

## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovi.org

# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS STAFF REPORT

FOR: City of Novi Zoning Board of Appeals ZONING BOARD APPEALS DATE: November 8, 2015

#### REGARDING: STANAJ (CASE NO. PZ15-0010)

BY: Charles Boulard, Building Official

#### GENERAL INFORMATION:

Applicant Pjeter Stanaj

<u>Variance Type</u> Dimensional Variance

#### **Property Characteristics**

Zoning District: Site Location: Parcel #: RA, Residential Acreage Vacant parcel on south side of 9 Mile Road and east of Napier 50-22-31-100-001

#### <u>Request</u>

The applicant is requesting variances from the CITY OF NOVI, CODE OF ORDINANCES; Section 3.1.1 (d) to allow construction of new single family residence on an existing vacant parcel: 1) a variance of 26.0 feet in the required front yard setback (45.0 feet required, 19.0 feet proposed); and 2) a variance of 26.0 feet in the required rear yard setback (50.0 feet required, 24.0 proposed).



#### II. ZONING AND LAND USE:

The table below lists the zoning district, existing land use and future land use for the subject site and surrounding parcels.

| Parcel              | Zoning District            | Existing Land Use      | Future Land Use |
|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Subject<br>Property | RA, Residential<br>Acreage | Section 31             | Single Family   |
| North               | RA, Residential<br>Acreage | Section 31             | Single Family   |
| South               | RA, Residential<br>Acreage | Park Place Subdivision | Single Family   |
| East                | RA, Residential<br>Acreage | Park Place Subdivision | Single Family   |
| West                | RA, Residential<br>Acreage | Park Place Subdivision | Single Family   |

#### III. STAFF COMMENTS:

#### Discussion:

The applicant returns to the Zoning Board of Appeals. Since the last, on May 12, 2015, applicant has submitted revised floor plan and elevation date stamped May 21, 2015 for your review. Since September 15, 2015 the applicant has provided more detailed plans of the proposed residence.

#### Existing Condition

The subject property consists of one- (1) vacant lot located on the south side of 9 Mile Road within Section 31 of the City. The parcel has approximately 115.5 feet of frontage on 9 Mile Road and approximately 115.5 feet deep as measured along east side yard lot line. The total lot area of the parcel is approximately 13,340.25 square feet.

#### Proposed Changes

The petitioner is proposing to construct a new single family detached home on an existing lot nonconforming lot with the RA zoning district. The lot area is significantly less than the current minimum of 1 acre. The new home would result in a setback of 19.0 feet from the front yard lot line, 30.0 feet from the east side yard lot line, 20.0 feet from the west side yard lot line, and 24.0 feet from the rear yard lot line. **This requires a variance of 26.0 feet in the required front yard setback and a variance of 26.0 feet in the required rear yard setback**.

#### IV. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS:

The table below summarizes the zoning district development standards for the subject parcels.

| Lot Size  |        |        | Minim              | num Setback                |        |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| Area      | Width  | Front  | Sides              | Aggregate Side             | Rear   |
| RA 1 Acre | 150ft. | 45 ft. | 20 ft. ( one side) | 50 ft. (total of two side) | 50 ft. |

### V. RECOMMENDATION:

The Zoning Board of Appeals may take one of the following actions:

| 1. Grant | I move that we <b>grant</b> the variance(s) in Case No. <b>PZ15-0010</b> , sought by , for  |
|----------|---|
|          | because the Petitioner has established that   |
|          | relating to the property, including some or all of the following criteria:  |
|          | (a) Petitioner has established that the property is unique because, or that the physical condition of the property creates the need for a variance                                    |
|          | condition of the property creates the need for a variance because   |
|          | And, the condition is not a personal or economic hardship.  |
|          | (b) The need for the variance is not self-created, <b>because</b>   |
|          | (c)   |
|          | (c) Strict compliance with dimensional regulations of the Zoning Ordinance, including, will (either):   |
|          | <ol> <li>unreasonably prevent Petitioner from using the property for the permitted<br/>purpose as a, because,<br/>and/or,</li> </ol>  |
|          | <ol> <li>will make it unnecessarily burdensome to comply with the regulation because</li> </ol>   |
|          | (d) Petitioner has established that variance is the minimum variance necessary<br>because a lesser variance would not   |
|          | (e) The requested variance will not cause adverse impact on surrounding<br>property, property values, or the enjoyment of property in the neighborhood or<br>zoning district, because |
|          | (f) The variance granted is subject to the conditions that:   |
|          | 1   |

- 2. Deny I move that we <u>deny</u> the variance in Case No.PZ15-0010, sought by \_\_\_\_\_\_, for \_\_\_\_\_\_ because the Petitioner has **not** established a practical difficulty because:
  - (a) Petitioner has shown no unique circumstance or physical condition of the property because petitioner can reasonably comply with the ordinance by\_\_\_\_\_.
  - (b) The difficulty described by the Petitioner is a personal or economic difficulty only in that Petitioner stated \_\_\_\_\_\_.
  - (c) The need for the variance is self-created because Petitioner
  - (d) Conforming to the ordinance would not (either):
    - 1. be unnecessarily burdensome because \_\_\_\_\_, or,
    - 2. unreasonably prevent petitioner from using the property for \_\_\_\_\_, because\_\_\_\_\_.
  - (e) A lesser variance consisting of \_\_\_\_\_\_would do substantial justice to Petitioner and surrounding property owner's because\_\_\_\_\_.
  - (f) The proposed variance would have adverse impact on surrounding property because \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Should you have any further questions with regards to the matter please feel free to contact me at (248) 347-0417

Charles Boulard Building Official City of Novi



45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovi.org

### ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION

#### APPLICATION MUST BE FILLED OUT COMPLETELY

| I. PROPERTY INFORMATION (Address of subject ZBA Case)   | Application Fee: 250  |
|---|---|
| PROJECT NAME / SUBDIVISION  | 5/12/1-   |
| ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS   | SPACE # Meeting Date:   |
| 9 ENapier   | ZBA Case #: PZ 5-0010   |
| SIDWELL # May be obtain from A Department (248) 347.  | (356231)G   |
| Napier & 9 mile   |   |
|   | SFOR:<br>ENTIAL COMMERCIAL VACANT PROPERTY SIGNAGE  |
| DOES YOUR APPEAL RESULT FROM A NOTICE OF VIOLATION OR CITATION ISSU   |   |
| II. APPLICANT INFORMATION   |   |
| EMAIL ADDRESS   | CELL PHONE NO.  |
| A. APPLICANT PjeterStanay 76  | TELEPHONE NO.   |
| NAME Pieter Stanai  | J ILLEPHONE NO.   |
| ORGANIZATION/COMPANY  | FAX NO.<br>(248) 338-7121   |
| ADDRESS   | STATE ZIP CODE  |
| 1771 Long Lake Shore DR Blarn   | Twon mi 48302   |
| B. PROPERTY OWNER CHECK HERE IF APPLICANT IS ALSO THE PROPER  |   |
| Identify the person or organization that eMAIL ADDRESS owns the subject property:                                 | CELL PHONE NO.  |
| NAME  | TELEPHONE NO.   |
| ORGANIZATION/COMPANY  | FAX NO.   |
|   |   |
| ADDRESS CITY  | STATE ZIP CODE  |
| III. ZONING INFORMATION   |   |
| A. ZONING DISTRICT  |   |
| № -R-A □ R-1 □ R-2 □ R-3 □ R-4 □ RM-1   | RM-2 MH   |
|   |   |
|   |   |
| NDICATE ORDINANCE SECTION (S) AND VARIANCE REQUESTED:         1. Section         3.1.1         Variance requested | T d P- n Home (   |
|   |   |
| 2. SectionVariance requested  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |
| 3. SectionVariance requested  |   |
| 4. SectionVariance requested  |   |
| IV. FEES AND DRAWNINGS  |   |
| A. FEES   |   |
| □ Single Family Residential (Existing) \$200 □ (With Violation) \$250   |   |
| □ Multiple/Commercial/Industrial \$300 □ (With Violation) \$400   | □ Signs \$300 □ (With Violation) \$400  |
|   | discretion of Board) \$600  |
| B. DRAWINGS 1-COPY & 1 DIGITAL COPY SUBMITTED AS A PDF  | ing & proposed distance to adjacent property lines  |
|   | ing & proposed distance to adjacent property lines<br>ation of existing & proposed signs, if applicable |
| Existing or proposed buildings or addition on the property • Floor  | r plans & elevations  |
| <ul> <li>Number &amp; location of all on-site parking, if applicable</li> <li>Any</li> </ul>                      | other information relevant to the Variance application  |



#### V. VARIANCE

#### A. VARIANCE (S) REQUESTED

🕅 DIMENSIONAL 🗌 USE 🗌 SIGN

There is a five-(5) hold period before work/action can be taken on variance approvals.

#### B. SIGN CASES (ONLY)

Your signature on this application indicates that you agree to install a **Mock-Up Sign** <u>ten-(10)</u> days before the schedule ZBA meeting. Failure to install a mock-up sign may result in your case not being heard by the Board, postponed to the next schedule ZBA meeting, or cancelled. A mock-up sign is **NOT** to be actual sign. Upon approval, the mock-up sign must be removed within five-(5) days of the meeting. If the case is denied, the applicant is responsible for all costs involved in the removal of the mock-up or actual sign (if erected under violation) within five-(5) days of the meeting.

#### C. ORDINANCE

#### City of Novi Ordinance, Section 3107 – Miscellaneous

No order of the Board permitting the erection of a building shall be valid for a period longer than one-(1) year, unless a building permit for such erection or alteration is obtained within such period and such erection or alteration is started and proceeds to completion in accordance with the terms of such permit.

No order of the Board permitting a use of a building or premises shall be valid for a period longer than one-hundred and eighty-(180) days unless such use is establish within such a period; provided, however, where such use permitted is dependent upon the erection or alteration or a building such order shall continue in force and effect if a building permit for such erection or alteration is obtained within one-(1) year and such erection or alteration is started and proceeds to completion in accordance with the terms of such permit.

#### D. APPEAL THE DETERMINATION OF THE BUILDING OFFICIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

The undersigned hereby appeals the determination of the Building Official / Inspector or Ordinance made

|  | ADDITION TO EXISTING H        |   |
|--|-------------------------------|---|
| ACCESSORY BUILDING   | use other                     |   |
|  |                               |   |
| VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNAT  | URES                          |   |
| A. APPLICANT<br>Applicant Signature  | <u>cef</u>                    | 3/23/15<br>Date   |
| If the applicant is not the owner, th  | o proporty ownor must roa     | d and sign bolow:   |
| The undersigned affirms and acknowle<br>application, and is/are aware of the c | dges that he, she or they are | the owner(s) of the property described in this<br>d related enclosures. |
| Property Owner Signature   |                               | Date  |
|  |                               |   |
| VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY   |                               |   |
| VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY<br>DECISION ON APPEAL:                              |                               |   |
|  | ······                        | DENIED  |
| DECISION ON APPEAL:  | -                             | DENIED<br>Dlicant upon the following and conditions:                    |

| CITY OF        |                                  |   |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---|
| TOTA           | REVIEW STANDARDS                 |   |
|                | DIMENSIONAL VARIANCE             |   |
|                | CITY OF NOVI                     |   |
|                | Community Development Department |   |
| cityofnovi.org | (248) 347-0415                   |   |
| cityomovi.org  |                                  | - |

The Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) will review the application package and determine if the proposed Dimensional Variance meets the required standards for approval. In the space below, and on additional paper if necessary, explain how the proposed project meets each of the following standards. (Increased costs associated with complying with the Zoning Ordinance will not be considered a basis for granting a Dimensional Variance.)

# Standard #1. Circumstances or Physical Conditions.

Explain how the circumstances or physical conditions applying to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in the same Zone District or in the general vicinity. Circumstances or physical conditions may include:

| a. | Shape of Lot. Exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of a specific property |
|----|---|
|    | in existence on the effective date of the Zoning Ordinance or amendment.          |

| Min wighth 150', lot 13 115.5'  | Not Applicat | ole 🗌 Applicable 👔 If applicable | le, describe below: |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| depth still and private she had | Min          | ingth 150', lot 13 115.5         |                     |
|                                 | currently    | no buildable area w/ Required 3  | Abala               |

| and | /or |
|-----|-----|
|-----|-----|

- **b.** Environmental Conditions. Exceptional topographic or environmental conditions or other extraordinary situations on the land, building or structure.
- □ Not Applicable □ Applicable If applicable, describe below:

and/or

- c. Abutting Property. The use or development of the property immediately adjacent to the subject property would prohibit the literal enforcement of the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance or would involve significant practical difficulties.
  - □ Not Applicable □ Applicable If applicable, describe below:

# Standard #2. Not Self-Created.

Describe the immediate practical difficulty causing the need for the Dimensional Variance, that the need for the requested variance is not the result of actions of the property owner or previous property owners (i.e., is not self-created). I would like approval to build a home on thes lot

# Standard #3. Strict Compliance.

ack

Front Setback

Leav

Side

Explain how the Dimensional Variance is strict compliance with regulations governing area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome.

45

20'

### Standard #4. Minimum Variance Necessary.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance requested is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district.

min

| Front | - | 26' | Variance |  |
|-------|---|-----|----------|--|
| Rear  | 1 | 26! | Voriance |  |
|       |   |     |          |  |

## Standard #5. Adverse Impact on Surrounding Area.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values, or the use and enjoyment of property in the neighborhood or zoning district





# **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

# PZ15-0010 - ACTION SUMMARY

**OWNER** 

STANAJ INVESTMENT CO

1771 W LONG LAKE DR BLOOMFIELD MI 48302

### **PROJECT SUMMARY:**

front and rear yard setback to build a new house- May 2015 meeting

### **APPLICANT/OWNER INFORMATION:**

#### APPLICANT

STANAJ INVESTMENT CO 1771 W LONG LAKE DR BLOOMFIELD MI 48302

### **PROPERTY INFOMATION:**

#### LOCATION/ADDRESS:

PARCEL NUMBER: 50-22-31-100-001 SUBDIVISION: SECTION 31 ZONING DISTRICT: R-A

LOT/UNIT #:

### **ACTION SUMMARY:**

ZBA MEETING DATE: 05/12/2015

Motion by Gronachan to TABLE Case PZ15-0010 until the September 15, 2015 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting to present plans and additional information of what will be built on the property to the board.

### **ACTION SUMMARY:**

ZBA MEETING DATE: 09/15/2015

STANAJ (CASE NO. PZ15-0010) PETER STANAJ, VACANT PARCEL ON SOUTH SIDE OF 9 MILE ROAD AND EAST OF NAPIER ROAD, PARCEL #: 50-22-31-100-001

The applicant is requesting variances from the CITY OF NOVI, CODE OF ORDINANCES; Section 3.1.1(d) to allow construction of new single family residence on an existing vacant parcel: 1) a variance of 26.0 feet in the required front yard setback (45.0 feet required, 19.0 feet proposed); and 2) a variance of 26.0 feet in the required rear yard setback (50.0 feet required, 24.0 proposed).

Motioned by Chairperson Gronachan to TABLE Case PZ15-0010 until the November 10, 2015 meeting. At that time, the petitioner and his attorney and architect must provide additional information including full plans of the house with dimensions and the applicant must reach out to the neighbors in the subdivision.











