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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good evening.
Today is March 8th, Tuesday, 7:00 p.m. Zoning Board of Appeals of Novi.

Pledge of Allegiance. Please all if you stand up and lead by Member Montague.
(Pledge of Allegiance.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Roll call, Katherine?
MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly.
Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Present.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Present.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Present.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Here.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Copes is absent, excused.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Katherine. And we have a board -- enough quorum and a full board is there, I think so.

Okay. Everybody, please, can you turn off your phones, muted. And you can see on the screens everything. And once we call the case, please come to the podium and tell your name first clearly -- first spell and clearly. And also, if you have any questions or anything, our board members will ask you, please wait for the audience once they say. And also, the audience, only we have three minutes of time, not more than that. Please utilize the time. Don't use the more than three minutes. That's mandated.

And -- okay. And also, you can see on our screens also what I mentioned earlier.

Thank you.
And approval of agenda? Any changes, any
additions?
MS. OPPERMANN: There are no changes to the agenda.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No changes and no additions. Okay.

Okay. If somebody can make a motion.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Move to approve the agenda. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can somebody make a second, please?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Motion passed.

And February meeting minutes, can somebody make a motion on that?

MS. SAARELA: We move to approve the agenda. Someone move to approve and then a second, but we still have to do a voice vote. All in favor --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. All in favor say, "Aye."

THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays? Any abstains?

Thank you. And coming to the next -- thank
you, Elizabeth.
And coming to the February meeting minutes, any changes, any modifications? Somebody can make a motion on that?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I move to approve the February 2022 minutes.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Any nays? Anybody say aye?

THE BOARD: Aye.
MEMBER KRIEGER: In favor, aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: In favor aye.
Okay. Any changes?
Okay. Thank you.
Public remarks?
This is the time, can you place the public remarks to add anything?

So it looks like none. Thank you.
Okay. And for today's first case, PZ22-0003, Pro Image Design/IEP Urgent Care, 27204 Beck Road A3, East of Beck Road and North of Grand River Avenue, Parcel 50-22-16-176-033. The applicant is requesting the variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance

Section 28-5 (b) (1) (a) for the installation of up to a 34.50 square foot illuminated wall sign on the west elevation of the building, 32.5 square feet allowed, variance of two square feet. This property is zoned Office Service Technology, OST.

Okay. Please go ahead and tell your first and last name clearly for our court record.

MS. DURGAN: Susan Durgan, D-u-r-g-a-n.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And our secretary, can you take the oath on that?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Are you an attorney?
MS. DURGAN: I am not.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: And do you swear to tell the truth in this case?

MS. DURGAN: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, ma'am.
Please go ahead where we can help you today on this case.

MS. DURGAN: So we are here today -COURT REPORTER: Speak up just a little.

MS DURGAN: Sorry. We're here today requesting --

MS. OPPERMANN: It'll take just a second to

MS. DURGAN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MS. DURGAN: I don't think it's projecting.
MS. OPPERMANN: There it goes.
MS. DURGAN: There we go.
(Document displayed.)
MS. DURGAN: Requesting the variance for this sign. We built the sign to a 27 foot -- 27 lineal frontage. So we thought the max was 33.75 . And it actually should be built to 26 . The measurements changed. So it's 32.5 . So we're requesting a variance of 1.08 square feet.

And we actually used -- so we replaced this sign and we actually used the measurements that this sign was approved for, the 27 . And I think we had confirmed that with the city and then when they -- when there was additional measurements that they came out and measured, it was actually 26. So we were off a foot.

And then here is a sign with its neighbor tenants. So we think it's keeping with, you know, the
scale and the design of the frontage.
And I will -- the only other thing I will point out is our space actually goes to here. So I think when the first person had -- from our team had measured, they actually measured us at 28.41 because they were looking at the full lineal frontage. So I think there was just some confusion in how we measure and what the actual measurements were.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other thing you want to -- would like to add?

MS. DURGAN: I think that was it. I don't know if anyone had any questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Okay. From the city?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city at this time. Standing by for questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Larry.
Correspondence, secretary?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: There were 13 letters sent, two letters returned, no approvals, no objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Okay. Any public -- anybody who would like to speak on this case this is the time. Public?

Looks like none.
Okay. Yeah, I saw that. I visited your place and where you are looking on that. And I open to the board to speak on this case.

Okay. Dr. Sanghvi, please go ahead.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Good evening.
MS. DURGAN: Hello.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I have two questions. What does IEP mean?

MS. DURGAN: It stands for Independent Emergency Physicians.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay. Are you open 24 hours a day?

MS. DURGAN: We are not at the urgent care, but we actually are a team of local physicians. We lead emergency medicine at the Ascension Novi and Southfield and that is open 24/7. We also lead emergency medicine at St. Joe's in Pontiac, and Henry Ford Allegiance Health in Jackson.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I have no problem. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.

Okay, Member Longo?

MEMBER LONGO: Yeah, I've been a patient there a couple of times and $I$ think a big sign would really help out because $I$ knew kind of where $I$ was going. It's a very busy intersection so you can't just motor around slowly, or you shouldn't. So I think while it's a little bit larger, the distance you are from Beck would suggest that you need a big sign. So I'm in favor of this.

MS. DURGAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Any
other board member?
Okay. Looks like none.
Yeah. Yeah. When you need urgent care, anybody would like to see the board and all. I have no objection on this.

And Member Thompson, can you make a motion on this?

MEMBER THOMPSON: I move that we grant the variance in case -- I move that we grant the variance in case PZ22-0003, sought by Pro Image Design and IEP Urgent Care for the sign variance because the petitioner has shown particular (sic) difficulty requiring installation of a sign 34.5 square feet. Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because it is in the commercial area and it does match the neighbor's signs.

The property is unique because it's off of Beck Road and does have a setback. The petitioner did not create the condition because the ordinance does not take in the vehicle speed at a busy intersection like Beck Road right there.

The relief granted will not unreasonably
interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because it is only two square feet and it fits in with the neighbors.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it's a reasonable request and it does match the surroundings.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Okay. Say all in favor. Okay. I mean,
Katherine, take roll call, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Yes.
Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Good luck.

MS. DURGAN: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Coming to the -- today's second case, PZ22-0004, Meridien Homes Construction, 29906 Brush Park Court, West of West Park Drive and South of South Lake Drive, Parcel

50-22-04-451-028. The applicant is requesting a
variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.3 for a rear yard setback of 29.26 feet, 35 feet minimum required, a variance of 5.74. This variance will accommodate the construction of a new home. This property is zoned Residential Acreage, RA.

Okay. Is the applicant is there?
Okay. Go ahead and tell your first and last name clearly for our court record.

MR. KARANA: Sorry?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Please go ahead and tell your first and last name.

Can you come to the mic, please?

MR. KARANA: I'm not understanding what you're saying, sorry.

MR. KRISHNASWAMI: Your first and last name.

MR. KARANA: Oh, my first and last name.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you come to the mic, please?

MR. KRISHNASWAMI: You have to stand here.
MR. KARANA: Basil Karana. Meridien Homes Construction, LLC. I'm a builder.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you spell first and last name, clearly, please? Can you hear us?

MR. KARANA: I'm having difficulty hearing you, sir.

MS. OPPERMANN: If you can please spell your first and last name, please.

MR. KARANA: Yeah. B-a-s-i-l $K-a-r-a-n-a$.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Secretary, can you take the oath on this?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Are you a lawyer?
MR. KARANA: No. I'm a builder.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Do you swear to tell the truth in this case?

MR. KARANA: Yeah, I swear.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
MR. KARANA: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please go ahead on what we can help you on today.

Can you present your case, please?
MR. KRISHNASWAMI: Can you present your case?
MR. KARANA: Yeah. My client purchased a lot
in the subdivision called West Park Place subdivision,
in the intention of building a house for him and his family. The design was made and then we hired a surveyor, plotted the house on the property. And considering the setbacks, there is an encroachment in the left setback on the side that is considered as rear. But we got, like, 200 feet of sideline setback -- sideline, property line that is considered rear and this created this encroachment and we're asking you to allow us to build the house.

It's a typical house that's been built many, many times. And the property is .41 of an acre. It's a good size property. And still we have the difficulty because of the shape and considering the three sides as rear. And that created this issue. And that's why we
are here asking your permission to allow us to build it.

Actually, the area of the encroachment compared to the size of the property is very, very little. It doesn't amount to a size of a floor mat. A door mat. So we're asking you to allow us to build it this way.

And these homes on this cul-de-sac, they are separated by distance, especially the house to the left. And we were allowing only 24 feet side entry garage allowance to make it feasible so we can use the property the best way.

I'm a builder. I built seven or eight homes in this subdivision and built hundreds of homes, and I see that there is some difficulty here and hopefully you can allow us to build it this way. We tried. The design was made and it's a cul-de-sac lot so it's like a pie shape. It's narrow in the front so we have to put the house in the back. A typical floor plan, but we're having difficulty putting it on the property.

The house to the left, you see from the drawing, there is a big distance. And the encroachment is here only. This small triangular, yeah, small
triangular shape.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you like to talk any more?

Do you want to present -- you want to talk also?

MR. KRISHNASWAMI: Yes, please.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Please go ahead and tell your first and last name clearly.

MR. KRISHNASWAMI: My name first is
Ramnarain, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{n}$. Last name Krishnaswami, K-r-i-s-h-n-a-s-w-a-m-i.

First of all, good evening, Chairperson and members of the committee.

I am the owner of this property. I -- my wife and I would like to build a house in this property. We chose this property because of the location and we liked it. The lot, as the builder said, as Mr. Karana said, is in a cul-de-sac. And it is an odd shaped lot. It's got multiple sides to it, plus the front is narrow given that it is in the top of a circle here.

And given that, if we were to locate -relocate this house a little bit further, it encroaches
into the front setback. And our understanding is that a driveway -- effective width of a driveway should be about 24 feet. Anything smaller is likely not preferred given that you are having problem with turning radius and other quality, safety type issues.

So given that, this is multiple sides to the lot on how it is coming. It basically has three rear corners. And it is this edge that is considered to be within about six feet of the rear setback, while there's plenty of space in and around. Alternatively, if this was a curved lot, this side would become the side of the property and you need only 14 feet while we have 29 feet here.

So shifting the house and moving it further encroaches other attributes that are deemed necessary by the building department.

Thank you. Any questions?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Ram.
Okay. From the city?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Correspondence, secretary?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: 27 letters sent, zero letters returned, two approvals, and one objection. An Ezio Mascueelli.
(Court reporter requests spelling.)
MEMBER MONTAGUE: E-z-i-o
M-a-s-c-u-e-e-l-l-i, I believe. It's hard to -- just an approval.

Ammar Miktto, A-m-m-a-r M-i-k-t-t-o. It's hard to read the handwriting. Sorry. Just an approval. Circled approval.

Aaron Boulee, A-a-r-o-n B-o-u-l-e-e. Just circled objection. And that's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
From the audience, anybody would like to speak on this case? This is the time you can, please.

Okay. Looks like none.
Okay. Thank you for the presentation Ram and Ko. Yeah, I saw your property. There is the odd shape on the uniqueness of the property that you are requesting. And apart from that, I have no objection on this case and I'm open to the board.

Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.

not be building a check. It will be a patio to the other corner.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I have no other problem with your request, but $I$ would like to put a little rider that no deck will be permitted. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Dr. Sanghvi. Good point. And I saw the property. The same question I have, but you asked the question. Thank you.

Any other board member?
Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: I have a question with the trees surrounding. Is that woodland or wetland in there or is it just strictly the topography of the shape of the lot?

MR. KRISHNASWAMI: It's just the shape of the lot. It's -- because there are older homes on West Park Drive that are out there and they are placed all over the place. I do not see any wetland adjacent to the property.

MR. KARANA: No. It's dry.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member

Krieger.
Any other board member?
Looks like none.
Member Montague, please go ahead.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I move that we grant a variance in case number PZ22-004 for a rear yard setback variance of 5.74 feet. Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably limited or prevented with respect to use of this property because the site creates housing -- the lot creates a house siting issue. The property is unique because of its lot shape. It is also a very large lot.

The petitioner did not create because it's an existing lot that he wants to build a house on. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent properties because it is a large lot and it's truly only a corner that sticks out. It's not even a whole face of the building. And it is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it allows the homeowner to build his property.

The variance would like to be granted subject to that no deck will be added to the rear of the house. MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Okay. Katherine, can you please call the
roll call?

MS. OPPERMANN: Yes.
Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations.
Good luck.
MR. KRISHNASWAMI: Thank you.
MR. KARANA: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Today's
final case, PZ22-0006, Johnson Sign Company and Varsity Lincoln, 49251 Grand River Avenue, East of Wixom Road and South of Grand River Avenue, Parcel 50-22-17-101-017. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance Section 28-5 (g) to update a sign previously approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals on April 5th, 1994, in case number 94-035. To convert the existing monument sign to a digital changeable copy sign. The size and location of the existing sign will not be altered. This property is zoned General Business, B-3.

Okay, sir. Go ahead. How are you, sir?
MR. LANDRY: Good evening. My name is David Landry, L-a-n-d-r-y. I represent Varsity Lincoln. I am an attorney so I don't think you need to swear me in.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: We know you, Landry.

MR. LANDRY: But I'm happy to if you like. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

MR. LANDRY: We are here tonight because we really want to change a sign from static to changeable
copy because the ordinance changed which allows it now.
In 1994, ZBA approved a sign package for Varsity Lincoln, several signs back when the dealership opened. And over the years, those signs have remained. They've been refreshed and painted and changed a little bit. And since the ordinance changed, Varsity would like to convert one of the signs to a changeable copy. We do not intend to change the location or the size or the dimensions. Just change it from static to changeable copy. The sign ordinance allows that.

We submitted an application to the city and they've indicated that it's approvable with respect to location and size and the changeable copy. However, because it was originally approved as part of the package in 1994, they requested we come back before the ZBA and get your approval so that all the I's are dotted and the T's are crossed.

With respect to location, we have Grand River and Wixom. The sign is located here. It is a static sign with respect to preowned vehicles. You can see it right there. What we would like to do is change it to a changeable copy. And you can see we don't intend to change -- we still have the stone base. The dimensions
of the sign will remain the same, just a part of it we're requesting to make changeable copy.

So with that, the criteria were all approved in 1994. We didn't create the condition. It was determined that these wayfinding signs, there's a number of people come to buy new cars, used cars, to get work done on their cars. This a preowned used car vehicle's sign. And so all of those criteria were addressed in 1994. We think they still apply and we would ask for permission to do so.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, David Landry.

And any other things you would like to add?
You would like to talk any more on this?
MR. LANDRY: No. I'm all done.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Good presentation.

I visited your property and this was in 1994 the sign, maintaining well. That's nice. And thank you so much. And just you're asking for a digital one.

And I visited your property also and it's a good business and very good business you guys are doing. And apart from that, $I$ have no objection on
this. Given the latest technology improved on this situation and clearly -- I don't know. But times change. And I have no objection on this.

And it's open to the -- okay. Not only that. And let me go to Larry, city?

MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And what about correspondence?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: There were 23 letters sent, four letters returned and one objection.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Please go ahead.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Objection from Siewhiang McCreight, S-i-e-w-h-i-a-n-g M-c-c-r-e-i-g-h-t.
"We would be staring at a digital sign every time we look out our backyard." And that, "no one would want that."

And they're afraid it would depreciate their property value.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And public, would like to speak, please come up to the podium.

MR. McCREIGHT: Hello. Good evening. My name is Hugh McCreight. And that is my wife Siewhiang
who wrote in the letter. M-c-c-r-e-i-g-h-t is the last name.

So we live right across the street in the Berkshire Point subdivision. And the sign, although it is low, if it is lit up, it is very visible from our back deck. It also -- worried about light pollution. You know, our bedroom actually faces that same direction as the sign so if it's lit up at all times, it's going to be very, very bright. We do know the Lincoln dealer has a sign further up the road where the Sam's Club is that is a changeable sign, but it's not visible from our house so it doesn't have the same concern that this sign would have.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you like to speak any other thing? That's it?

MR. McCREIGHT: That's really about it. I just -- you know, we'd prefer not to see it looking out my deck every day.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Okay. MR. LANDRY: Could I address that? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, please. MR. LANDRY: Here's a map of the vicinity. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I was about to ask
you.
MR. LANDRY: The sign would be located up here. The nearest house is 490 feet to the south. Directly across is a woodland and a pond retention area. So the closest house, I don't know if this gentleman lives here, but that's what we're talking about. A sign up here. We're not talking about a sign across the street. It's way down here. That's the closest house, assuming that's where he lives.

Thank you.
MS. SAARELA: Can I just clarify something between the 1994 variance --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. SAARELA: So the changeable copy aspect of this request is permitted under the sign ordinance. We're not deciding whether changeable copy is allowed. You're just deciding whether you're reaffirming the location and size variance that was previously granted for this new sign. So the whole question of whether he can have changeable copy or not is not a question. The ordinance allows it. We can't prohibit a changeable copy sign. What you're deciding is, are you reaffirming the size and location variance from 1994.
 know, 24 hours a day it's on?

MR. LANDRY: Yeah. The sign will be lit 24 hours a day, just like any other -- just like the ordinance allows. But, again, no scrolling, nothing like that.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much for clarifying.

Okay. It's open to the board.
Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Good evening, Mr. Landry.

MR. LANDRY: Good evening, Doctor.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Some of you don't know him, he's former mayor of the city. But besides that, how many hours a day do you think this sign will be lit up?

MR. LANDRY: Well, I anticipate that the sign will be lit and there will be an image on it 24 hours a day. But the way these digital signs work is when it gets dark, they dim down. They have an automatic dimmer on them. All digital signs do. So during the day when it's daylight, they're brighter. And they dim down at night.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay. Thank you very much. I have no problem. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other board member?

MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh. Go ahead, sir.
MEMBER LONGO: Mr. Landry, do you know, what is the benefit of having a changeable sign versus a static sign?

MR. LANDRY: Well, the changeable sign, as the ordinance recognizes, is you can obviously change the image on the sign. And this is a sign that indicates preowned vehicles and can indicate what's available on the lot. And they're many different models. What's available, where you go. And that's the benefit of any changeable sign is what the product is that you're selling.

MEMBER LONGO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.
Any other board member?
Okay. Linda, go ahead.
MEMBER KRIEGER: For the brightness, like when we're driving down Ten Mile at night and they have the city light, $I$ don't know if -- it's going to be lit like you say, but, like, if it's -- and it's down the
street but, like, how bright and how dim?
MR. LANDRY: Well, I don't know the lumens of the sign.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Right.
MR. LANDRY: But keep in mind, this is a car dealership. They're all lit up. There's white lights that shine down on car dealerships. They're not -it's not a dark parking lot. So you're going to have a sign inside of an already lit which that in and of itself, the ambient light from the overhead is going to make this sign not as bright as if it were on a dark street.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And they probably have the date, time and temperature on one of their --

MR. LANDRY: No, I don't think it's going to do that.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Oh. They're just wanting -okay.

MR. LANDRY: No, it's not going to do that.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other board member?

Okay. Looks like none.
I have no objection on this.
And let's go to the motion. Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ22-0006 sought by Varsity Lincoln to changeable copy sign in the same dimensions as the sign has been. Because the petitioner has shown a practical difficulty of changing the sign -- size of the sign.

Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to use of the property because the sign already exists in the dimensions that it is.

The property is unique because it is a large car dealership at the corner of a busy intersection, capital, BUSY intersection.

The petitioner did not create the condition because the sign and location and size has existed since 1994.

The relief granted does not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent or surrounding properties because it is the same size and changeable copy is permitted by Novi. The relief is consistent with the
spirit and intent of the ordinance because there are a variety of businesses with signage in the area.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Please, Katherine, can you roll call?
MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly.
Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr.
Landry. Congratulations.

MEMBER LONGO: Mr. Landry, it's deja vu seeing you in here.

MR. LANDRY: Yes, it is. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. That's all today. It's looks like it's 7:37 only. I would like two things. Recently we had a training session. I would like to thank you to the -- who all attended for the training session. Our new secretary was there.

Katherine, can you help her name, please?
MS. OPPERMANN: Our new secretary is Anita Sophia Wagner.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anita?
MS. OPPERMANN: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Anita. Welcome to the team. Thank you so much.

And also, Larry was there. Larry took care of the food and all. Thank you so much.

And also, our attorney, Elizabeth was there and other attorney, Chuck was there.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Schultz. Mr. Schultz. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Mr. Schultz was there.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Schultz. Tom Schultz.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
And today's Women's Day, International
Women's Day. All women, Happy Women's Day.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Women's Day?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, today. Okay. Thank you. And I would like to motion, you know, done for the day -- I'm sorry. Go ahead, Member Sanghvi.

MEMBER SANGHVI: May I make a motion to
appreciate and thank the city attorney for our
wonderful presentation for the training.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Exactly. Please go
ahead, sir. Make a motion.
MEMBER KRIEGER: I second that.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, motion.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
MS. SAARELA: You're all welcome. I'm glad it was helpful.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No, no. It was so nice and helpful and nice dinner. We had -- everybody had a good time. And also, the city -- other department, planning commission was there. And we have good interaction and we all know what them and we had a
good conversation also.
And we would like to bring every quarterly organize session, that will be nice session to learn more. Everybody, you know, new members and board members and we all know each other, too. Thank you so much for that. And for that, any other questions or anything before I make a motion?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I have a question.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, please go ahead.

MEMBER KRIEGER: For -- when we have, like, meetings and that before, if we're waiting for a motion, that we have to wait. And then other times you assign. Is it okay to assign like we do, that's being done?

MS. SAARELA: Yeah. You can assign motions. As long as you guys aren't talking to each other about the cases ahead of time. So if the Chair wants to send out an E-mail that says, this is who I want to do the motions, that's fine.

MEMBER KRIEGER: All right.
MS. SAARELA: Just don't reply all. You can reply individually to him.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. We used to do in the pandemic time, I used to do that. We sent an E-mail. Now, I'm not sending the preplanned motion, who wants to do and that's why we did it.

Okay. Thank you so much. And I can entertain a motion?

MEMBER SANGHVI: I make a motion to adjourn the meeting for today, Mr. Chairperson.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any second? MEMBER KRIEGER: Second. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Say all in favor aye.

THE BOARD: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any objection?

No.

Meeting adjourned.
(At 7:40 p.m., meeting adjourned.)
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