a change in the roadway alignment. Uniform application of these traffic control devices with respect to the amount of change in the roadway alignment conveys a consistent message establishing driver expectancy and promoting effective roadway operations. The design and application of horizontal alignment warning signs to meet those requirements are addressed in Sections 2C. 06 through 2C. 15 .

Figure 2C-1. Horizontal Alignment Signs and Plaques


Note: Turn arrows and reverse turn arrows may be substituted for the curve arrows and reverse curve arrows on the W1-10 series signs where appropriate.

## Standard:

02 In advance of horizontal curves on freeways, on expressways, and on roadways with more than $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ AADT that are functionally classified as arterials or collectors, horizontal alignment warning signs shall be used in accordance with Table 2C-5 based on the speed differential between the roadway's posted or statutory speed limit or 85th-percentile speed, whichever is higher, or the prevailing speed on the approach to the curve, and the horizontal curve's advisory speed.
Option:
03 Horizontal Alignment Warning signs may also be used on other roadways or on arterial and collector roadways with less than 1,000 AADT based on engineering judgment.

## Section 2C. 07 Horizontal Alignment Signs (W1-1 through W1-5, W1-11, W1-15)

 Standard:01 If Table 2C-5 indicates that a horizontal alignment sign (see Figure 2C-1) is required, recommended, or allowed, the sign installed in advance of the curve shall be a Curve (W1-2) sign unless a different sign is recommended or allowed by the provisions of this Section.
02 A Turn (W1-1) sign shall be used instead of a Curve sign in advance of curves that have advisory speeds of $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{~ m p h}$ or less (see Figure 2C-2).

## Guidance:

03 Where there are two changes in roadway alignment in opposite directions that are separated by a tangent distance of less than 600 feet, the Reverse Turn (W1-3) sign should be used instead of multiple Turn (W1-1) signs and the Reverse Curve (W1-4) sign should be used instead of multiple Curve (W1-2) signs.
Option:
04 A Winding Road (W1-5) sign may be used instead of multiple Turn (W1-1) or Curve (W1-2) signs where there are three or more changes in roadway alignment each separated by a tangent distance of less than 600 feet.
05 A NEXT XX MILES (W7-3aP) supplemental distance plaque (see Section 2C.55) may be installed below the Winding Road sign where continuous roadway curves exist for a specific distance.
06 If the curve has a change in horizontal alignment of 135 degrees or more, the Hairpin Curve (W1-11) sign may be used instead of a Curve or Turn sign.
07 If the curve has a change of direction of approximately 270 degrees, such as on a cloverleaf interchange ramp, the 270-degree Loop (W1-15) sign may be used instead of a Curve or Turn sign.

## Guidance:

08 When the Hairpin Curve sign or the 270-degree Loop sign is installed, either a One-Direction Large Arrow (W1-6) sign or Chevron Alignment (W1-8) signs should be installed on the outside of the turn or curve.

Table 2C-5. Horizontal Alignment Sign Selection

| Type of Horizontal Alignment Sign | Difference Between Speed Limit and Advisory Speed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 mph | 10 mph | 15 mph | 20 mph | 25 mph or more |
| Turn (W1-1), Curve (W12), Reverse Turn (W1-3), Reverse Curve (W1-4), Winding Road (W1-5), and Combination Horizontal Alignment/Intersection (W10-1) <br> (see Section 2C. 07 to determine which sign to use) | Recommended | Required | Required | Required | Required |
| Advisory Speed Plaque (W13-1P) | Recommended | Required | Required | Required | Required |
| Chevrons (W1-8) and/or One Direction Large Arrow (W1-6) | Optional | Recommended | Required | Required | Required |
| Exit Speed (W13-2) and Ramp Speed (W13-3) on exit ramp | Optional | Optional | Recommended | Required | Required |

[^0]Figure 2C-2. Example of Warning Signs for a Turn


## Section 2C. 08 Advisory Speed Plaque (W13-1P)

## Option:

01 The Advisory Speed (W13-1P) plaque (see Figure 2C-1) may be used to supplement any warning sign to indicate the advisory speed for a condition.
Standard:
The use of the Advisory Speed plaque for horizontal curves shall be in accordance with the information shown in Table 2C-5. The Advisory Speed plaque shall also be used where an engineering study indicates a need to advise road users of the advisory speed for other roadway conditions.

If used, the Advisory Speed plaque shall carry the message XX MPH. The speed displayed shall be a multiple of $5 \mathbf{~ m p h}$.

Except in emergencies or when the condition is temporary, an Advisory Speed plaque shall not be installed until the advisory speed has been determined by an engineering study.
05 The Advisory Speed plaque shall only be used to supplement a warning sign and shall not be installed as a separate sign installation.

The advisory speed shall be determined by an engineering study that follows established engineering practices.
Support:
07 Among the established engineering practices that are appropriate for the determination of the recommended advisory speed for a horizontal curve are the following:
A. An accelerometer that provides a direct determination of side friction factors
B. A design speed equation
C. A traditional ball-bank indicator using the following criteria:

1. 16 degrees of ball-bank for speeds of 20 mph or less
2. 14 degrees of ball-bank for speeds of 25 to 30 mph
3. 12 degrees of ball-bank for speeds of 35 mph and higher

The 16, 14, and 12 degrees of ball-bank criteria are comparable to the current AASHTO horizontal curve design guidance. Research has shown that drivers often exceed existing posted advisory curve speeds by 7 to 10 mph .
Guidance:
The advisory speed should be determined based on free-flowing traffic conditions.
Because changes in conditions, such as roadway geometrics, surface characteristics, or sight distance, might affect the advisory speed, each location should be evaluated periodically or when conditions change.

## Section 2C. 09 Chevron Alignment Sign (W1-8)

Standard:
The use of the Chevron Alignment (W1-8) sign (see Figures 2C-1 and 2C-2) to provide additional emphasis and guidance for a change in horizontal alignment shall be in accordance with the information shown in Table 2C-5.
Option:
When used, Chevron Alignment signs may be used instead of or in addition to standard delineators.
Standard:
${ }_{0} 03$ The Chevron Alignment sign shall be a vertical rectangle. No border shall be used on the Chevron Alignment sign.

If used, Chevron Alignment signs shall be installed on the outside of a turn or curve, in line with and at approximately a right angle to approaching traffic. Chevron Alignment signs shall be installed at a minimum height of 4 feet, measured vertically from the bottom of the sign to the elevation of the near edge of the traveled way.
Guidance:
05 The approximate spacing of Chevron Alignment signs on the turn or curve measured from the point of curvature (PC) should be as shown in Table 2C-6.
06 If used, Chevron Alignment signs should be visible for a sufficient distance to provide the road user with adequate time to react to the change in alignment.

## Standard:

$07 \quad$ Chevron Alignment signs shall not be placed on the far side of a T-intersection facing traffic on the stem approach to warn drivers that a through movement is not physically possible, as this is the function of a Two-Direction (or One-Direction) Large Arrow sign.
08 Chevron Alignment signs shall not be used to mark obstructions within or adjacent to the roadway, including the beginning of guardrails or barriers, as this is the function of an object marker

Table 2C-6. Typical Spacing of Chevron Alignment Signs on Horizontal Curves

| Advisory Speed | Curve Radius | Sign Spacing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 mph or less | Less than 200 feet | 40 feet |
| 20 to 30 mph | 200 to 400 feet | 80 feet |
| 35 to 45 mph | 401 to 700 feet | 120 feet |
| 50 to 60 mph | 701 to 1,250 feet | 160 feet |
| More than 60 mph | More than 1,250 feet | 200 feet |

Note: The relationship between the curve radius and the advisory speed shown in this table should not be used to determine the advisory speed. (see Section 2C.63).

## Section 2C. 10 Combination Horizontal Alignment/Advisory Speed Signs (W1-1a, W1-2a)

 Option:01 The Turn (W1-1) sign or the Curve (W1-2) sign may be combined with the Advisory Speed (W13-1P) plaque (see Section 2C.08) to create a combination Turn/Advisory Speed (W1-1a) sign or combination Curve/Advisory Speed (W1-2a) sign (see Figure 2C-1).
02 The combination Horizontal Alignment/Advisory Speed sign may be used to supplement the advance Horizontal Alignment warning sign and Advisory Speed plaque based upon an engineering study.
Standard:
03 If used, the combination Horizontal Alignment/Advisory Speed sign shall not be used alone and shall not be used as a substitute for a Horizontal Alignment warning sign and Advisory Speed plaque at the advance warning location. The combination Horizontal Alignment/Advisory Speed sign shall only be used as a supplement to the advance Horizontal Alignment warning sign. If used, the combination Horizontal Alignment/Advisory Speed sign shall be installed at the beginning of the turn or curve.
Guidance:
04 The advisory speed displayed on the combination Horizontal Alignment/Advisory Speed sign should be based on the advisory speed for the horizontal curve using recommended engineering practices (see Section 2C.08).

## Section 2C. 11 Combination Horizontal Alignment/Intersection Signs (W1-10 Series)

Option:
01 The Turn (W1-1) sign or the Curve (W1-2) sign may be combined with the Cross Road (W2-1) sign or the Side Road (W2-2 or W2-3) sign to create a combination Horizontal Alignment/Intersection (W1-10 series) sign (see Figure 2C-1) that depicts the condition where an intersection occurs within or immediately adjacent to a turn or curve.
Guidance:
02 Elements of the combination Horizontal Alignment/Intersection sign related to horizontal alignment should comply with the provisions of Section 2C.07, and elements related to intersection configuration should comply with the provisions of Section 2C.46. The symbol design should approximate the configuration of the intersecting roadway(s). No more than one Cross Road or two Side Road symbols should be displayed on any one combination Horizontal Alignment/Intersection sign.
Standard:
03 The use of the combination Horizontal Alignment/Intersection sign shall be in accordance with the appropriate Turn or Curve sign information shown in Table 2C-5.

## Section 2C. 12 One-Direction Large Arrow Sign (W1-6)

Option:
01 A One-Direction Large Arrow (W1-6) sign (see Figure 2C-1) may be used either as a supplement or alternative to Chevron Alignment signs in order to delineate a change in horizontal alignment (see Figure 2C-2).
02 A One-Direction Large Arrow (W1-6) sign may be used to supplement a Turn or Reverse Turn sign (see Figure 2C-2) to emphasize the abrupt curvature.


[^0]:    Note: Required means that the sign and/or plaque shall be used, recommended means that the sign and/or plaque
    should be used, and optional means that the sign and/or plaque may be used.
    See Section 2C. 06 for roadways with less than 1,000 ADT.

