REGULAR MEETING - ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

CITY OF NOVI

December 13, 2016

Proceedings taken in the matter of the ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS, at City of Novi, 45175 West Ten Mile Road, Novi, Michigan, on Tuesday, December 13, 2016

BOARD MEMBERS

Cindy Gronachan, Chairperson

Jonathan Montville, Secretary

Mav Sanghvi

Joe Peddiboyina
David Byrwa

ALSO PRESENT:

Beth Saarela, City Attorney

Lawrence Butler

Coordinator: Carol Chaput, Recording Secretary

REPORTED BY: Jennifer L. Wall, Certified Shorthand Reporter

12/13/2016

1		
		Page 2
1		
2	INDEX	
3	Case No. Page	
4	PZ16-0034 5	
5	PZ16-0049 12	
6	PZ16-0055 23	
7	PZ16-0058 46	
8	PZ16-0059 57	
9	PZ16-0060 69	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

	Page 3
1	Novi, Michigan
2	Tuesday, December 13, 2016
3	7:00 p.m.
4	** **
5	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: I'd like
6	to call the December 2016 Zoning Board of
7	Appeals meeting to order.
8	Please rise for the Pledge of
9	Allegiance.
10	(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
11	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Carol,
12	would you please call the roll.
13	MS. CHAPUT: Member Byrwa?
14	MR. BYRWA: Here.
15	MS. CHAPUT: Member Krieger,
16	absent excused.
17	Member Montville?
18	MR. MONTVILLE: Here.
19	MS. CHAPUT: Member Peddiboyina?
20	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
21	MS. CHAPUT: Member Sanghvi?
22	MR. SANGHVI: Here.
23	MS. CHAPUT: Chairperson

Page 4 1 Gronachan? 2 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Here. 3 Thank you. This evening we have a short 4 meeting, however, I'm going to ask everyone 5 at this time to please turn off all 6 cellphones during the meeting. 7 We will have an opportunity 8 for each petitioner to come before the board, 9 plead their case, so to speak, and if there 10 is anyone in the audience that wishes to 11 speak in regards to that case, at that time, 12 you will be asked to come down and do so. 13 There will be a time limit of three minutes 14 per resident, and that will help us keep the 15 meeting moving quickly. 16 Are there any changes to the 17 agenda this evening? 18 MS. CHAPUT: Not that I know of. 19 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 2.0 you. 21 All those in favor of this 22 evening's agenda say aye. 23 THE BOARD: Aye.

	Page 5
1	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Agenda
2	approved.
3	Were there any changes or
4	deletions or additions to the minutes from
5	October of 2016?
6	MR. SANGHVI: I don't have any.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Anyone?
8	MR. SANGHVI: Motion to approve
9	as presented.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: All those
11	in favor?
12	THE BOARD: Aye.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: The
14	minutes for October of 2016 have been
15	approved.
16	Is there anyone in the
17	audience at this time that wishes to make
18	comments to the Zoning Board of Appeals in
19	regards to anything other than what's on the
20	agenda this evening?
21	Seeing none, we will move
22	right forward and call our first case,
23	PZ16-0034, Grand Promenade.

Page 6 1 Is the petitioner here? 2 Please come on down. 3 The applicant is requesting a 4 variance from the city to allow the 5 construction of a second monument sign, of 30 6 square feet. 7 You will remember, board 8 members, you will recall that this petitioner 9 was with us three months ago, I think now, 10 and they tabled their case to this evening to 11 present additional information. 12 If you both would please 13 state your names, spell it and then raise 14 your right hand to be sworn in. 15 MR. PENNA: Good evening. 16 Anthony Penna appearing behalf of Grand 17 Promenade, LLC. A-n-t-h-o-n-y, P-e-n-n-a. 18 I am from Moore Penna 19 Associates, 3600 Van Dyke, Sterling Heights, 2.0 Michigan. 21 Good evening, Ivica Sinich, owner 22 of Grand Promenade, LLC, I-v-i-c-a, 23 S-i-n-i-c-h.

Page 7 1 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: All the 2 non-attorneys please raise your right hand to be sworn in. 3 4 MR. MONTVILLE: Do you promise to 5 tell the truth in the testimony you are about 6 to give? 7 MR. SINICH: Yes. 8 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 9 you. Please proceed. 10 MR. PENNA: Good evening. 11 Unfortunately, tonight, we are here today, I think the proper course of action would be to 12 13 ask for another adjournment, unfortunately. 14 I was brought to the situation fairly 15 recently. 16 The issue here, as you may 17 know it, it's the building department's or 18 the city's position that there is two 19 monument signs that would possibly be placed 2.0 on my client's property. 21 And I don't know if you're 22 familiar with his piece, so I don't think we 23 have to go over the location of where he's

Page 8 at, but they just finished developing the 1 2 shopping center and they're in the position 3 to put up their monument sign. 4 And at that point in time, 5 the building department made the 6 determination that there already was a 7 monument sign on the property. 8 So at that point in time, 9 shortly thereafter, they contacted me, Target 10 does have a monument sign on a portion of 11 their property, and the issue here is we 12 looked at the chain of title to determine 13 whether or not they were properly on my 14 client's property, and I have been 15 communicating back and forth with Target, and 16 what they produced was an easement from the 17 prior -- the Landon Development Group, who 18 had that whole development. 19 Apparently he was granted an 2.0 easement from the former property owner to 21 erect a sign. 22 So we just got this 23 information, and I would like to present this

to the building department, so that the building department can make a determination on whether or not, in fact, there is going to be two signs on one piece of property, because we would argue that the Target monument sign would be on their property because my client has no use or cannot use that property whatsoever, so my client would only be putting a monument sign on the property that he is entitled to use, so therefore, there would only be one monument sign on the property.

So I think, you know, before we proceed with our variance request, which I think we have, you know, merit to establish a variance, I think the proper course of action would be to present this to the building department, explain this to them, see if we even need to seek a variance.

And I don't know if this board wants to make that determination, or if we should seek that determination from the building department. Because the interesting

2.0

Page 10 1 thing is -- well, too, is when I look into 2 this, I pulled the building records to see, 3 you know, what type of information was 4 submitted to the city at the time when the 5 original monument sign was put up, and it did show the property owner is Target, so I would 6 7 assume that Target provided the city with 8 this easement and that established Target as 9 the property owner. 10 So, you know, I would argue 11 then that that sign would not be on my 12 client's property, we wouldn't need to seek 13 this variance. But I am more than prepared 14 to proceed with the variance if this 15 commission --16 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Just one 17 moment. MR. PENNA: It's a little bit 18 19 complicated. 2.0 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: T am 21 going to ask the city attorney what would be 22 the best way to --23 MS. SAARELA: I think there is

1 merit to just table it, and looking at the 2 issues, seeing what documentation he has reading through it, seeing what the easement 3 4 says, looking at what the permit application 5 from Target says in trying to figure out, you 6 know, whether there is any merit to the 7 argument without trying to make that 8 determination right here on the spot. 9 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: All So we could table this case. Do we 10 right. 11 have -- would 30 days be good enough? 12 MR. PENNA: I think it would be more than sufficient. I'm hopeful that we 13 14 could get a determination from the building 15 department fairly quick. 16 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Carol, 17 what would be the next meeting date? 18 MS. CHAPUT: January 10. 19 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: So at 2.0 this time, in Case No. PZ16-0034, all those 21 in favor of tabling this case until

January 10th per the petitioner's request.

MR. PEDDIBOYINA: So moved.

22

	Page 12
1	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: It's been
2	so moved and we will see you next month.
3	Good luck.
4	That was record speed. So
5	let's move onto our next case, and that would
6	be PZ16-0049.
7	Is the petitioner here?
8	Phillips Sign and Lighting.
9	This case was tabled from
10	last month.
11	The applicant is requesting a
12	variance to allow installation of an
13	additional 5.7 square foot non-illuminated
14	sign on the property. Good evening.
15	MR. BRETZ: Good evening.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Would you
17	state your name again for the recording
18	secretary and you were sworn in last month.
19	MR. BRETZ: Yes, Steven Bretz,
20	S-t-e-v-e-n, last name B-r-e-t-z,
21	representing Feldman Kia.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: You may
23	proceed.

MR. BRETZ: At the time when I
was here last month, I know the board
requested actually to see what the sign would
look like up there. It's a non-illuminated
sign, like you mentioned, just over five
square feet.

We have put a banner up there, I think on the 2nd of December to show you what it would look like, an exact size of the letters that would be. I don't know if you have those pictures or not. I brought some along with me.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Go ahead.

MR. BRETZ: That's what it would look like. We put that up the second of December, and we will be taking it down on the 14th, tomorrow actually.

That's the size of the letters that Kia was looking to put up. It's part of their new branding program, their identity. They are stressing their fast service, more efficient service. It's a simple fabricated metal sign.

	Page 14
1	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Okay.
2	Anything else?
3	MR. BRETZ: No.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Is there
5	anyone in the audience that wishes to make
6	comment on this case?
7	Seeing none, is there any
8	correspondence?
9	MR. MONTVILLE: Fourteen letters
10	mailed, two letters returned, zero approvals
11	and zero objections.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Okay.
13	Building department?
14	MR. BUTLER: No comments.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank
16	you. Board members? Member Sanghvi.
17	MR. SANGHVI: Yes, this time we
18	can find the place without any difficulty,
19	and I have no problem with the sign. Thank
20	you.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Anyone
22	else? Member Montville.
23	MR. MONTVILLE: Touching on the

hardship that the dealership is facing right now, as far as the need of an additional sign, I know you mentioned the branding, the express, talked about this previously too, from a practical standpoint, is this easier for people to find for the dealership, is this potentially going to leave some traffic congestion, or I guess, for giving us the criteria that we operate on, dictated to operate on, you know, is there some kind of hardship or something that would require the sign in addition -- I understand the branding perspective and we want to work with the business and best we can.

Any hardships you could think of, maybe potentially traffic, potentially a case for that?

MR. BRETZ: You mentioned the branding part of it. It's something that Kia is starting. They want to do this at all the dealerships. That's number one.

Number two, it's just to make a more efficient flow of traffic hopefully,

2.0

2.0

Page 16

for the customer to find easier. I know the service -- obviously the service word is right up there already for them, but express lane, easier to see, in a different color, red, may be easier for them to navigate the parking lot. I just hope -- I don't believe it's going to be any kind of a traffic issue at all. Hopefully it will increase the flow or ease the flow.

MR. MONTVILLE: Are you potentially maybe -- the dealership's agreement with Kia -- would that cause trouble if they don't have the proper branding for the dealership?

MR. BRETZ: Well, for the dealership?

MR. MONTVILLE: Sure, as far as operating their business under the Kia branding, if they don't align the Kia brand, is that potentially a problem for the --

MR. BRETZ: Moving forward, this is what they want to stress to the customers, so it's actually almost like a test market

for them right now. They don't have it at any of the other dealerships that I am aware of.

It's something that they would like moving forward. You know, all the dealerships to have this type of branding out there, to make it all uniform.

MR. MONTVILLE: I could go either way, the more I think about this. I will open it up to conversations for my board members. But I think I could make a case -- I believe I could make a case that they are facing some hardships with the sign, would be some potential problems as they're operating currently.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Okay.

Member Peddiboyina.

MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. We discussed at the last meeting also, and we were mentioning the express lane, we need to be -- take care of the security point, in a sense all the vehicles coming very fast as they pull in. We need some kind of better

2.0

Page 18 sign, all that kind of thing. We have to be 1 2 careful. That's my comments about that. 3 Thank you. 4 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Okay. 5 Anyone else? MR. SANGHVI: May I come back 6 7 again? 8 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 9 MR. SANGHVI: The primary reason 10 I have no problem, this is a safety issue as 11 well. For the customers who are coming first 12 time in the dealership. 13 And so I think that it's not 14 likely to interfere with anybody else. This 15 is an entirely internal sign. It's not really that big, so I think it would --16 17 safety as well as identifying the area. 18 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Okay. 19 Anyone else? I guess it's my turn, huh. Ι 2.0 am still on the fence. I don't know what the 21 hardship is. Branding is not it. I don't feel -- I have been 22 23 out there a couple of times. I understand

2.0

Page 19

that if it's a service they're offering, but now that he is telling me that this is a test, that this is not for all the stores yet, I still don't understand the need for the express.

I think my question to you is that, was this a different kind of service that they were doing to do if they went to the express lane, they are doing something else? Is that --

MR. BRETZ: Moving forward with it, they understand that we are into a service industry now. We are in a service business. It's a way to stress that fast efficient service that they want to put out to the public.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: It's not like if you go into this lane, it's going to take 30 seconds to get an oil change, if you go into this lane it's going to take 45.

That's not what the express part means?

MR. BRETZ: No. They're trying

to stress that they want to be the service

king of the auto dealership industry. And they want to be the more efficient, quicker efficient way to bring your car into have your service done at this location, that's going to be better and faster and more efficient than any other location, or any other dealership.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Yeah, I am struggling with this, guys. I really am. I don't see the purpose or the hardship. In fact, that it's branding, service is service, and, you know, I don't know that that one sign is going to make a difference in the quality of this particular dealership.

I don't see where that sign meets what the criteria, and granting a variance is going to -- where the criteria is in order to grant the variance, per our standards.

So at this point, I'm just going to say that I would not be able to support it.

MR. MONTVILLE: I could make a

2.0

motion to approve. We can vote on it.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Go ahead.

MR. MONTVILLE: I move that we grant the variance in Case No. PZ16-0049, sought by Phillips Sign and Lighting, as petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring an additional 5.7 square foot sign designating the express service of the dealership and coordinating and directing traffic flow to the service area, without the variance the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property, as currently zoned due to potential traffic concerns.

Property is unique due to the type of business on the property, the way the lot is currently laid out. Petitioner did not create the layout of the lot.

The relief when granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties as it is the minimal sign size to note the express nature of -- that part of the dealership, and also on the

Page 22 internal facing exposure of the dealership 1 2 and will not interfere with any surrounding 3 properties. The relief is consistent with 4 5 the spirit and intent of the ordinance. And those for reasons, I move that we grant the 6 7 variance as it has been requested. 8 MR. SANGHVI: Second. 9 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: It's been 10 moved and seconded. 11 Carol, will you please 12 call -- is there any further discussion? Seeing none, will you call please the roll. 13 14 MS. CHAPUT: Member Byrwa? 15 MR. BYRWA: No. 16 MS. CHAPUT: Member Sanghvi? 17 MR. SANGHVI: Yes. 18 MS. CHAPUT: Member Montville? 19 MR. MONTVILLE: Yes. 2.0 MS. CHAPUT: Member Peddiboyina? 21 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. 22 MS. CHAPUT: Chairperson 23 Gronachan?

1 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Motion 2 fails three to two. So at this point, your 3 motion is denied. Your request is denied. 4 You need four votes for it to pass. If there 5 is nothing more at this point. So I'm sorry, 6 your request for your variance has been 7 denied. 8 MR. BRETZ: Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 10 Let's go to our next case, PZ16-0055, you. 11 the Goddard School of Novi. Is the 12 petitioner present. Come on down. 13 The applicant is requesting a 14 variance from the City of Novi to allow a 113.04 square feet recreational space to omit 15 16 separate loading space 4.19.2 feet to allow 17 dumpster and side front yard and 4.19 to 18 allow excess play structures in side and 19 front yard. 2.0 So we have three variances 21 this evening. Good evening, gentlemen. 22 Would you please state your 23 names and then spell it for our recording

Page 24 1 secretary. 2 And if you are not an attorney, raise your right hand to be sworn 3 4 in. 5 MR. DOE: My name is Derick Doe, 6 D-e-r-i-c-k, D-o-e. 7 MR. BAGNICK: My name is Brian 8 Bagnick. I'm with Hobbs and Black 9 Architects. B-r-i-a-n, B-a-g-n-i-c-k. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Would you 11 both raise your right hands to be sworn in by 12 our secretary. 13 MR. MONTVILLE: Do you promise to 14 tell the truth in the testimony you are about 15 to provide? MR. BAGNICK: Yes. 16 17 MR. DOE: Yes. 18 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Please 19 proceed. 2.0 MR. DOE: Thank you for an 21 opportunity to speak this evening. Again, my name is Derick Doe. 22 23 My wife and I are looking to

open a Goddard School located here in Novi,
Michigan. We're thrilled to have an
opportunity to start off on this endeavor,
appreciate the opportunity to speak with you
this evening.

We are actually joined by
Brian, he is our architect, also have a team,
our civil engineer Tim Story and Levi with
Goddard Systems who actually represents our
Goddard franchise. He is our Goddard
franchisor.

At this time we have five zoning ordinance variance requests, and I will turn it over to Brian to speak a little bit more about this.

MR. BAGNICK: I think there were three -- we actually have five items here.

We reviewed them. But I just want to kind of touch base on each one very briefly.

The loading space, because we are a preschool, we don't need a loading space. When kids are dropped off in the morning, the parents park in the lot, they

2.0

bring the children into the school. They get back in their cars, they then drive away.

The lot is essentially empty all day long. There is only cars in the lot when it's drop-off and pick-up, so at the beginning of the day and at the end of the day.

It doesn't really need a loading space, plus any deliveries that they have are very small box trucks, the size of a Fed Ex truck would typically be the largest truck that would come into the parking lot.

The other one that we were requesting is a dumpster. The dumpster it's an interesting issue, because (unintelligible) we have to put it in the rear yard.

If you look at the configuration of our site, we have frontage on both Twelve Mile Road and Grand River Road, so we don't really have a rear yard. We kind of placed the dumpster in the side where it's least visible from either road,

2.0

and where it's more concealed on the site.

We built nice decorative walls around it and
some plantings around to help conceal it.

The third point that I have on my list is the two accessory play structures.

Again, the ordinance allows only one accessory structure. We have two because we have different age groups of children and we have different age appropriate equipment.

You don't want the younger kids playing on older children's equipment and vice-versa. So there is two different play structures in two different play areas to help -- for the safety of the children.

The size of the play area was another thing that was questioned. It seems to me the ordinance is kind of written around an elementary school or a regular type of school, or they ask for a certain amount of square footage for each child in the entire school.

2.0

1

The way that Goddard

2

functions is that they never have more than

3

24 children in a play area at any one time.

4

And with 24 children in a play area, it's --

5

we greatly exceed the capacity for that.

6

We have tried to maximize it

We have some site constraints with

7

storm water detention and some other issues

8

and we have maximized the play areas as much

9

as we could. We have almost 17,000 square

10 11

feet, so you can imagine 17,000 square feet

12

when you only have 48 children out there at

13

any one time.

also.

14

because they like to have the smaller groups

16

of kids out there so that they have proper

17

supervision, and they like to have the

18

playground nice and safe and secure so they

19

can see all corners of the playground, so

20

then again, that's the reason for that one.

21

And then, this signage one is

So it is also a safety issue,

22

kind of broken down into a couple of issues

23

there.

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

on those roads.

multiple signs we are requesting. Again, that's a function of our property and configuration of our property, having frontage on Grand River and on Twelve Mile Road, we will like to put a sign on both locations. We think that helps the flow of traffic, helps reduce the traffic on either one of those roads, and it helps from a

safety standpoint while reducing the traffic

One is that we have got

The other one is the sign on the building exceeds the allowable square footage for a sign. But if you look in your packet, you will see the design for that sign is really two parts.

And the way the ordinance is worded, is the ordinance draws a geometric shape, which is a rectangle around both parts and says that we are over area.

If you look at the two parts individually, we are actually below the required amount of signage. Part of that is

branding for the Goddard schools, part of that is the interpretation of what --

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Do you have the picture of it with you so that you could put it up on the --

MR. BAGNICK: So the sign we are talking about is on the wall right here. If you draw a large rectangle around the entire area, it exceeds the square footage.

If you draw a rectangle around each individual -- the logo itself being a circle, with about a three, four inch diameter is probably about nine square feet, and then the other sign is 17 feet long and two feet high, so that's 34. So 34 and nine is about 43 square feet. It would be low -- it would be below the allowable 51 square feet for us, if you looked at it that way.

If you draw some strange polygon shape around it like this, you could also get -- so again it's subject to interpretation of what geometric shape.

So, again, if you have any

2.0

Page 31 1 questions, Levi is here, he's with the 2 Goddard people and our civil engineer Tim is 3 here as well. 4 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 5 Anything else that you would like to add? 6 MR. BAGNICK: I think we 7 talked -- we touched on the dumpster because 8 you really have no rear yard. Those are the 9 major reasons for the variances. There is 10 other smaller reasons. 11 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Do you 12 have the site plan there? Do you have a 13 picture of the layout of the property? 14 MR. BAGNICK: It should be in 15 your packet, but you can see we have frontage 16 on Grand River, we have frontage on Twelve 17 Mile Road. 18 We have placed the dumpster 19 kind of back near the detention basin, and we 2.0 put a big screen wall around it. 21 There really isn't a rear 22 yard to put the dumpster in. We tried to put 23 it in the location that's least

Page 32 1 objectionable. The PNC Bank next to us, 2 their main entrance is here, so we wanted to kind of keep it away from their entrance as 3 4 well. Be a good neighbor and tuck it away in 5 a location where it doesn't bother anybody. 6 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 7 Anything else? 8 MR. BAGNICK: One other thing I 9 didn't mention with the signage. I know just because your neighbor has -- it doesn't mean 10 11 you're entitled to it, but PNC also has 12 signage on Grand River and Twelve Mile, and a 13 building sign as well. 14 We are not asking for 15 anything out of the ordinary, I guess. CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 16 17 you. Is there anything else? 18 MR. BAGNICK: All set. 19 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Is there 2.0 anyone in the audience that wishes to make 21 comment on this case? 22 Seeing none, building 23 department.

1 MR. BUTLER: Just one quick 2 comment, if I will present it, we would appreciate the fact that he does not have a 3 4 rear yard to put the dumpster on. It's more 5 of a requirement, but he's done the 6 appropriate things, put a nice screening 7 fence around it, keep it out of the public 8 eye. We appreciate that. That is a good 9 fact. That is what we normally do in cases 10 like that. Otherwise no comments. 11 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 12 Is there any correspondence? 13 MR. MONTVILLE: Yes, 20 letters 14 mailed, two letters returned, zero approvals 15 and zero objections. 16 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 17 Board members? Member Sanghvi. 18 MR. SANGHVI: Thank you, ma'am. 19 I did come and look at the site. First thing 2.0 I'd like to know what kind of school is 21 Goddard School? I am not familiar with that 22 school. 23 MR. DOE: The school is designed

for children from age six weeks to six years of age. So it's a preschool, but it's also geared around infants and toddlers as well, so it's a school that will -- is designed to hold around 144 children of various ages, again from the infant room being around six weeks of age all the way up to pre-kindergarten which is around age five.

MR. SANGHVI: You mentioned about the classroom size. It's going to be of 24 children?

MR. DOE: Yes, the maximum classroom size would be the pre-k rooms, which would be 24 children, based on the student and the student teacher ratio.

Depending on the different rooms, there is a different number of children that would be in each one of the rooms, a different number of teachers that would be there as well. But the largest classrooms would be our pre-kindergarten room.

We plan to have two of those and each one can have up to 24 students.

1 MR. SANGHVI: Did I understand 2 correctly, you can have two classrooms at the time outside? 3 4 MR. DOE: Two classrooms, one 5 classroom on the older playground, one classroom on the younger playground. 6 7 MR. SANGHVI: Thank you. And 8 there will be other stuff also supervising? 9 MR. DOE: Yes, sir. There is 10 actually -- when the students go outside to 11 the playground, the teachers for each one of 12 the classrooms are out there all the time. So the teachers lead them out, they have 13 exercise and games that they actually conduct 14 while they're out there. Of course, they're 15 16 burning off energy as well. But the teachers 17 are always out there supervising, making sure

MR. SANGHVI: Thank you.

they are close by around the equipment, or

they're out there, but at no time would there

they're doing different activities while

be a student outside without a teacher

overseeing.

18

19

2.0

21

Page 36 1 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 2 you, Member Sanghvi. Anyone else. Member 3 Peddiboyina. 4 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. 5 have no issue on this case. The presentation 6 and the way you guys this is did excellent. 7 And I got all the questions my board members 8 asked, the same question I would like to ask, 9 but I got all the answers. And I have no 10 issue. Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 12 Anyone else? Member Montville. 13 MR. MONTVILLE: Quick question on 14 the sign. That's the Goddard School 15 franchise sign? MR. BAGNICK: Yes. 16 17 MR. MONTVILLE: That is the 18 corporate, okay. I reiterate 19 Mr. Peddiboyina's thoughts as well. Going 2.0 through line-by-line, I don't see a reason 21 not to support what's being requested, I 22 would be in support as well. 23 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Okay.

Anyone else? Well, as for me, if I can have the drawing of the layout of the property again, please. We do have people at home that watch.

First of all, welcome to Novi, and congratulations that you picked such a great area. We are happy to have you.

I agree that the presentation was done very well as well as the packet. I have no objection whatsoever with the loading space or with the dumpster. I think that you handled the -- what could be objections very well, and you addressed them in your presentation.

So I just wanted to get those two right off the bat.

As far as the signs, I wanted to address the two ground signs, which is number five, and the reason why I would support them.

And the reason why I would support them is because of the two separate entrances and the two main thoroughfares.

2.0

This is a difficult intersection and I think for safety purposes there needs to be a requirement for two signs, one on Twelve Mile, as you have indicated, and then one on Grand River.

So I would be in support of that. I'm really in support of the whole thing. But I just wanted to point that out the reason why. I don't want to just hand stamp something so people don't think that we just approve it without doing our homework.

And I think that this is a unique piece of property, in its shape and its location. The angle of the property is -- warrants these variances that you have requested. I don't have any -- I think that you did well with the outdoor space. Can you point out on your drawing where the playgrounds are going to be for the children, the two separate playgrounds, please.

MR. BAGNICK: The actual play structures are smaller rectangles. The playground is the fenced in -- the larger

2.0

fenced in area. You can see there is a fence that divides the two, for the older children and the younger children.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: They're even designed safety wise in the property that they're not near any of the entrances, and that they are well protected, so I have no objection to any of that.

I would entertain a motion based on that information. Member Montville.

MR. MONTVILLE: I move that we grant the variances requested in Case No.
PZ16-0055, sought by the Goddard School of
Novi, as the petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring variances outlined in the testimony. Without these variances, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented and limited with respect to using the property due to the unique layout of the lot, the exposure to both Twelve Mile and Grand River and the entrances on both of those roadways.

And again, I'd like to reiterate the unique nature and shape of the

2.0

Page 40 1 lot, that is not self-created in any way by 2 the petitioner. The relief granted will not 3 4 unreasonably interfere with any adjacent or 5 surrounding properties, specifically the side 6 yard dumpster and the efforts made by the 7 petitioner to add the brick wall surrounding 8 the dumpster and put it in the least 9 sensitive area of the lot as able. 10 The relief granted are 11 consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance, for those reasons, I move that we 12 13 grant the variances as requested. 14 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Second. 15 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: It's been 16 moved and second. Is there any other further discussion? 17 18 MR. SANGHVI: Just one question, 19 are you going to use both entrances for in 2.0 and out or are you going to have one way 21 traffic going on Grand River in and Twelve 22 Mile going out?

MR. BAGNICK: We view Grand River

	Page 41
1	as being the main entrance, and as you can
2	see, we made it three lanes wide, and that
3	even though Twelve Mile is wide enough for in
4	and out traffic, we see that as primarily
5	being an exit.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Any
7	further discussion in regards to the motion?
8	It's been moved and second.
9	Please call roll, Carol.
10	MS. CHAPUT: Member Byrwa?
11	MR. BYRWA: Yes.
12	MS. CHAPUT: Member Sanghvi?
13	MR. SANGHVI: Yes.
14	MS. CHAPUT: Member Montville?
15	MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
16	MS. CHAPUT: Member Peddiboyina?
17	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
18	MS. CHAPUT: Chairperson
19	Gronachan?
20	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Yes.
21	MS. CHAPUT: Motion passes five
22	to zero.
23	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: All

Page 42 1 right. Your variances have been granted and 2 good luck. When will you be opening? 3 4 MR. DOE: Our plan is to open 5 right after Labor Day. 6 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Good luck 7 and again welcome. Thank you. 8 MR. DOE: Thank you very much. 9 MS. SAARELA: Before we move onto 10 the next case, if you could recall the 11 Feldman case and just do a motion to deny any 12 since it was a motion to pass that failed. When something fails like that, we should 13 actually do both, just to make sure that it's 14 15 consistent, there is a reason to deny. You 16 want the reasons to deny on the record. 17 MR. MONTVILLE: Same motioner 18 make the second? 19 MS. SAARELA: Yes. 2.0 MR. SANGHVI: Just a question. 21 Should we be telling them that we need minimum four votes and not -- we don't have a 22 23 full board tonight?

Page 43 1 MR. BYRWA: They have the right 2 to table. MS. SAARELA: We have had this 3 4 discussion before. We have, you know, where they can look at the rules and we can put 5 6 that in the rules, so we don't have to 7 basically read all the rules every time we 8 start a meeting. 9 So would it have impacted 10 anything, you know, I don't know. But they 11 should be taking a look at the rules. 12 think we made that decision to put it in the 13 rules for that reason. 14 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Tt.'s 15 already indicated. So to reiterate it, we 16 would have to either reiterate it for each 17 and every case, and not just each case 18 specific, so it was decided to put it just in 19 the rules and be done with it. 2.0 MR. SANGHVI: I don't know that 21 people are aware of that fact. 22 MS. SAARELA: They should be 23 reading the packet that they're getting.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: So in Case No. PZ16-0034, we are going to entertain a motion to deny -- I'm sorry. PZ16-0049, entertain a motion to deny in the case.

Member Montville.

MR. MONTVILLE: I move that we deny the variance is Case No. PZ16-0049, sought by Phillips Sign and Lighting as the petitioner has not shown a practical difficulty requiring an additional sign.

The circumstances and features of the property are not unique in this position, and exist generally throughout the city and are very common. This particular request is being self-created by the petitioner, as it is nearly for a branding component and does not address any particular hardships based by the petitioner.

The failure to grant the request will not create an economic or financial loss for the petitioner. For those reasons and -- granting the variance would potentially be inconsistent with the spirt

2.0

	Page 45
1	and intent of the ordinance, as again, it is
2	a self-created variance that is being
3	requested. For those reasons, I move that we
4	deny the request. Is there a second?
5	MR. BYRWA: Second.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Carol,
7	would you please call the roll.
8	MS. CHAPUT: Member Byrwa?
9	MR. BYRWA: Yes.
10	MS. CHAPUT: Member Sanghvi?
11	MR. SANGHVI: No.
12	MS. CHAPUT: Member Montville?
13	MR. MONTVILLE: No.
14	MS. CHAPUT: Member Peddiboyina?
15	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: No.
16	MS. CHAPUT: Chairperson
17	Gronachan?
18	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Yes.
19	MS. CHAPUT: Motion to deny
20	passes three to two.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Two to
22	three.
23	MS. SAARELA: Three to two.

	Page 46
1	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Didn't I
2	say yes?
3	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: You said yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Yes, it's
5	all reversed.
6	MS. SAARELA: Actually did you
7	guys say it reverse? So motion to deny,
8	should be everybody that denied raise your
9	hand.
10	(Board indicating.)
11	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: So it's
12	two to three.
13	MS. SAARELA: So
14	MR. SANGHVI: It would be the
15	same.
16	MS. SAARELA: So that fails, too.
17	I guess there is nothing you can do. It just
18	has to fail on that one.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Moving
20	ahead, thank you for your patience.
21	Case No. PZ16-0058, Sign
22	Works of Michigan. Is the petitioner
23	present? Please come down.

Page 47 1 The applicant is requesting a 2 variance from the City of Novi to allow two -- I understand the number is wrong --3 4 174 square feet wall. 5 Would you please state your 6 name and spell it for our recording secretary 7 and then be sworn in by our secretary. 8 MS. FRASS: Sure. My name is Ann 9 I'm with Sign Works of Michigan. Frass. 10 is A-n-n, Frass, F-r-a-s-s. 11 I am representing Comau 12 Industries of 44000 Grand River Avenue. 13 request is for the installation of two flex 14 space illuminated wall cabinets. 15 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: I'm 16 sorry. Excuse me. You need to raise your 17 right hand and be sworn in before you 18 proceed. 19 MS. FRASS: I'm sorry I missed a 2.0 step. Thank you. 21 MR. MONTVILLE: Do you swear to 22 tell the truth in the testimony you're about 23 to give?

Page 48 1 Absolutely. MS. FRASS: 2 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Please 3 proceed. 4 MS. FRASS: I put this drawing up 5 here, so that you can see our request is for the installation of the two illuminated flex 6 7 space cabinets at Comau Industries. 8 Comau Industries has been 9 growing and expanded in the Detroit area. 10 They have a branded logo and they have 11 created a consistent appearance with multiple 12 locations across Detroit and other areas. 13 They like to keep this 14 uniformity and increase visibilty and that's 15 why we are proposing to add some signage 16 along 96. Currently this building has none. 17 Now, for the purposes of this 18 meeting, if you were to have driven by in the 19 last ten days, you would have seen the 2.0 temporary signage that we did install for the 21 requirements of this meeting. 22 This is a layout of their 23 property. This would be highway 96 over

here. And you can see from the Novi site -I am just wondering, if you don't mind does
this fit on here.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: We have a stand right here you can put it on.

MS. FRASS: On the easel here, I have got this property. So you can see their footprint here. They have got three buildings. This is one, this actually is one, here is one, then together, they're almost 500 square feet.

This building is called the auto tech -- this one is called the Novi
Industries building. This is a T shape.
That building is 380,000 feet. This distance along 96 is just under 3/16th of a mile,
855 feet, the length here is just short of a quarter mile, 1,120 feet. The auto tech building over here has over 107,000 square feet and the quality building is 10,000 square feet.

Due to the nature of this footprint here, in Novi, the vast nature of

2.0

it, and the building size, we are requesting two signs. The ordinance allows one, we are requesting two.

The other reason is, the positioning of this along 96 facing the northeast elevations, we are requesting signage here and here is where this is detailed.

If we were to put it here -on each of the elevations, so the vehicular
traffic from either direction would be able
to see, we wouldn't miss anyone.

We are proposing on this drawing that I had earlier, I can set up here, the signage proposed is 12 feet wide, 14 and a half feet tall for increased visibility. That is what you would see if you drove by the 12 by 14 and a half.

Comau Industries, again, this is large area of Novi. It has substantial employment opportunities and we really would like to increase the visibility.

Currently, they just have one

2.0

Page 51 1 sign and that's the standard monument, that 2 is over here, their driveway on Grand River 3 Avenue. So we requesting sign and quantity 4 variance tonight for this location. 5 Does anyone have any 6 questions for me? 7 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 8 Is there anyone in the audience that 9 wishes to make comment on this case? 10 Seeing none, building 11 department? 12 MR. BUTLER: No comment. 13 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 14 Is there any correspondence? you. 15 MR. MONTVILLE: Yes, 26 letters 16 mailed, two letters returned, zero approvals 17 and zero objections. 18 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Okay. 19 Board members? Member Sanghvi. 2.0 MR. SANGHVI: I came and saw your 21 place before the storm came. It's really a 22 huge place that is not really easy visible to 23 anybody because of the bridge and everything

1 And especially they expanded and 2 became almost completely concealed from the main Grand River. I know it's visible from 3 4 I-96, but people coming, there is no entrance 5 from I-96 to come there. You need to have 6 some identification and visibility. So I 7 have no difficulty for your signs because the 8 size of your property and the kind of 9 business you are in, I think you deserve 10 better signage than what you have currently 11 got. Thank you. 12 MS. FRASS: I appreciate that. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 15 Anyone else? Member Peddiboyina? you. 16 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. 17 also state the same thing, before the storm, 18 your place. Business point of view, we need 19 a bigger sign, and visibility is more 2.0 important. And I have no comments. I wish 21 you good luck. 22 Thank you, sir. MS. FRASS: 23 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Member

	Byrwa?
--	--------

2.0

MR. BYRWA: These are non-illuminated signs or is that a light off to the side there that directs lighting onto the sign?

MS. FRASS: The signs that are being proposed, sir, have an aluminum cabinet and a flex space, think of it as a banner face, and the entire interior is filled with LEDs. So at night this will be illuminated, the entire face will be illuminated.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Member Montville, do you have anything to add?

MR. MONTVILLE: No.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: You could see that sign during the snow storm, just saying. For those of us who didn't get out before the storm.

I think that due to the uniqueness of this lot, and the size, and the size of the buildings, and the location and the layout, I have no problem submitting -- supporting this request. Evidently I have a

problem talking tonight.

And I will make out, I will state this. The size, when I first saw it, although they just changed it, I thought it was like excessive, you know, when you're reading it. That's why it's important to go out and look at it. But I think that this request is in scale to the buildings that are there and present and that is why I would be in full support of this particular size and this particular property for this particular business.

If there is nothing further,
I would entertain a motion. Member
Montville. You're up tonight.

MR. MONTVILLE: I move that we grant the variance being requested in Case No. PZ16-0058, sought by Sign Works of Michigan as the petitioner has shown a practical difficulty requiring additional size to their sign request, and also due to the unique location of the lot in question, the additional sign is warranted.

2.0

petitioner will be unreasonably prevented and limited in terms of getting the proper visibility for their business.

Without the variance,

The property is unique due to both the -- being on the north side of the building, I-96, then also on the south side of the building, their entrance right off Grand River, and also due to the large size of the building, the proper scale for these signs would require additional square footage.

The petitioner did not create those conditions and the relief granted will not reasonably interfere with any adjacent or surrounding properties and as it is consistent with the type of business, manufacturing facility, and then again, the dimensions being used are the proper scale relative to the size of the building.

For those reasons, I move that we grant the variances as they have been requested.

	Page	e 56
1	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Second.	
2	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: It's been	n
3	moved and second.	
4	Is there any further	
5	discussion? Seeing none, Carol, would you	
6	please call the roll.	
7	MS. CHAPUT: Member Byrwa?	
8	MR. BYRWA: Yes.	
9	MS. CHAPUT: Member Sanghvi?	
10	MR. SANGHVI: Yes.	
11	MS. CHAPUT: Member Montville?	
12	MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.	
13	MS. CHAPUT: Member Peddiboyina?	
14	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.	
15	MS. CHAPUT: Chairperson	
16	Gronachan?	
17	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Yes.	
18	MS. CHAPUT: Motion passes five	
19	to zero.	
20	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Your	
21	variance has been granted. And	
22	congratulations and good luck.	
23	MS. FRASS: Just because there	

Page 57 1 was a discrepancy, this is the size that we 2 had been approved at? CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 3 Correct. 4 Our next case is Community 5 Network Services, is the petitioner present? 6 MR. BOYNTON: Present. 7 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Case No. 8 P716-0059. 9 The applicant is requesting 10 variances to allow a wall sign in addition to 11 an existing ground sign, for two oversized identification driveway signs. The property 12 is zoned office service. 13 14 Would you please go ahead, gentlemen, state your names, spell them for 15 16 our recording secretary. And then if you are 17 not an attorney, raise your right hand and be 18 sworn in, please. 19 MR. BOYNTON: To this honorable 2.0 board, my name is Darnell Boynton. I am the 21 chief corporate compliance officer and 22 general counsel for Community Network 23 Services. That's Darnell, D-a-r-n-e-l-l,

Page 58 1 Boynton, B, as in boy, o-y-n-t-o-n. 2 MR. JACKSON: My name is Anthony 3 I am the facility manager for the Jackson. company. My name is spelled A-n-t-h-o-n-y, 4 last name Jackson, J-a-c-k-s-o-n. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 7 Mr. Jackson, you are not an 8 attorney, correct? 9 MR. JACKSON: Correct. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Please 11 raise your right hand and be sworn in. 12 MR. MONTVILLE: Do you promise to 13 tell the truth in the testimony you are about 14 to provide? 15 MR. JACKSON: I do. 16 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 17 you. Please proceed. 18 MR. BOYNTON: Again, to this 19 honorable board, we thank you for this 2.0 opportunity to come before you. We stand 21 before you very excited about being in the City of Novi. We are a behavioral healthcare 22 23 company that has served the citizens of

Oakland County for over 20 years, and earlier this summer, we were fortunate enough to buy the building located at 24230 Karim Boulevard.

With that being said, we serve and we probably serve a very vulnerable population. We serve those who have serious mental illness and developmental disabilities.

And with that being said, we are asking for this variance because we feel that it is vitally important that those consumers that we serve be able to distinctively identify our building. Our building, we believe is unique and requires us to ask for this variance because currently, once the renovations are completed, and CNS actually takes possession of the building, there will be two tenants that occupy that building.

Currently Diverse Health
Services occupies the building and they have
a very distinct and different clientele than

Community Networking Services.

And with that being said, we will think that it is very important for our consumers to be able to not only identify the proper entrances in which they need to go into, but we think that it is also important for their own well-being, because we have taken great strides in making our logo a logo that they can recognize as a place that is welcoming and a place that they can receive the kind of services that they need to receive to be better citizens in society.

So with that being said, we also -- we believe that we meet the requirements of one of the exceptions to the variance and that is I believe it's 2853F, where two or more separately owned and operated businesses occupy the same space, on a single parcel of land, each having separate exterior.

Each business is entitled to a single identification wall sign, if not otherwise entitled to a wall sign under the

current chapter.

So with that being said, we would ask that you would grant the variance. We.

Surveyed, you know, our neighbors directly adjacent to us. You know, they have a wall sign, so we don't believe the addition of this wall sign, you know, would create, you know, any kind of hardship to our neighbors, or value to their property in any way.

If I might just take a little side note, we also have another variance request at this time, I think we are prepared to withdraw that requests as it relates to the entrance signs.

When we bought the building, we inherited the entrance signs and they were out of compliance with the code. We were able to go back, meet with our facility department, and our contractors and we are able to conform to the proper code requirements for the driveway entrance signs.

Page 62 1 So at this time, we are 2 prepared to actually withdraw that request 3 for a variance and proceed with the request 4 for the wall sign. 5 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 6 Do you have anything to add? Thank you. 7 MR. JACKSON: I do not. 8 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 9 you. 10 Is there anyone in the 11 audience that wishes to make comment in 12 regards to this case? 13 Seeing none, building department? 14 15 MR. BUTLER: No comment at this time. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Okay. 18 Correspondence? 19 MR. MONTVILLE: Yes, 19 letters 2.0 mailed, two letters returned, one approval 21 from Joseph Debrincat -- excuse me. There was a -- I think we have the wrong letter. 22 23 Nineteen letters mailed, two letters

Page 63 1 returned. I believe one approval was 2 mistakenly added to the folder. 3 objections. 4 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 5 you. All right. Board members. Member 6 Sanghvi. 7 MR. SANGHVI: I came and saw your 8 place a couple days ago. And it used to be 9 some other medical facility there, if my 10 memory serves me right. 11 MR. BOYNTON: That is correct. 12 MR. SANGHVI: You had two businesses over here, DH something and you. 13 14 You both have separate entrances? 15 MR. BOYNTON: Yes, we do. 16 MR. SANGHVI: I have no problem 17 with your sign. You need to be recognized. 18 I know you don't have a Ten Mile Road 19 address, but at least you're physically 2.0 there, your visibility is needed. Thank you. 21 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Anyone Member Montville. 22 else? 23 MR. MONTVILLE: That location, it

is unique and I know a case back with a similar type of situation, but you really don't even notice it as much in the winter with all those trees with no leaves, again, not a self-created condition by the applicants, specifically entirely to the summer, that's an issue.

It really takes up all the visibility of the building, and to have that sign, it does help notify the business and their customers where the location is.

Especially coming down Ten Mile. That's a 45 mile a hour pass-way, it's pretty quick, highly congested, it doesn't take much to drive right by the building.

I would be in support of this request.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Anyone else? Member Peddiboyina.

MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. I wish you good luck. I don't have any concerns. That are two business, so you definitely need identification for the

2.0

Page 65 1 business also. I approve that. I don't have 2 an issue. CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 3 Thank 4 Did you have something? you. 5 MR. BYRWA: No. 6 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: I just 7 want to reiterate that the petitioner is 8 withdrawing the request for the ground signs. 9 MR. BOYNTON: That is correct. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: It would 11 just be a request for the one sign on the 12 building. 13 MR. BOYNTON: That is correct. 14 If you like, we have the new ground signs 15 that is -- meet the conformity to the code. 16 MR. JACKSON: There is actually 17 ground signs on the north end of the 18 building. 19 These would be on the south 2.0 end or our driveway entrance, then the north 21 end will have their driveway entrance, which 22 is this sign. Both signs will stay -- you 23 know, that is for DHS and our entrance will

Page 66 1 stay for CNS. 2 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: There is 3 no variance request needed for those signs 4 because now you're compliant. 5 MR. BOYNTON: Correct. 6 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 7 regards to the one sign on the building, I 8 have no problem with the request. 9 I think it meets the spirit 10 of the ordinance, quite frankly, because 11 given the location of the building and the 12 difficulty seeing it, not just during the winter, but given the traffic flow and the 13 14 speeds, people need to find it and we need to 15 make it as easy as possible. So I have no 16 objections and I will be supporting your 17 request tonight. 18 MR. BOYNTON: Thank you. 19 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: I would 2.0 entertain a motion, if somebody -- Member 21 Montville. MR. MONTVILLE: If I could ask 22 23 the City Attorney. Do we need to modify the

motion as far as removing for the two signs?

MS. SAARELA: You wouldn't want
to say as requested, you would want to say
the specific variances that you are granting.

MR. MONTVILLE: Do we have to mention the ones that we are not granting or just specify the ones that are being granted?

MS. SAARELA: Right.

MR. MONTVILLE: I'm prepared.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Good.

MR. MONTVILLE: I move that we

grant the wall sign variance being requested in Case No. PZ16-0059, sought by Community Network Services, as petitioner has shown a practical difficulty requiring the wall sign on the front of the building. Without this particular variance, the petitioner will be prevented and limited with respect to the use of the property, due to lack of visibility and potential traffic and safety concerns with the lack of visibility and customers of the business trying to find the location.

The property is unique due to

Page 68 1 the current layout and the shrubbery and four 2 stations limiting the visibilty in the front 3 of the building to passengers on Ten Mile. 4 The petitioner did not create 5 those conditions as they were pre-existing. 6 The relief will not unreasonably interfere 7 with any surrounding or adjacent properties 8 as the design of the sign is professionally 9 done, it fits within the proper scale due to 10 the frontage of the building, and from an 11 esthetic perspective is an enhancement. 12 The relief is consistent with 13 the spirt and intent of the ordinance, and for those reasons, I move that we grant the 14 15 wall sign variance request. 16 MR. SANGHVI: Second. 17 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: It's been 18 moved and second. Any further discussion? 19 Seeing none, Carol, would you 2.0 please call the roll. 21 MS. CHAPUT: Member Byrwa? MR. BYRWA: 22 Yes.

MS. CHAPUT:

Member Sanghvi?

	Page 69
1	MR. SANGHVI: Yes.
2	MS. CHAPUT: Member Montville?
3	MR. MONTVILLE: Yes.
4	MS. CHAPUT: Member Peddiboyina?
5	MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
6	MS. CHAPUT: Chairperson
7	Gronachan?
8	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Yes.
9	MS. CHAPUT: Motion passes five
10	to zero.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Your
12	variances have been granted and I'm sure you
13	will be in touch with the building
14	department. Congratulations and welcome to
15	Novi.
16	Okay. Our final case of the
17	evening, Audrey and Gordy Wilson PZ16-0060.
18	The applicant is requesting a
19	variance to allow an 11.42-foot encroachment
20	of the building second floor to the rear yard
21	setback. Good evening.
22	MR. COLTHURST: Good evening. My
23	name is Eric Colthurst. I am a retired

Page 70 1 attorney, stressing retired. This is my 2 daughter, Audrey Wilson. 3 MS. WILSON: I am Audrey Wilson, 4 homeowner, A-u-d-r-e-y, W-i-l-s-o-n. 5 MR. COLTHURST: If it's okay, I'm here to testify tonight because I am over at 6 7 this lot a lot using it. 8 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: They're 9 going to assist us so we can hear you at 10 home. 11 Would you please raise your 12 right hands and be sworn in by our secretary. 13 MR. MONTVILLE: Do you promise to 14 tell the truth in the testimony you're about 15 to provide? 16 MS. WILSON: I do. 17 MR. COLTHURST: Yes. 18 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Please 19 proceed. 2.0 MR. COLTHURST: We have two 21 approvals from the adjoining neighbors. 22 decided to give them to us instead of sending 23 them to Novi.

	Page 71
1	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: We got
2	them.
3	MR. COLTHURST: You got the one
4	from Joe. Did you get the one from Brent
5	Westbrook?
6	MR. MONTVILLE: We do not have
7	those two.
8	MR. COLTHURST: Joe was in that
9	other file before us.
10	MR. MONTVILLE: We have
11	Mr. Debrincat's.
12	MR. COLTHURST: We have Brent
13	Westbrook and Josh and Erin Robinson.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: We don't
15	have those.
16	MR. COLTHURST: We can give them
17	to the secretary. Did you need copies of
18	these or just give the originals?
19	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: We will
20	get the originals.
21	MR. COLTHURST: I also brought
22	pictures of the property, and it's on three
23	pages. And I have copies for everybody. We

can put them up here. If you want to look at them all at the same time, we would be glad to give you a copy.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Let's start up here. Let's see how that works.

MR. COLTHURST: Let's do the -it's hard to see those. I can give you the
prints, if you want them.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: We have got some drawings here, so if you tell us what you're going for, then if anybody has got any question --

MR. COLTHURST: The bottom

picture on number one there then is a picture

of the side door that was put into the

kitchen when the house was remodeled two

years ago. The idea was to make a deck off

of that door. On the inside that door goes

to the kitchen. This picture is taken from

the south facing north.

Then if you go to the top pictures, you have got a picture in the backyard, on the back property line facing

2.0

Page 73 1 the west. If you will notice right there 2 between the houses, that's Walled Lake beyond the house. 3 4 And the addition is going to 5 go into that notch there. And it will come 6 straight off from the wall on the right. 7 Then the picture on the right up there, is 8 facing south, and it's the kitchen. This is 9 the kitchen here, and then if you see the 10 trampoline there, and that cement pad, the 11 addition is going to come out about to the 12 end of that cement pad. Then it will go into the indentation. 13 14 Any questions on those 15 pictures? 16 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: No, not 17 at this time. 18 MR. COLTHURST: So this is 19 looking out from the kitchen on the second --2.0 on this house, the first floor is a walkout 21 basement, so I'm going to call the second floor the main floor. 22

So that's looking from the

main floor kitchen window to the property to the north, and that's a garage, that's Joe's garage, that approval you had. He's to the left and to the east of us, and then on the left side of that picture is his house.

If you go turn the other way, then that picture is taken from the doorway of the kitchen where the deck -- that would be the entranceway to the deck.

And if you look, you can see that's the property to the south, that person has also given approval.

If you look farther, just to the left side of the picture, you will see the storage. I believe that's of his pontoon there. They have got their play structure out there.

Then if you go to the bottom picture, that's taken from the kitchen, straight to the east, and it shows to the left or what would be to the north, those houses are on a separate row, and they face straight -- the back of them faces straight

2.0

east -- or excuse me, straight south.

And looking at those pictures, if you go back to the one on the top right, to the left of that, and to the right of the trees on the back of that house, on the east side is a wetland and an area that collects water that empties out into the Walled Lake.

And that's one of the major reasons we want this variance because we need to put screens on this deck. We are worried about the bugs.

I mean, I mentioned the Zika virus in the application, but it's really all types of bugs. That's a wetland back there and not buildable. So there is nothing behind us really except on that lower picture where you see the house to the left us.

This is standing where you would be underneath the addition. It's the first picture on the top left, where you see the deck over there. That's looking straight south, and then if you turn around, the

Page 76 1 picture on the top right is looking to the 2 north, and then if you turn around and face 3 the east, then that trampoline wasn't there, 4 that would be the straight east. 5 Again, shows a view from the 6 ground -- from the basement floor. 7 So other than that, I have 8 got one correction in the application 9 somewhere in there, I referred I think it's 10 to No. 4, where I referred to a 25-foot 11 setback. I believe the setback is 35 feet. 12 So we are taking up 11.425 feet of that setback if this is approved. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Do you 15 have anything else? 16 MR. COLTHURST: No, ma'am. 17 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Do you 18 have the picture of the plans, the actual 19 plans of the house? 2.0 MR. COLTHURST: I have two sets, 21 I have the survey or the drawing. Want to do 22 the drawing first? 23 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Drawing

Page 77 1 would be great. That's perfect. There you 2 go, okay. 3 MR. COLTHURST: On the left, 4 that's facing -- well, it's east to west. 5 the garage here in the front, that's on the 6 Walled Lake side, which is to the east -- to 7 the west, and then that addition on the back 8 right is the east. 9 That's the addition, that 25, 10 20 feet. Then I believe, even though it 11 doesn't affect the variance, request, you see 12 that, it's like a walkway that goes right 13 here, that's not going to be there. 14 They're not going to build --15 this part right here. 16 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 17 Clearly there is no one in the audience to 18 give any testimony on the matter of this 19 case. 2.0 So we will turn it over to 21 the building department. Did do have 22 anything to add? 23 Nothing to add. MR. BUTLER:

Page 78 1 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Thank 2 Is there any correspondence? MR. MONTVILLE: There were 35 3 4 letters mailed out, two letters returned, two 5 approvals. First is from Joseph Debrincat at 6 1339 East Lake Drive. He notes his approval and that he will -- his view will not be 7 8 hindered or restricted in any way. 9 And the second is from Cicely 10 Thustail (phonetic) at 1317 East Lake Drive. 11 She notes her approval. And she notes she 12 lives adjacent to the house, and the 13 structure would not hinder anyone's views of 14 the neighborhood. 15 MR. COLTHURST: You should have 16 one more. 17 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Carol, 18 you have the other two letters. Should we 19 add those onto our -- for the record. 2.0 MR. MONTVILLE: First additional 21 approval is from Josh and Erin Robinson at 22 42755 Morlan Creek Court, they note their 23 approval.

Page 79 1 And the second additional one 2 is from Brent Westbrook and he notes he has no issues with the addition of the deck. 3 In 4 his view, the lake experience will not be 5 effected. CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 6 Thank 7 you. Board members? Member Sanghvi. 8 MR. SANGHVI: Thank you. I came 9 and visited your property four or five days 10 ago I think, last week sometime, looked at 11 it. Most of the houses over there are so small, they always need a variance to do 12 13 anything to it. You are no exception and I 14 have no problem. Thank you. 15 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Board members? Member Montville. 16 17 MR. MONTVILLE: I would just note 18 to the unique nature of the wetlands behind 19 the residence. If they were to do anything 2.0 outside of the property, which most residents 21 have that right, it's certainly a hinderance to them. 22

Then also the -- which has

also been noted, a lot of correspondence, this isn't going to affect any of the surrounding properties, so I would be in favor as well.

CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: All right. I would entertain a motion, if there is no further discussion.

MR. MONTVILLE: I move that we grant the variance being requested in Case No. PZ16-0060, sought by Audrey and Gordy Wilson, as the petitioner has shown a practical difficulty requiring a reduced rear-yard setback.

Without this variance the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented and limited use of their property. Again, due to the unique nature of the wetlands and the bug features that accompanies it.

This property is unique due to the wetlands in the back as well. The petitioner did not create that condition, and its relief, when granted, will not unreasonably interfere with any adjacent or

2.0

Page 81 1 surrounding properties as noted by several of 2 the surrounding neighbors, noting their approval and their belief, and their view is 3 4 not being affected and negative to their 5 property. The relief is consistent with 6 7 the spirit and intent of the ordinance. For 8 those reasons, I move that we grant the 9 variance as requested. 10 MR. SANGHVI: Second. 11 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: It's been 12 moved and second. Any further discussion? 13 Seeing none, Carol, would you 14 please call the roll. 15 MS. CHAPUT: Member Byrwa? MR. BYRWA: Yes. 16 17 MS. CHAPUT: Member Sanghvi? 18 MR. SANGHVI: Yes. 19 MS. CHAPUT: Member Montville? 2.0 MR. MONTVILLE: Yes. 21 MS. CHAPUT: Member Peddiboyina? 22 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. 23 MS. CHAPUT: Chairperson

Page 82 1 Gronachan? 2 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Yes. 3 MS. CHAPUT: Motion passes five 4 to zero. 5 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: So your 6 variances have been granted. Congratulations. Good luck on your 7 8 construction. 9 MR. COLTHURST: I wanted to bring 10 up today on something. About a year ago, I 11 was in here we got a variance to build a ramp 12 to that six foot first floor, I guess you would call it, six foot high. And since then 13 14 we have used that ramp, it's problem free, 15 rather than having a lift that I always had 16 to worry about the mechanical part. Plus the 17 ramp, I made it so when we leave we can take 18 it with us. It's not attached to the 19 structure, other than at the top, so thank 2.0 you. 21 CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: Pleased 22 to hear a success story. So good luck and 23 Merry Christmas and Happy New Year.

1 Is there anything further to 2 discuss this evening from anyone? Member 3 Peddiboyina. 4 MR. PEDDIBOYINA: Everybody Merry 5 Christmas and Happy New Years. Next meeting 6 I won't be here. CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: 7 Safe 8 travel and enjoy your holiday. I would like 9 to take a moment. 10 Sadly, we don't have Member 11 Krieger here this evening. She is dealing 12 with some personal things. She's probably 13 keeping an eye on the meeting, because I hear about it. 14 15 I wish her and her family a 16 speedy recovery. And I wish all of you a 17 very Merry Christmas and very Happy New Year. 18 I cannot thank the city and

I cannot thank the city and the city employees enough, along with the city attorney for their hard work and their ability to answer our questions and assist us so we can do this on a monthly basis.

So the very best to

19

2.0

21

12/13/2016

	Page 84
1	everybody. And would entertain a motion to
2	adjourn this meeting.
3	MR. SANGHVI: So moved.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRONACHAN: All those
5	in favor.
6	THE BOARD: Aye.
7	(The meeting was adjourned at 8:19 p.m.)
8	** **
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

Page 85 1 2 STATE OF MICHIGAN 3) SS. 4 COUNTY OF OAKLAND 5 I, Jennifer L. Wall, Notary Public within and for the 6 County of Oakland, State of Michigan, do hereby certify that the 7 meeting was taken before me in the above entitled matter at the 8 aforementioned time and place; that the meeting was 9 stenographically recorded and afterward transcribed by computer under my personal supervision, and that the said meeting is a 10 11 full, true and correct transcript. 12 I further certify that I am not connected by blood or marriage with any of the parties or their attorneys, and that I 13 14 am not an employee of either of them, nor financially interested 15 in the action. 16 IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand at the 17 City of Walled Lake, County of Oakland, State of Michigan. 18 19 1-18-17 20 Jennifer L. Wall CSR-4183 21 Oakland County, Michigan My Commission Expires 11/12/22 22 23