```
MEETING - ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
    CITY OF NOVI
```

TUESDAY, September 13, 2022 7:00 p.m.
Council Chambers|Novi Civic Center|45175 W. 10 Mile Rd

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
Joe Peddiboyina, Chairperson
Clift Montague, Secretary
Linda Krieger
Michael Thompson
Jay McLeod

ALSO PRESENT:
Elizabeth Saarela, City Attorney
Lawrence Butler, Comm. Development, Dep. Director Anita Sophia Wagner, Recording Secretary

Reported by:
Sandra D. Wilson, Certified Shorthand Reporter

Novi, Michigan
Tuesday, September 13, 2022
7:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Good evening. City of Novi Zoning Board Ordinance. Welcome to the Novi City Board. Today is September 13, 7:00 p.m. Call to order. Please, everybody stand up for the pledge of allegiance.
(Pledge of allegiance recited)
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please be seated. Can you hear me? Okay. Good. Okay. Secretary, can you please take a seat so we can roll-call?

MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Present.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Longo.
Absent, excused. Member McLeod.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Here.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Here.
MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson
Peddiboyina.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Here, please.

| MADAM SECRETARY: Member Sanghvi. <br> Absent, excused. Member Thompson. <br> MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. <br> MADAM SECRETARY: And Member Copes. <br> Absent, excused. <br> CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. I think we have enough quorum. <br> MR. BUTLER: Yes. <br> CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. That's <br> good. Thank you so much. Public hearing format of rules of conduct and regulation. <br> You can pick anything on those two papers. We have two cases. Any changes, any modifications, please let me know. <br> Any changes, modifications? <br> MADAM SECRETARY: No changes. <br> CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: No. Okay. <br> That's good. Thank you. Approval of agenda. I guess approval of minutes, agenda. Somebody can make a motion. <br> MEMBER KRIEGER: I move to approve the agenda. <br> CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Somebody can make a second. <br> MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'll second. |
| :---: |

THE COURT: Thank you. Motion
passes. And say aye in favor?
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays?
BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal
response).
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Approval of agenda is done and minutes of August is done. Public remarks. This is the time where you can speak any changes, any modifications on these two cases is the time public remarks and public hearing. No changes. Okay. Once we call your case, please come to the podium, spell your first and last name clearly to the secretary and Court Reporter. If you are an attorney, you do not need to do an oath; and if you are not an attorney, our secretary will take care of that. Thank you. And today --

MEMBER KRIEGER: Minutes.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. We approved minutes.

MEMBER KRIEGER: The agenda, not the minutes for August.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: No. No.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Oh.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Today's agenda, we have two cases. Any changes or anything, anything we need to approve or anything?

BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal response).

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Linda, any other thing?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I move to approve the minutes for August 2022.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. We
already did. And Montague --
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I already did.
She did the agenda, I did the minutes. (Indiscernible) -- second it.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Anything else?
BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal
response).
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: All in favor?
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

Let's move on to the first case today. PZ22-0041, Ernesto Chavez - Bright Loritos, LLC. 24293 Novi Road. Novi Road, north of 10 Mile Road. Parcel 50-22-22-400-026. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance from Section 4.12.2.i.a for not having an outdoor reacreation area. 150 square feet per person cared for is required. The variance requested will accommodate a three-hour Spanish immersion pre-school program. The property is zoned General Business, B-3. Is that person present?

MR. CHAVEZ: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. Please come to the podium and spell your first and last name clearly. Thank you. Please, go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly for the Secretary and for the Court Reporter.

MR. CHAVEZ: Ernesto Chavez.
$\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}$. Last name is $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Z}$.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Excuse me. Are you an attorney?

MR. CHAVEZ: I am not an attorney.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Do you swear to tell the truth in this case?

MR. CHAVEZ: I do.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Please go ahead and tell us how we can help you on this case tonight, and go ahead and present your case, please.

MR. CHAVEZ: Sure. Good evening, board members. My name is Ernesto Chavez. I am the founder of the company Bright Loritos. We have been in business since 2011, so it's been 11 years. We have three locations, one in Troy, another one in Rochester, and one in Novi. We started in Novi in 2015, so it's been seven wonderful years.

What we offer is second language instruction for children in Spanish, Chinese, Mandarin, and French, and Arabic too. So our purpose as a business is to help communities, families raise bilingual kids so they can respect other cultures and learn other languages.

When the pandemic started it really hit us hard, so we mostly have weekly classes,
like in the evenings as an enrichment program. So what we're hoping to do is to offer a pre-school program, a three our program in the mornings through the State of Michigan. So we do that also in our Troy and Rochester locations, so we're familiar with the licensing process. The State of Michigan doesn't require an outdoor space if you have the students for less than three hours, which is what we intend to do at the Novi location.

MR. CHAVEZ: As you can see -- can you see this? All right.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: It takes
time, yeah. Go ahead.
MR. CHAVEZ: So our current location doesn't allow us to have any outdoor space; there is just no physical way for us to do that. We tried with the next-door neighbor to see if there was any possibilities, we couldn't do it. So we're requesting, you know, for this variance in order for us to go ahead and have a three-hour pre-school program at that location.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Anything you'd like to add?

MR. CHAVEZ: I'm sorry?
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Is there
anything you want to say?
MR. CHAVEZ: Um, I mean I'm an
educator. Yeah, I think you guys should know I have a Michigan teaching certificate for K through 12. I also a Master's degree in early childhood education. I know there is a reason why the State of Michigan allows three hour programs without an outer space. We have a dynamic program so the kids are going to be happy. And, you know, we've been doing this for a long time, so, you know, I think that we're certain that we can have a really good program and continue to help our community. And many parents are requesting that, and it would really help our business as well.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Can somebody can close the door, please? I'm sorry. Okay. That's good. Anybody in the audience would like to speak on this case?

AUDIENCE: (No verbal response).
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Looks like none. Yeah, very good presentation, and let's see how things will go. I'm open to my
board.
MR. BUTLER: This is Larry.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Larry, can you -- from the city, Larry?

MR. BUTLER: I just want to let you
know that he did provide the documentation that allows him with the three-hour classes that they're doing, that they did not require an outdoor recreation area.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Larry. And correspondence secretary.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Correspondence, there were 27 letters mailed, five returned, no approvals, no objections.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
I'm open to the board, somebody can speak. Yeah, go ahead.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Sorry. Just some simple questions for --- (indiscernible). This location has been open, you said, for about 11 years? And --

MR. CHAVEZ: The Troy location, which was our first one. Novi has been open since 2015.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Okay. So it's been
open since 2015. You've had children at this location since 2015, and the outdoor space hasn't come up until the three to five-yearolds?

MR. CHAVEZ: Right. There was no need because we offer one-hour classes, so there is no need for that.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Got it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Anybody?

BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal response).

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Looks
like none. Linda, can you make a motion on this is case, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: In Case Number
PZ22-0041, for Ernesto Chavez, Bright Loritos, 24293 Novi Road, the applicant is requesting variance from the Zoning Ordinance, Section 4.12.2.i.a for not having an outdoor recreation area. 150 square feet per person cared for is required. The variances requested will accommodate a three-hour Spanish immersion pre-school program. It's zoned General Business. And the petitioner --
the property is unique because of its not having an outdoor space and the business; though they do not need outdoor play time and it will be below the three-hour required time for having mandatory outdoor playing.

And the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because of their inability to provide such an outdoor space. The petitioner did not create the condition because the area isn't created for that, and they already have it for indoor use. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because of their timing. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because of minimum requests.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MEMBER MCLEOD: One quick question, if I may, and I ask this of the city. If the ordinance only requires the outdoor space for classes -- students being in the location for more than three hours and the statement is these children are only going to be at the location for three hours or less, is the
variance really necessary or is he already within the rules of the law?

MS. SAAELA: No. The ordinance requires outdoor play area for any children in a daycare setting. The law he's quoting is a state law; it's a separate law that allows him not to have outdoor play space if he's doing a three-hour or less class. So we're talking about two separate ordinances. You need the Variance Zoning Ordinance because the variance doesn't differentiate based on time. Our ordinance does not differentiate based on time.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Okay. And that's why I asked the previous question then. He's been operating --

MS. SAAELA: He's only had one-hour classes before this, the need hasn't come up.

MR. CHAVEZ: So that's part of -more like a tutoring. You know, it's like swimming or --

MS. SAAELA: It's not daycare.
MR. CHAVEZ: Yeah. Karate lessons, what we offered before.

MS. SAAELA: It does not fit within the definition of daycare in the Zoning Ordinance.

MEMBER MCLEOD: The definition of daycare, I got it. So that is the difference between the two ordinances we're look at.

MS. SAAELA: We're not looking at two ordinances. What he's talking about, the three-hour limit, is a state law. The city ordinance says any daycare center has to have an outdoor play area. So his prior use was not a daycare center, that's why it did not come up before.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Okay. That makes
sense. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank
you. Somebody can make a second.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Second.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Any other --
MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson
Peddiboyina.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, yes.
Thank you.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member McCleod.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Thompson.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Motion passes.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA:
Congratulations. Thank you.
MR. CHAVEZ: All right. Thank you so much. I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Good luck. And today's final case. PZ22-0043. Drew Gilmour and Kimley-Horn. 27100 Wixom Road, Target Drive-up. Wixom Road, south of Grand River Avenue. Parcel 50-22-17-101-022. The applicant is requesting several variances from the City of Novi Sign Ordinance from Section 28-5 (a) for additional ground signs. One ground sign permitted, variance of 14 signs.

Also from Section 28-5 (a), a variance requested for two 12-feet high signs. Six feet high maximum allowed, variance of six feet. The variances requested will provide
instructions to customers on how to properly use the drive-up service. This property is zoned Light Industrial (L-1).

Please, go ahead and spell your
first and last name for the Secretary and the Court Reporter?

MR. IMMEL: Good evening. My name is Jeffrey Immel. That's J-E-F-F-R-E-Y. I-M-M-E-L. Drew Gilmour couldn't make it this evening, I'm his co-worker. I also work for Kimley-Horn. I'm here tonight to represent the Target Corporation.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Are you a lawyer?
MR. IMMEL: I am not.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Do you swear to
tell the truth in this case?
MR. IMMEL: I do.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Please go ahead and tell us how we can help you tonight in this case and present your case, please. Go ahead.

MR. IMMEL: Great. Thank you. So what we're proposing tonight is a variance for ground signs in the parking lot. These ground
signs will help facilitate the smooth operation of Target's drive-up service. If you're unfamiliar with the service, it's a program that Target offers where you can order items online or through an app, and then you go to the store. You're directed to the drive-up area via our drive-up beacons is what we're calling them, and then you park in designated drive-up parking spaces. And you see the drive-up double-sided post and panel sign in front of you, which has the space number on that sign. You enter that space number into the app, and then Target team members bring your groceries out to your car. So this service has grown in popularity a ton in the past couple of years. And we find that having these signs creates the most efficient and safe environment, making sure that people understand where the drive-up area is so they're not driving around endlessly looking for it.

We also feel that since this is a single tenant lot, there won't be an impact to the surrounding parcels here. This is really going to be contained solely in Target's
parking lot. That's basically all I have. If there are any questions, I'm prepared to answer them.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Can you show me the signs -- where the signs are going; do you have a layout of that?

MR. IMMEL: Yeah. So this is a -as you can see, here is the Target parking lot, right? And in our signs we have the two signs we're proposing, two types of signs. Sorry, it's a bit hard to see with the glare. We have our beacons, which are the solar powered; it's these signs here. There's two of these going at either end of the drive-up area, here and here. And then we have our double-sided post and panel signs, which indicate the space number; these are these signs. And these signs go at the head of each of the proposed parking stalls. So there's 12 of these total for the 24 proposed spaces. CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Where is the ground sign going, the ground sign?

MR. IMMEL: Excuse me?
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: In the application, you mentioned one ground sign.

MR. IMMEL: There are 14 proposed ground signs.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Yeah, 14 signs. These signs are polls you showed me like that?

MR. IMMEL: I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: The signs are poles, no?

MR. IMMEL: Yes. Yeah, that's correct. The one type of sign, the drive-up beacon, in quotations. (Gesturing).

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: You mentioned those are the solar powered or what?

MR. IMMEL: Yes. They're solar powered. The electronics are entirely contained within the sign there. The solar power is because at night these are illuminated. They produce a modest amount of light to shine through these acrylic pieces here. The letters and that emblem at the top of the beacon are illuminated.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: You mentioned that these are the drive-through (sic) signs, no?

MR. IMMEL: Yes, these are the drive-up signs, yes. There's two of these and 14 of these signs. So there's 14 of these ones -- sorry. Excuse me -- 12 of these signs going in the head of each of the drive-up stalls, and two of the beacon signs at either end of the drive-up area.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Is this location only? Is Target incorporate is incorporating in all the locations or one in this location?

MR. IMMEL: This is happening at Target's all over the nation.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Nationwide?
MR. IMMEL: Yes.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Okay. Larry, from the city.
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA:
Correspondence.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thirteen letters were mailed, one returned. No objections, no approvals.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

Anybody in the audience would like to speak? Anyone in the audience? Looks like none. Okay. Open to the board. Yeah. Please, go ahead.

MEMBER THOMPSON: You said they're lit up at night for people to see. Do they turn off when the store is closed or when that light functions --

MR. IMMEL: The schedule of the illumination will run on the same schedule as the wall signs that are currently present. So whatever was in place for those, if it was turned off when the store is closed, then it would be the same for those solar panels -for the solar beacons.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: This row is where you currently have your -- I mean you've got what, six or eight there now currently, and then you're extending that; is that the same row?

MR. IMMEL: That's right. Yes. So due to increased demand, we're increasing from two, four, six, eight, 12 to 24 , doubling the amount of spaces available for this drive-up service.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Member

Thompson, do you want to speak anything on this?

MEMBER THOMPSON: The question wasn't really answered; but no, I get it unless I missed something.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Any other board member?

MR. BUTLER: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, go
ahead, please.
MR. BUTLER: I believe he mentioned that the luminars of those signs, they're in a low -- the brightness of those lights, they're not lighting up the whole parking lot; am I correct? Could you expound on that a little bit more, the luminars of the signs?

MR. IMMEL: Yeah, it will provide lighting. I don't have the exact illumination figures on hand; I could provide that if the city would like that. But they're not intended to light the area, they're just intended to be visible in low light conditions.

MR. BUTLER: So people can drive up and see that's where they're supposed to be, see the numbers and stuff?

MR. IMMEL: That's right.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, the reason it's not going to be too bright and distractions like that. And also my fellow Board Member Thompson is asking, the timing, the shopping hours timing, these lights will be on and off?

MR. IMMEL: Yeah. It depends on the individual store.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, that's true.

MR. IMMEL: So I'm not aware of that store's practices. If it's the city's request, they can schedule to only be on during store hours.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other board member would like to speak? Yeah, Linda. Just go ahead.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Sorry.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: I'm sorry, I didn't ask you. Go ahead.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Just some very basic
questions here. The signs that are there now -- I did see like the tall -- I guess a tall 12 foot sign that was put up, is that the exact same one you're going to put on both ends of the parking lot?

MR. IMMEL: Yes. So the current beacon that is there now --

MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MR. IMMEL: -- we're proposing to remove that -- that's about 10 feet.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Okay. But the beacon and the signs that are currently there, those were already previously approved in some way, I'm guessing?

MR. IMMEL: I'm not sure; I can't speak to that.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Okay. The reason I ask is because you mentioned that those signs currently exist, but you're asking permission for like 12 more to go down the parking lot. The reason why $I$ comment is because $I$ want to be sure I understand the variance correctly, because it says one ground sign permitted variance of 14. You actually have six signs there already and you're trying to variance on
top of that. So I hope that doesn't disrupt any of the approvals that we may or may not give.

Secondly, I just want to confirm that the taller sign that's there now is correct. So it looks like you're looking for an additional 12 foot sign variance of six feet. And then the other signs, look like they're already six feet within the ordnance, you're just looking to add more of them down the line, 12 more -- or 13 more, whatever that number may be?

MR. IMMEL: That's correct.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Okay. That was my question. I was trying to make sure I understand the full scope. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Now, Linda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: I have a question regarding the height, the 12 feet, how they decided that. Because I've gone to other parking lots and they have similar ones, but they're at six feet and they're near the door. Whereas this one, when I drove by, I did see the 12 feet one. I'm worried about safety,
how easy it is for so many people to drive into those things and it topple over on their vehicle or on a person. Yeah, it would -because of covid and people being afraid to go -- or also the convenience of going into the store, I can understand the need for it, but my concern is for the 12 feet, if you could explain that.

MR. IMMEL: Yeah. So the 12 feet height was chosen to accommodate a busy parking lot. When you have a parking lot that is crowded with cars, that 12 feet is necessary so you can see the beacon from a distance over the height of the cars.

As for any concerns with its
structural capability, I can assure you that we design it to meet the standards of Michigan Structural Code. The pole, itself, sits on top of a two foot concrete foundation, so it's extremely sturdy.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. But the anticipation is that you're going to look for the drive-up near the entrance or -- so --

MR. IMMEL: So the drive-up is typically not placed at the entrance of the
store, it's placed off to the side at a different employee entrance, exit. So let's see if I can get a better -- okay. So the Target entrance is here -- and this is actually one of two pick-up services that Target offers; one where you pick-up groceries by actually entering into the store, and then the other is the drive-up service, which is here. So the drive-up service, the employees that are running back and forth -- not running, moving their groceries back and forth utilize a separate door that is not meant for customers coming in and out. So these beacons are essential to show that that drive-up area is here and not at the entrance of the store. MEMBER KRIEGER: For the city, if somebody was to hit something like that, and we approve it, is there any condition for the city?

MS. SAAELA: No.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
MS. SAAELA: He indicated there is a standard they have to meet for safety. As long as they meet those safety standards, the city doesn't have authority to redesign those
safety standards.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Thompson, make a motion?

MEMBER THOMPSON: I approve that we grant the variance of Case PZ22-0043, sought by Kimley-Horn, who is representing Target, for ground signs because the petitioner has shown difficulty acquiring ground signage. Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because it is a single tenant lot. The property is unique because it does have a stand-alone building. The petitioner did not create the condition because the zoning was written before this type of service was really even thought of. The relief granted would not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because the neighbors --

MS. SAAELA: Before you go on, I just want to point out the motion standards that were passed out at the beginning of the ZBA, Section 28-15 appeals that were handed out; those are the standards for this motion.

So when you're reading through the facts that the request is based on circumstances and features that are exceptional, is that the one that you -- it's not the one in the packet, that's the motion.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
MS. SAAELA: Yep. It's almost the same, but these standards are a little bit less strenuous to meet. So the facts will almost be the same, but they will fit in in a different way so that it's not as hard to get the variance. So it's A through -- it's Subsection B, under 28-15 there. Subsection 3, A through D, those are the standards. So that the request is based on the circumstances or features that are exceptional, unique to the property, and do not result from conditions that exists generally in the city. Those are the standards -- or that are self-created.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So the A would be the A?

MS. SAAELA: You have to provide facts for A through D there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, A
through D.
MEMBER THOMPSON: So without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to use of the property because the request is based upon circumstances or features that are exceptional and unique to the property and do not result from conditions that exist generally in the city or were self-created, right?

MS. SAAELA: Yep.
MEMBER THOMPSON: There we go. The property is unique because the failure to grant relief will unreasonably prevent or limit the use of the property and will result in substantially more than mere inconvenience or inability to attain a higher economic or financial return.

The petitioner did not create the condition because the grant of relief would be offset by other improvements or actions, such as increased setbacks or increased landscaping such that will not affect the result of an improvement of the property or the project. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding
properties because --
MS. SAAELA: So I think -- before I -- so I think you're mixing the two standards together. Ignore what's in the packet all together; those are the wrong standards. Those apply --

MEMBER THOMPSON: Ignore the sheet?
MS. SAAELA: Yes, ignore that sheet and just read the A through D there --

MEMBER THOMPSON: Got'cha.
MS. SAAELA: -- and add facts from it. So for the first one, that the request is based upon circumstances or features that are exceptional and unique to the property, do not result from conditions that exists generally in the city or that are self-created. Because the applicant is a nationwide chain that is in need of directional signage within its current location. So that's where you fit the facts in. You just ignore the first one all together.

MEMBER THOMPSON: (Indiscernible) -construction of the performance site would require the removal or significant alterations of natural features of the property. Then
we're on to E. The relief is consistent --
MS. SAAELA: There is not an E.
MEMBER THOMPSON: There's not an E?
MS. SAAELA: No, because you're on 28-15, it's just Subsection 3, A through D, period. That's it.

MEMBER THOMPSON: A through --
MS. SAAELA: D.
MEMBER THOMPSON: D. Okay.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: 28-15, A
through D.
MEMBER THOMPSON: A through D. So under Number C, the Zoning Board of Appeals may revoke any grant of the variance for violation --

MS. SAAELA: I'm not sure if we're following the right thing here. So if you go -- you have the Section 28-15 Appeals. You have Subsection A and B there, which says "The Zoning Board of Appeals shall have authority to correct errors, interpret provisions, grant various relief from the provisions of this chapter." So then you go under that Subsection 3 and you have that A, B, C, and D, those are the standards that you're using;
that's how you make your motion.
So ignoring that sheet that was in the packet -- so you have to say why do you believe the request is based on circumstances or features that are exceptional and unique to this property. And then you have to say what the failure to grant relief will unreasonablely prevent the petitioner from using its for drive-up service. And that the grant of relief would be offset by other improvements or actions, such as increased setbacks or landcaping.

In this case he's saying the property is surrounded by trees, they're the only tenant of this property, so it can't be seen from the road. So that offsets the fact that they're increasing the number of signage. And that $D$, "The construction of the signs would require the removal or significant alteration of natural features of the property." I'm not sure that really applies here.

So it's these standards you're focusing on. Ignore the stuff in the packet where it's talking about practical difficulty
and will be unreasonably prevented and the property is unique, those don't apply to this case; that applies to dimensional variance. Sign variance is something different from a different ordinance and it has its own standards. So it's not a question of what's in the packet. That was -- that's an error in the packet.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
MS. SAAELA: So the motion needs to be just based on what was handed out at the beginning of the meeting.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Second?
(Laughter)
MEMBER MONTAGUE: What she said.
MS. SAAELA: So you can accept what
I said as fact.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. This
is the one our attorney has mentioned.
MEMBER KRIEGER: He accepts what she said. Incorporate what was said in the --

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Including Member Thompson, so what is mentioned in the motion, and also followed by
the attorney, Elizabeth Saaela -(indiscernible) under Section 28-15 from A through D. And from the B Subsection 1, 2, 3 and 3 A, $B, C, D$, and C, D. And D, 1, 2, 3. Thank you. Somebody can make a second.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
MEMBER MCLEOD: I didn't understand that.

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: No. I make it -- okay. Thank you, Linda. Any -- Anita, can you call roll-call please?

MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson
Peddiboyina.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Mr. McLeod.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Thompson.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Motion passes.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA:
Congratulations. Good luck.

MR. IMMEL: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Any other thing apart from these two cases before I adjourn the motion?

BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal response).

CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: All in favor?
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
(Meeting adjourned at 7:37 p.m.)
/s/ Sandra D. Wilson
Sandra D. Wilson, CSR-0082
Notary Public
Oakland County, Michigan
My commission expires: 05-09-25
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