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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good
evening. Today is July 11, 7-11. Thank you for coming, everybody. Somebody can close the door, if possible. Somebody? Thank you so much. Please call to order and pledge of allegiance. Please stand up, everybody. Thank you.
(Pledge of allegiance)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. Please be seated. Turn off your cell phones, like in a silent mode. And if you have anything, you can, you know, please raise your hand when the time comes. And the roll-call.

MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson Peddiboyina.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, ma'am.

MADAM SECRETARY: Member Longo.

Absent, excused. Member McLeod.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Here.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Here.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Here.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Sanghvi.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Here.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Thompson. Absent, excused.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you
so much. We have a quorum. Public hearing in
City of Novi, you can pick up your paper so today what are the cases, we're dealing with that. As I said, when the time comes, you can speak up on the podium. And we have only limited for three minutes of time; and please, let's take that time. I really appreciate it. And if you have anything, presentations or anything, you can place on the projector and you can watch on the televisions. Thank you so much for that.

Approval of the agenda, somebody
make a motion; any changes or anything?
Approval of the agenda of June?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I move that we approve the agenda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Sorry,
July. I'm sorry. July agenda.
BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal
response).
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Thank you so much. Say aye in favor. Any changes?

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Any nays?

BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal response).

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Looks like no. Thank you. And minutes of May 2023, somebody make a motion. Any changes? MEMBER SANGHVI: I make a motion to approve the minutes as presented.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Any change -- okay. Say aye in favor?
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any
changes?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No?
MADAM SECRETARY: No changes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Thank you. Public remarks? Anybody wants to add anything in the public remarks; now is the time, please.

AUDIENCE: (No verbal response).
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Looks like
none. Thank you. Okay. Public hearing. AUDIENCE: (No verbal response). CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Today we have five cases; let's continue for the first case. PZ23-0018, James Wildman. 22635 Beckenham Court. West of Beck Road and north of Nine Mile Road. Parcel 50-22-29-476-016. The applicant is requesting variance from the City of Novi Zoning

Ordinance Section 3.1.2 for a proposed exterior side yard setback of 19 feet, 30 feet required, variance of 11 feet. This variance would accommodate the building of a home addition. This property zoned one family addition, R-1. This applicant is present, please?

SPECTATOR: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please
come to the podium. Tell your first and last name clearly for our secretary, for the court record; then she'll take the oath if you are not an attorney.

MR. WILDMAN: James Wildman.
MS. KRIEGER: Could you spell that for our Court Recorder?

MR. WILDMAN: Sure. James,
J-A-M-E-S. Wildman, W-I-L-D-M-A-N.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Are you an attorney?

MR. WILDMAN: No.
MEMBER KRIEGER: You want to raise your right hand? Do you swear to tell the
truth in this case?
MR. WILDMAN: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Mr.
James, please go ahead where we can help you tonight in your case? Please present.

MR. WILDMAN: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MR. WILDMAN: Do you guys have the blueprints already?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. If you have anything in the public we can look into that. It can be used with what's going on with the case.

MR. WILDMAN: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.

MR. WILDMAN: Yes. You guys have noted that this was previously approved; we're just reestablishing it because it expired. We're going to install a crawl space with a foundation. And then we're going to -basically, here's a kitchen door and another
sliding door. That's going to be removed, you know, with the headers that go across. And this is where the addition will be built out; mostly just with windows.

There will be an eight-foot entry door, exit door here and on this side. This will now become a brick paver area, and this is what it will look like. So this is the back end of the addition. And this will be the side in which you live see from the road when you drive into the cul de sac. So it will look right like that. It's within the 19 foot variance approval. We have -- right here you'll see a survey that dials in exactly to the 19 foot mark. It's located right here. So this will meet the variance approval for the addition. That's, for the most part, all I have.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anybody who would like join to speak on your behalf of anything to add on this case?

MR. WILDMAN: Nope.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.

Thank you for your blueprint presentation.
MR. WILDMAN: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Now it's
open to my -- sorry. Okay. Secretary.
MEMBER KRIEGER: In this case, 22
were mailed. Zero returned. Zero objections. Zero approvals.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any
comments from the city?
MR. HALL: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. We do concur that they did have a variance approved on June 8th, 2021; it was for an 11 foot variance. At that point the addition was a squared; this one has angles on it now. So the minimum they would need for a variance would be 6.4 feet. They are asking for 11; that's what was approved before. I just wanted to point that out.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Anybody in the audience would like to speak on this case; this is the time to speak up.

AUDIENCE: (No verbal response).
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.

Looks like none. It's now open to the board. BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal response).

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: The board, anybody who would like to speak on this case, please; it's open to the board?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Sure. I went by
and took a look, and you do have a unique lot there on a cul de sac. And where you're putting it is unobtrusive to anybody, so I would be willing to support your variance. MR. WILDMAN: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Montague. Okay, Mr. Mav Sanghvi.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I came and visited your place yesterday -MR. WILDMAN: Okay. MEMBER SANGHVI: -- you have a beautiful home there.

MR. WILDMAN: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: How big is the home currently?

MR. WILDMAN: It's 3,325 square
footage.
MEMBER SANGHVI: How much more are you adding?

MR. WILDMAN: This will be about 465, give or take.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I have no problem. It's a very reasonable request. Thank you.

MR. WILDMAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Anybody? Okay. Member Linda.
MEMBER KRIEGER: I move that we grant the variance request in Case Number PZ23-0018, sought by the petitioner. The petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring the change for the request. The petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because of its position in the subdivision, and it's unique because it's in a cul de sac. The petitioner did not create the condition because of the location of the footprint of the house in this area. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere
with adjacent or surrounding properties because it blends with the house, itself, and the lot. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it is a reasonable request.

MR. WILDMAN: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I second. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.

MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson
Peddiboyina. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.

MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member McLeod.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Sanghvi.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes
MADAM SECRETARY: Motion passes five
to zero.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good luck, and thank you, James.

MR. WILDMAN: Thank you guys. Have a good evening.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Case
Number PZ -- second case, PZ23-0020.
Constantine George Pappas/Armenian Cultural Center. 41100 Twelve Mile Road, east of Meadowbrook Road and North of 12 Mile Road. Parcel \#50-22-12-351-053. The applicant is requesting the $Z B A$ specify a height of 62.83 feet for a proposed monument structure, as permitted under Section 3.32.3. of the Zoning Ordinance. The structure has received special land use approval from the Planning Commission, and is located greater than 63 feet from all property lines.

The applicant is also requesting a variance from Section 4.19.2.F for the proposed location of a dumpster in the side yard. This property is zoned residential acreage, RA. Okay. Please, go ahead and present your first and last name clearly for
the court record.
MR. PAPPAS: My first name is
Constantine Pappas, architect for the Armenian community. And what happens is that this is the second time we'll be before you; we were here a few years ago.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Are you an attorney, sir?

MR. PAPPAS: Pardon?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Are you an attorney?

MR. PAPPAS: No. Architect.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. PAPPAS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please go ahead. Thank you, Member Linda.

MR. PAPPAS: I do have some good news; we're just about ready to start. With covid and everything, that kind of put the whole Armenian community behind a little bit. But at this particular point, we're there. We've been out for bids. We're ready to start
the site work, but we cannot start anything until we kind of go through these two variances.

The one variance is for the dumpster. The reason we want to move the dumpster, quite strangely, is to retain a lot of the woodlands. The slopes along the side of the property are very, very steep, which would cause some major problems in trying to get that dumpster in there, let alone being able to service it. By moving it where we're proposing on moving it, it would be closer to where the proposed kitchen is located in the actual community center.

And the second variance is for the actual genocide memorial, which the memorial signifies when the start of the genocide actually started in 1915. It takes its height to a little over 62 feet, which is based on the year of 1915 in centimeters. And that's how we actually kind of came up with that. It represents the concept of the two communities of the Armenian Cultural Center coming
together between Armenia and Lebanon. It's going to be a very powerful, powerful memorial. And it's going to be literally in the center of where the building is at. And we've kind of placed that to try to be not exactly in the center of the property, but relatively far away such that it's not right up against any of the residents. In fact, the closest that is to the residents is like 297 feet away from where the residents are; this would be to the immediate, I believe, west of the property.

Other than that, the descriptions and the reasons why we're asking for the variance have been submitted, drawings have been submitted, and we're here to ask (sic) any questions. I may also recall -- I think it was unanimously approved at the previous time that we actually asked for both variances before.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
George, anybody you'd like to speak on this case, or you are the only one?

MR. PAPPAS: I'll be the only one. Just in case, Mr. Raffi Ourlian is the president of the Armenian Community Center; and if there's any questions, I'm sure he can answer them if $I$ can't answer them.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Do you have the blueprint or anything to show the audience?

MR. PAPPAS: I don't. I had everything submitted to the city. Everything was within the city.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, we have that one. In case the audience can look into that; that's what I'm asking. Okay. Thank you. And from the city?

MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yes, he did have two variances previously approved; one was on November 14th, 2017, and the other November 10th, 2020, which was for the monument. Both have expired now, and he's looking for granting of the same variances that were requested before. Looking at the drawings that he submitted, there's no
deviations from the previously submittal. So it's a nice job.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you
so much. Correspondence secretary.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Forty-five were mailed, two returned. Zero objections. One approval. The approval is from Annette Anderson on Summit Court. "We have property adjacent to this development and approve of the monument height. We moved to Novi one year ago to a property, and this is the first time we have heard about the proposal development of -- there is opportunity to comment further. We are hopeful there will be a sidewalk put in to allow walking to Toll Gate Farms, and that some of the natural area will be preserved for the deer and turkeys that live there." That's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.

MR. PAPPAS: Can I comment on that?
There will be a sidewalk that's put in on 12

Mile Road. All that area in the front, which is a -- which will be a detention pond area will be enhanced from a wetlands standpoint. The whole concept was to try to extend that park system all along 12 Mile Road and including where on your property is at. Therefore, the development is really pushed very much north. So the idea is to try to maintain all that natural topography through there. The drain is going to be there. It actually will be even better than it is right now.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Thank you, Mr. George. Any other public to speak on this case? AUDIENCE: (No verbal response). CHAIRMAN PEDDIBOYINA: Looks like none. It's open to the board. Okay. Mr. Montague.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Good evening. I support this. I appreciate your treatment and your siting of the facility.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you.

| Page 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| MEMBER MONTAGUE: It's very |  |
| sensitive to nature and it's very sensitive to |  |
| the residents around in terms of I appreciate |  |
| you getting that as far away from them as you |  |
| can. And also the cultural statement is |  |
| important. So I do support, again, this |  |
| variance. |  |
| CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, |  |
| Member Montague. Member Sanghvi. |  |
| MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Well, I |  |
| think we've gone through this before, right, |  |
| the last time? |  |
| MR. PAPPAS: Yes, we have. |  |
| MEMBER SANGHVI: And something |  |
| happened along the line, and you didn't start |  |
| the construction. |  |
| MR. PAPPAS: Well, yeah, covid; it |  |
| was called covid. |  |
| MEMBER SANGHVI: I supported it last |  |
| time and I support it this time also. |  |
| MR. PAPPAS: Thank you so much. |  |
| Thank you. |  |
| CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, |  |

Member Sanghvi. Member Linda.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. Question.
Was there going to be a light at the top that was lit up at night for the sky or --

MR. PAPPAS: Not at the top.
There's a light at the bottom that -- You know, the memorial is designed that it's kind of like split. So it's split, and the light is at the very, very bottom that shoots like through these two planes that come up. And then at the very top, the memorial goes and turns with only a little slot. So, therefore, what we're trying to do is eliminate light pollution per se and make sure that, you know, the type of bulb that we're using has a very small kind of like aperture. And it's actually very narrow so the idea becomes we want to shoot it like all the way up.

Also, at the same time it will be on a photostat and on a timer such that, you know, when -- depending on the time, like at 10:00 or 11:00 at night, it will be shut down at that particular time.
$\square$
MEMBER KRIEGER: And then for comparison, is it as high as the water towers or the antenna at the DPW, like for comparison?

MR. PAPPAS: No. The water towers are considerably taller than that, considerably taller. And the antennas are considerably taller.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Mr. George, thank you so much. You know, any culture, any art, any, you know, community live in the cultural center, the work you're doing is, you know, respect all culture.

Thank you so much. As the fellow board members also mentioned, I have no objection. Thank you so much.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: It's
motion time. Member Linda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Did you want me to
do -- for the city attorney, to do this as two separate ones?

MS. SAARELA: Actually, you can do two motions.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Two motions.
MS. SAARELA: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Very good.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: I move that we grant the variance of Case Number PZ23-0020, sought by the petitioner, Mr. Pappas. The first request is for the dumpster, and that they're going to place it near their kitchen area, but still be far enough away from the other areas. The variance will not -- will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because of the -where it's located, so it's easy use and not disturbing others. The property is unique because of its location on 12 Mile. The petitioner did not create the condition because of the topography of the land. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties, due to the planning of this, where the front will
have the water and be friendly toward the environment. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it's -- the location of the dumpster being near the area for use.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, both of you.

MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson
Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, ma'am.

MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger. MEMBER KRIGER: Yes.

MADAM SECRETARY: Member McLeod.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Sangvhi.
MEMBER SANGVHI: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Motion passes five
to zero.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

Good luck to you. Congratulations.
MR. PAPPAS: Thank you very much. Getting close --

MEMBER KRIEGER: Next motion. MR. PAPPAS: Yes.

MEMBER KRIEGER: In Case Number -- I move to grant the request for Case Number PZ23-0020, sought by the petitioner for the height of 63 feet -- no. The petitioner's request for the 62.83 feet for the proposed monument structure. That the petitioner has shown practical difficulty relating to this because of its meaning to the site, itself, for the culture. The property is unique because its position will be away from the neighboring sites and centrally located, mostly. The petitioner did not create the condition because this is a cultural icon of the area of the cultural center. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because it will be unobtrusive as compared to other taller structures in the area. And that the
lighting will be, as the petitioner stated, with the timers. And the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it matches the area.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Somebody can make a motion, second?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson
Peddiboyina. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.

MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member McLeod.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.

MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Sanghvi.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Thank you. Motion
passes five to zero.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you
and good luck. Congratulations.
MR. PAPPAS: Thank you so much.
Shovel in the ground soon. Thanks again.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Good luck. Coming to Case Number PZ23-0021. Brian Wilson. 24451 Christina Lane. East of Taft Road, North of 10 Mile. Parcel 50-22-22-378-008. The applicant is requesting a use variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 5.1.9 to allow parking of a commercial vehicle in a residentially zoned property. This property is zoned one family residential, R-4. Okay. Please, go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly for the court record. And if you're not an attorney, please, our secretary will take the oath. Thank you. Good luck.

MR. WILSON: Good evening. My name is Brian Wilson. That's B-R-I-A-N. Wilson, W-I-L-S-O-N.

MEMBER KRIEGER: You're not an attorney?

MR. WILSON: No.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. WILSON: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Mr. Wilson, go ahead and where we can help you tonight.

MR. WILSON: Yes. I work for
Spectrum, the cable company. I'm a maintenance technician. One of the benefits of working with the company is I can take my commercial vehicle home; $I$ don't have to leave it at the office. I've lived in the City of Novi for the past 11 years. I've worked for Spectrum for 15, and I've always had a commercial vehicle parked in my residence since I've lived in the City of Novi. Recently, at the beginning of the year, I did switch my primary shift to midnights; so my vehicle, I'm assuming, kind of threw up a red flag because it's there during the day more than it's ever been.

What I'm asking for is -- first off,
it's my primary mode of transportation. And I am on-call two weeks out of the month. And if I'm not eligible to have my -- all access to my vehicle at all times, I will loose the ability to be on call; which is 30 to 40 percent of my income, which is, obviously, a huge financial hit for myself and my family.

My vehicle, $I$ back in my driveway. Doesn't impede with the sidewalk at all. Fully backs into -- you know, up to my garage. The vehicle ways about 7,000 pounds, but there is a bucket on the back, which I believe is the issue. The bucket does sit in a cradle, so it is not high off the ground or anything; it is, you know, lower in the back of the truck. So I'm just asking to be able to park my vehicle there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Mr. Wilson. Do you have a picture of the vehicle, how -- the bucket and the front?

MR. WILSON: I did send them in. I don't have one on me.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: On your
cell phone or anything?
MR. WILSON: Maybe. The vehicle is in the parking lot; $I$ did bring it just in case.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No. No. So that way we can see visual with no difficulty.

MR. WILSON: There is -- when you come in off Christina, there is quite a bit of tree coverage coming north, where you really don't see it until you get on top of it. Coming south on Christina, I did have a tree that went down in the storm recently, that was covering the vehicle. Which is now, the tree is not there anymore. But --
(Pause).
MR. WILSON: I don't believe I do have a picture. I submitted, I don't know, two months ago or so to the city.
(Pause)
MR. WILSON: I don't believe I have a picture.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.

It's okay, Mr. Wilson. Okay. Thank you. You said your shift has shifted from day to night shift?

MR. WILSON: Yes. So I've been on day shift for the last 11 years. The beginning of this year I did switch to midnight shift.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. The daytime, the truck is going to be in the driveway?

MR. WILSON: I'm sorry?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: The daytime, the truck is on the driveway?

MR. WILSON: Correct. I am on-call once every, like I said, twice a month, so I'm coming and going constantly. But primarily it is sitting there during the day, yes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any
comments from the city?
MR. HALL: Yes. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. Yeah. This is a request for a use variance. This is not a demensional variance, so this requires a significantly higher
threshold to show hardship than what is normally provided for a variance.

MS. SAARELA: And just for the standards, you look at the standards as you make the motion, make sure they're using the right language.

MR. HALL: Right. Right. And you have to have two-thirds of the vote, not just a thing (sic). So the petitioner said that the vehicle is 7,000 pounds, is what you said?

MR. HALL: Correct.
MR. HALL: The threshold for the ordinance is 7,000 pounds. Our best analysis that we could use with the truck, with the boom is significantly higher than that; it's closer to 8,200, 8,600, depending on the model and that kind of thing. So if the petitioner were to have it weighed by Weigh Master with a witness, then there wouldn't be a need for a variance because we're below the 7,000 square feet -- 7,000 pounds. If it is above, then we don't support it. It's not a -- this request doesn't meet the threshold, we believe, for
undue hardship. Any home can reasonably use this for tenant purposes without this variance, so we don't support this action. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Correspondence secretary.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Thirty-eight were mailed, one returned. Two objections, one approval. The first one is from a home on Christina Lane. "Objection. No variance should be granted for the renter of this property." Sarah Fletcher, with the City of Novi, states in her email that:
"This variance will -- " quote, "Variance will stay with the property for the life of the property. Since this is a rental property and the commercial vehicle already is not in accordance with the city ordinance, this should absolutely not been granted for ever and for every rental tenant that lives there in the future.
"This vehicle already has been parked here for over a year. I have

the renter, but is objection to changing the city ordinance.
"Three, I disagree with the answer.
I am not aware of any other large vehicle parked in Cedar Springs. The truck does alter the character of the neighborhood. Again, they are renting. Not even the homeowner has been for 15 years, and will continue to live in my home for many years to come.
"Four, the fact that the landlord does not reside there or live in Cedar Springs is irrelevant. This issue, per the city, is a violation, and this variance should not be awarded for the life of the property."

The second one is from another one on Christina Lane.
"I live a few doors down from Brian and have rarely spoken to him. I'm assuming that someone has complained about his work truck being parked in the driveway. There are many work vehicles
in people's driveways in this neighborhood, his is just the most obvious one. It seems that this variance should be granted if for no other reason than environmental protection. If his company does not have an issue with him taking the truck home, why should we? As our planet warms because of all the fossil fuels we are burning and the traffic in our city right now is a total mess, does it make sense for him to drive one vehicle to his workplace and then drive the truck potentially right past where he came from to complete his work, then drive the truck back to the shop and drive his car back home. This makes no sense if he has the option given to him by his company. P.S.: I don't get the reference to the notice. His house isn't a single structure containing more that than four dwelling units."

And the other one is from another resident on Christina Lane.
"The truck parked at the house is a large work truck with a cherry picker bucket on the back, not visible in the pictures submitted in the application. There used to be a van Spectrum parked there, that is fine. The heavy equipment is not acceptable. Ordinances are written there for a reason. We should not make an exception." That's it. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda. From the audience, any comments? AUDIENCE: (No verbal response). CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.

Looks like none. Is this a mandatory -- Mr. Wilson, is it mandatory to travel from your work to your home? What is the issue?

MR. WILSON: So the issue is when
I'm on call, I have to respond to my calls within 45 minutes. I've already talked to work. If I have to travel from home to work and then back out to Novi, I'm not going to meet that criteria, which I won't be eligible to be on-call anymore.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: How far is your work office?

MR. WILSON: We're at Schoolcraft and Farmington. I think it's a milage thing they look at. There is one other option; I don't know if this is one that was presented. The church right two doors down from me, on the south side, did grant me permission to park my truck in their back parking lot. If I can get something from work saying they're not liable for break-ins or somebody climbing on it or something along those lines. I have not presented that to my work yet because I wondered if that's even an option or not.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
It's open to the board. Okay. Member Montague.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Did you say that
if it was 7,000 pounds or less, that he didn't need a variance?

MR. HALL: That's correct; that's what the ordinance states.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: But he -- you've
not -- have you thought about getting a weighed?

MR. HALL: I believe the weight is, actually, on the registration.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Well, I think they're requesting you get it weighed.

MR. HALL: So I -- yeah. If that's something that would allow it --

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Unfortunately, economic hardship is not acceptable in a use variance. It's unfortunate in your case, but that's not a reason to give a variance.

MR. HALL: Okay.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: So I guess my
recommendation is if you think it's 7,000 or under, I would get it weighed and ask this to be put off to another meeting. That would be the easiest way out, unless you want to talk to your church; because $I$ won't be able to support it, based on the criteria, for giving the use variance.

MR. HALL: Okay. That's understood. The church, is that an option with the city or
do we -- is that -- would be another meeting?
MS. SAARELA: You have to meet with the city and go over the ordinance.

MR. HALL: So that would be another
$\qquad$

MS. SAARELA: I don't think we know that off the top of our head. We have to know more about the church and the location and what the zoning is.

MR. HALL: Yes. That would be a planning issue too, so they would have to look at that.

MR. HALL: Yeah. So that would be something completely separate?

MS. SAARELA: Right.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Attorney,
I have a question. Can I give a chance to reduce the weight of something to come back on this applicant and he should have approve or deny; can you give a chance to think about it or no?

MS. SAARELA: To reduce the weight. We can table it. He can go get it weighed if
he wants to.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, that's what I mean. Mr. Wilson, do you want to think on that and table this case and you want to come back; I don't know how you want to do, you know?

MR. WILSON: Okay. So I get it weighed and --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Let us know -- yeah, you know.

MS. SAARELA: If you get it weighed and it comes back under the ordinance criteria, just contact the city offices and somebody will have you come in and verify it or something.

MR. HALL: Yeah, we were -(indiscernible) -- by the DBW; you can go ahead and get it weighed, but they wanna witness it, because we want to have a witness there as a city representative.

MR. WILSON: Somebody from the city?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
MR. HALL: Yeah, so they can see.
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MR. WILSON: To come in and verify it or something.

MR. WILSON: So just set that up with you guys?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: You want to table that case what do you want to do?

MR. WILSON: If it's not getting approved today, then yes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: We don't
know. No, I'm not saying -- I'm giving you an option as the chair to take an advantage, you know. That's your call if that's what you want.

MS. SAARELA: Well, it takes five -it will take five votes; and I think Mr. Montague has already said his position. So you might want to --

MR. WILSON: Okay. So it has to be 5-0; it's not -- I thought you said two-thirds.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I'm giving a chance to you.

MR. WILSON: I'm sorry?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I'm giving you a chance to --

MR. WILSON: Yes, I would like to do that then, yes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: That's what I'm giving you. Any how, thank you so much. Somebody can make a motion to table this case, please. Dr. Sanghvi.

MEMBER SANGHVI: May I make a motion to table this case until the next week?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Somebody make a second?
MS. SAARELA: Can you say the exact date of the next meeting, and then that way we won't have to re-notice it?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Whenever it's convenient.

MADAM SECRETARY: Is the 8th of August?

MS. SAARELA: Is that April --
August the 8th.
MADAM SECRETARY: No. August 8th, I
think; I believe. Yeah, I think it's August

8th.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: What are the date and how many cases is there; and we don't know. It depends on the cases.

MS. SAARELA: Otherwise, we have to re-notice it.

MADAM SECRETARY: August 8th is the next meeting.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Yeah, you can coordinate with the city and they can come back and schedule you on this case.

MR. WILSON: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Somebody
can make a second, please.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'll second it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. SAARELA: Call the roll.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Roll-call, please.

MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson
Peddiboyina.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes,
please.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member McLeod.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Sanghvi.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. The motion will be tabled; it passes 5-0.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Mr.
Wilson. Good luck and we'll see you next case -- next time.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Good luck.
MR. WILSON: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Coming back to the fourth case. PZ23-0022,
Steven Erskins. 47770 Alpine Drive, west of
Beck Road, south of 10 Mile. Parcel
50-22-29-227-042. The applicant is requesting
variance from the City of Novi Zoning
Ordinance Section 3.1.4 for a proposed rear
yard setback of 19.24 feet. Thirty-five feet required, variance of 15.76 feet. This variance will accommodate the building of a new deck. This property is zoned one family residential R-3. Thank you. Please, go ahead, your first and last name clearly for the court record. And if you're not an attorney, our secretary will take the oath.

MR. ERKINS: Okay. My name is
Steven Erkins. First name, S-T-E-V-E-N. Last name spelled $E-R-K-I-N-S$. And $I$ am not an attorney.

MEMBER KRIEGER: So this case, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth?

MR. ERKINS: Yes, I do.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Mr.
Steven, please go ahead and tell me how we can help you tonight and your case, please?

MR. ERKINS: Okay. My home was built in 2020. And my home is right at the 35 foot setback. And I'm requesting to build a covered deck at that end; so $I$ would need a
dimensional variance of 16 feet.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 15.76
feet, you --
MR. ERKINS: Okay. Here's my home, and this is the -- a proposed deck. And this is -- it's 16 feet out. And this portion will have a roof over it. And I have pictures of the -- of what the roof would look like. That's Picture 1. And then I also have a second photo.

MEMBER KRIEGER: That's it?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I just
have one thing to add. I don't think that the project will adversely affect any of the surrounding homes. There's two other homes in the community that have similar structures, and one home is approximately three lots away from mine.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other thing you'd like to add before we move?

MR. ERKINS: No.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Mr. Steven. Nice presentation. From
the city?
MR. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Yeah, this property does have a road that surrounds two sides, so it does kind of have like two front facades. So it does seem to be a logical placement to put the deck, so we have no objection.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. Correspondence secretary.

MEMBER KRIEGER: In this case 31 were mailed, zero returned. One objection, one approval. First one approval on Procidio Lane, it's just marked "approval." The second one, objection. On Forest Park Drive.
"Any practical difficulty was known when the property was developed and built. The homeowner's problem was self-created with the purchase. The setback and lot sizes were extensively debated during the approval process.

This is a self-developed problem that should not be granted any variance.
"As a neighbor abutting the
conservation easement and with the citizens development disagreements, during that process, I strongly urge ZBA to deny this variance request. The homeowner states no practical or compelling reason this request.

Information was known upon purchase by the homeowner." That's it. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

Linda. Public remarks?
AUDIENCE: (No verbal response).
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Looks like none. Yeah, the presentation is good, Steven, really good. It's a nice deck. And it's open to the board.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Did the city say
something? Did anything --
MR. HALL: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. He already mentioned. Okay, Dr. Sanghvi. MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and visited your place yesterday. Yours is almost a corner lot.

MR. ERKINS: Yes.
MEMBER SANGHVI: It's very hard to know which is the side yard and the back yard. I can understand your need for a variance to put a deck there, and I can support you.

MR. ERKINS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you Dr. Sanghvi. Member Montague.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: So I didn't see a site plan. How close is your deck to -- it looked like there was a deck up there on the next neighbor's house -- I don't know if it was or not; how close are you to that?

MR. ERKINS: There's a -- there's an easement that goes in between our two properties. And so I'm approximately -- and don't quote me exactly on this.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: No. No.
MR. ERKINS: But I'm
approximately -- I would say probably 40 to 50
feet away from that neighbor's deck.
MR. MONTAGUE: Okay. I didn't walk
in your backyard, I just looked from the road.

So the perception is hard to see from there because you're right on the corner lot, it's hard to get at. Did you look at what you're going to do with that 16 foot section in terms of what kind of furniture you're gonna put out there so you kind of minimize the size of that deck for your use?

MR. ERKINS: Yes. There's just gonna be a couch, an outdoor couch, and two chairs. And then the other portion that goes closer to the sidewalk, that part, that's not going to be covered, it's just gonna have a table and four chairs.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Okay. Yeah, that's not really going towards there, that's going toward the other side of the road, really.

MR. ERKINS: Correct.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: It's the other part that's kind of going towards the neighbor that I was concerned about. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Montague. Member Linda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yep. I drove
through the sub and it's a very nice
subdivision. And the homes that's similar, I looked in your backyard and it's like where everybody else had a deck except you. And then the back sliding door, a deck belongs there. And it's full sun since it's south, so I can understand why you would want a covering over it as well, similar to the neighboring homes there. So I can support your request. MR. ERKSIN: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Linda. Thank you so much. Member Montague, go ahead with the motion.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. I move that we grant the variance in case PZ23-0022 -- I almost did the wrong one again -- sought by Steven Erkins for a rear yard setback of 19.24 feet. Thirty-five feet required, so that would be a variance of 15.76 feet. Without the variance, he would be prevented -- he has two front yards, and this is really the only location for him to have a deck. The property
is unique because of this orientation. He did not create the condition because the house was sited by a developer and not by him. So he didn't have the opportunity to site the house; he just deserves a deck like everybody else.

It will not unnecessarily interfere.
He has looked at it and it's a minimal size, so he's looked at the -- tried to intrude as little as you can. And the relief is consistent with the spirit of the ordinance because it allows at the property owner to use his property in a manner that is pleasurable and has a deck. So I support.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank you, both of you. Roll-call, please.

MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson
Peddiboyina.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.

MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member McLeod.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Sanghvi.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Thank you. The motion passes 5-0.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. MEMBER KRIEGER: Enjoy.

MR. ERKSINS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good luck, Steven.

MR. ERKINS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: The final
case. PZ23-023, Extra Space Self-Storage. 21700 Novi Road, east of Novi Road and south of Nine Mile Road. Parcel 50-22-35-101-016. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 4.19.2.F for the proposed location of a dumpster in the interior side yard. This property is zoned light industrial, 1-1. Thank you, Mr. -- (indiscernible).

MR. LANDRY: Thank you. My name is David Landry. I am an attorney. And I represent the applicant, Extra Space Self-Storage. We're here tonight asking for a variance from the zoning ordinance which requires dumpsters to be in the rear yard.

I have to tell you at the outset that I am very pleased to be here tonight because this is, hopefully, the last stage in a very long process that we've had. This project is an adaptive reuse of the existing Novi Bowl Building. We approached the administration about a year ago. Extra Space Self-Storage is building an indoor self-storage climate-controlled facility. It's different than the traditional elongated garages, you know, with the garage doors and the outdoors; those are the traditional self-storage. But what's opening out of the market now are indoor climate controlled self-storage.

When we approached the administration, we looked at the existing I-1
ordinance. And it allowed for self-storage, but it had a five acre minimum. With building up instead of out, you don't need five acres. And so we talked to the administration, and you're going to need a number of variances. They actually suggested that we take the lead to see if we can get the ordinance amended, and so we did. And we I wrote a new section for climate-controlled indoor self-storage facilities.

And that took about six months. And we went through the administration, the Planning Commission, up to City Council, first reading, second reading. Everything passed. It worked great with the administration. Then came the site plan. We've been at the site plan for about six months. And what we have found on the site plan is formerly there was all parking lot. And this is the Novi Bowl Building.

And what is being proposed is that there will be an additional new building on the rear, and there will be a drive-in area
covered. So a vehicle will come in, drive here, the door will close, total privacy. Large elevators to go up to both buildings, the front and the rear, and then the vehicles will exit and leave.

The new ordinance is written so that it closes at 10:00 at night. The former Novi Bowl was open until 2:00 a.m. Obviously, there's liquor served. Not a bad thing, but there is a less intense use because it closes at 10:00 and it's very minimal traffic. However, the old Novi Bowl did not store any storm water. So we have to abide by that because we have to bring it up to the current ordinances. So we have to put two very large storm water detention ponds. There's wetlands back here in the back, so we have some practical difficulties, is probably a better one. People would enter here, drive through, and leave.

Of course, the fire department requires access to the back. The dumpster we're proposing is right here. There's a
storm water detention pond here and here. Wetlands back here. Retaining walls back here. So from the criterion of variances, we believe there is practical difficulties because of the physical condition, the wetlands. Strict compliance doesn't make -is not feasible because of the location of the storm water ponds as well as the adaptive reuse of the existing building in the fire department lanes. It's not self-created because it's a building we're bringing up to code and we're reusing it. A minimum amount necessary.

The location of this is over 200
feet from Novi Road, so no one's going to see it. And you can see that there is landscaping with trees all around it. There is also a -the wall for the storm water detention pond continues past the dumpster location and so will be fully screened.

And we believe the administration supports this; and so we would ask the Zoning Board of Appeals to find the practical
difficulties and approve the location of the dumpster on the side of the building. And I believe this is supported by the administration. And I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr. Landry. A good presentation and I really appreciate it. From the city?

MR. HALL: Mr. Chairman yes, we are in support; and good job.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. Correspondence Secretary Linda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Eighteen were mailed, zero returned. Zero objection, zero approval.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Anyone in the audience would like to speak on this case, please?

AUDIENCE: (No verbal response). CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Looks like none. Thank you so much, Mr. Landry. I really appreciate for your presentation. I have no objection to approve
of this case, and it is open to the board. Dr. Sanghvi.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I know this place for over the years, a long time. And I understand the problem. And it's pretty well screened and camouflaged; you can't see anything. So I have no problem supporting your request. Thank you.

MR. LANDRY: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you Dr. Sanghvi. Any other board member?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yeah, I'd like to. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Go ahead, Member --

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'd like to say yes. I really support adaptive reuse. That's the most sustainable thing that we can do in this world today, is reuse existing structures. And it is well set back from the street, so I think I would be able to support as well.

MR. LANDRY: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other
board member?
BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal response).

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Montague. It's none. For the motion, Member Montague?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Sure. I move that we grant the variance in Case PZ23-023, sought by Extra Space Self-Storage for a location of the dumpster in a side yard. Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited in use of property because of the building characteristics on the rear of the property. The property is unique because of those characteristics and the requirement of storm water retention.

The petitioner did not create this condition because this site did exist and was not currently treating the storm water properly. So that has been corrected, which is very nice. The relief granted will not interfere unreasonably with the surroundings.

The nature of the surrounding environment is consistent. It backs up to another storage facility, so the dumpster is really not encroaching upon another kind of use; it's actually the same use. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it supports that. And there is an extra setback from the front property, so it's appropriate use.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, both of you. Roll-call, please.

MADAM SECRETARY: Chairperson
Peddiboyina.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, ma'am.

MADAM SECRETARY: Member Krieger.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member McLeod.
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MADAM SECRETARY: Member Sanghvi.
$\square$
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.

MADAM SECRETARY: Thank you. The motion passes 5-0.

MR. LANDRY: Thank you so much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr. Landry. Congratulations. Good luck. Okay. Any other matters before I adjourn?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I move to adjourn.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Say all in
favor.
BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays?
BOARD MEMBERS: (No verbal
response).
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Looks like none.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Bye. Aye and bye.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Aye and bye.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Aye and bye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Let's adjourn.
(Hearing adjourned at 7:53 p.m.
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