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CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Okay. Welcome to Novi Zoning Board of Appeals. Today is Tuesday. The date is November 10th, 2020. The time is 7:00 p.m.

Katherine, can you please call for the roll call, please.
(No audio response.)
MEMBER SANGHVI: I don't think she heard you.
MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. I was just replying to
another E-mail from an applicant.
Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Here.
MS. OPPERMAN: (Audio dropped) -- Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Here.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Longo will be absent,
excused. Member Sanker will be absent excused.
Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Here.

MS. OPPERMAN: (Audio dropped) -- Verma?
(No audio response.)
MS. OPPERMAN: Is Member Verma present?
(No audio response.)
MS. OPPERMAN: I've got that he's logged in. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: He's logged in?

MS. OPPERMAN: I see his name on the screen but it doesn't look like -- (audio dropped) -- video is up.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Verma, are you there?
(No audio response.)
MEMBER SANGHVI: I don't see him.
MR. BUTLER: It looks like he's still trying to connect.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Katherine, do you have enough quorum apart from Mr. -- Member Verma?

MS. OPPERMAN: We do, yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. Thank you so much. Thank you for taking care.

All right. We have a board and enough for a quorum. Public hearing format rules and conduct: As usual, we don't have a Pledge of Allegiance due to the

COVID and Zoom calls. And if you can have your phones to be turned on vibrate mode so there's no noise.

We have a public hearing. When each case is called you can make remarks on, you know. Or you can see on television at home and people can come and see. There's no podium. You're only here on the Zoom call. There is an overhead used to be, but now it is all a Zoom call. And at home as well.

When the people come up onto the podium -- or not the podium. On Zoom, your name should be clearly mentioned for the recording secretary for record purposes, very clearly. And if you're not an attorney, you have to be sworn by the secretary.

And the addenda, we have an agenda tonight. We have almost 10 cases tonight. We have cases because of last month we don't have a meeting due to some reasons. Other than that, today we have 10 cases.

And let's go to the April of previous month agenda. And is there anything to change or anything to be added? Anybody wants to say anything to make a motion for that?

MEMBER SANGHVI: I make a motion to approve the agenda as presented.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Seconded.
And okay, any changes?
Nothing is there. Okay. Approved.
And say "aye" all in favor. If anybody wants to say none, say "no."

All in favor, "Aye"?
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. And we have approval of the previous month agenda and approved anonymously.

And public remarks, anyone have anything apart from the agenda today, other than the cases? And, you know, for the $Z B A$ you can raise your hand on the Zoom call and our secretary can see you, you know, if anything is missing in the agenda for tonight. We cannot add or remove once we start the agenda.

Anybody saying anything, Katherine? Are you watching anybody raise their hand on the Zoom call?

MEMBER SANGHVI: I don't see.
MS. OPPERMAN: No. No one has raised their hand.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And also public or

And apart for that and I'll move for today's first case.

Katherine, can $I$ move for the first case? Any other things?

MEMBER SANGHVI: I think what about the minutes?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I'm sorry, Member Mav?

MEMBER SANGHVI: I think we have minutes of August to be approved.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. We approved and you first and the second was Linda, the member. MEMBER SANGHVI: Well, I just wanted to make
a comment about correction on August minutes. On page 16, line 20 it says, "Sanghvi" but it should read "Sanker." There's the correction. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Make a correction. And anybody wants to -- any objection on the correction?
(No response.)
MEMBER SANGHVI: Nope.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Seeing none.
Anybody wants to on our previous agenda meeting I don't want to go back again. Please, all the members say aye or say no, whatever you want and we'll move to the second time the motion on this.

MEMBER KRIEGER: For the minutes?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, ma'am. MEMBER KRIEGER: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Everybody?
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. And moving to the first case for tonight. PZ20-0039 -- (interposing audio.)

I'm sorry, can you mute yourself, please.
I'll go further back. PZ20-0039, Brian

Gabel, 1250 East Lake Drive, west of Novi Road and south of Fourteen Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-02-151-016. The applicant is requesting variance from the City of Novi zoning ordinance Section 3.1.5 and Section 3.6.2.m for a two-foot front yard setback, 30 feet required, variance of 28 feet; a three feet rear yard setback, 35 and 25 feet required, variance of 32 and 22 feet; a side yard setback of 1.67 feet, 10 feet required, variance of 8.33 feet; and an aggregate total side yard setback of 6.4 feet, 25 feet required, required variance of 18.66 feet; and a proposed lot coverage of 34 percent, 25 percent required, variance of nine percent.

These variances accommodate building a new home addition and deck. This property is zoned single family residential, R-4.

Is the applicant present?
MR. GABEL: Yes, I am.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: If you can say your first and last name and your address for our court record for the secretary and say clearly.

And secretary can you take it on this one, please, Katherine?

MS. OPPERMAN: Could you spell your name aloud for our court reporter, please.

MR. GABEL: Sure. I'm Brian Gabel. My last name is G-a-b-e-l and I live at 1250 East Lake Drive in Novi.

MS. OPPERMAN: Do you swear to tell the -(audio dropped) -- case before you?

MR. GABEL: You cut out a little bit. If it was to tell the truth, yes, I do.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Brian. Anybody apart from you? Anybody wants to speak on this case today or are you the only one representing this case?

MR. GABEL: My wife, Nicki, is here as well and our architect, Gary Kwapis is online as well.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you ask them to talk and they can, you know, be sworn for the court record for our secretary? Whoever was talking.

MS. OPPERMAN: Will either of them be planning on speaking on the case, Mr. Gabel?

MR. GABEL: Questions for Gary, if you have them. So, he's on.

MS. OPPERMAN: In that case, Gary, could you
please spell your name for our reporter and also to tell - (audio dropped).

MR. KWAPIS: Yes, it's --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Katherine, I think it looks like your voice is cutting down somehow. MS. OPPERMAN: I will see what I can do about that. But in the meantime, if you could, Mr. Kwapis -- (audio dropped).

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, thank you.
MR. GABEL: Did you want me to spell my name?
MS. OPPERMAN: Yes, please.
MR. GABEL: It's on the screen right now, G-a-r-y, K-w-a-p-i-s.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Very good. So can you proceed, Joe?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, Katherine is there?

MS. OPPERMAN: I'm here.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, sounds good. Please go ahead and present your case. What you want from us and how we can help. And state clearly and you can proceed, please.

MR. GABEL: Okay. Thank you. First off,
thank you guys very much for taking the time to consider our request for dimensional variances. Our primary reason for this home addition and renovation is to create space for our family. Our young daughters share a room and we're planning for them to each have a room as they get older. An added bedroom will allow us to have bedrooms for each child and an office that will get full-time use now and after the pandemic.

Currently our tiny kitchen does not fit two people comfortably. Our current garage is from the 1930s. And since the floor is breaking up over an underground well room, it is not possible to drive vehicles in.

For the new design, the addition that Gary Kwapis has designed fits in well with the existing neighborhood, which is a mix of many styles and sizes. This is the fourth house that Gary has designed on the lake and all fit in beautifully and add value to the neighborhood compared with the 20 plus homes on the lake side of East Lake Drive in this area.

The size of our house after addition would be by square footage significantly smaller than the average home built or added onto in the last 20 years.

We've provided the plans to all our neighbors adjacent to our property as well as others and have discussed it with many of them. The feedback we've received thus far has been very positive while we've answered a few questions and provided a few clarifications.

Why are we requesting dimensional variances? Lots with Walled Lake frontage are very small relative to today's standards, particularly along East Lake Drive. Per the Michigan DNR, Walled Lake has the highest density of lakefront homes in southeast Michigan. All properties on the water along this stretch of East Lake Drive would require or have required variances to change the footprint at all since they were established long before the setback requirements.

Our lot's triangular-shape creates insurmountable challenges to siting a home within required setbacks. We are asking for variances front and back, side yard lot coverage and for the expanded deck.

As you can see from our survey, our buildable area is within setbacks -- or within the setbacks is a small triangle mostly within our current house without
addition. In other words, you could not build our existing house today without all the same variances we are asking for. Only the front yard setback is further encroached by the proposed addition. With what's proposed, the garaged on the north side of the property will be two feet closer to the road than today at 22 and a half feet. However, there are no homes to the north side on the lake side of the road north of us.

To the south, five garages along this side of the road sit within one feet of what is being proposed and further down the road garages sit as close as twelve and a half feet to the road.

In closing, we believe, and hope you'll agree, that the plans as drawn improve the property significantly from the current home, adding both aesthetic and real value to the neighborhood and that the dimensional variances requested are reasonable and necessary given the dimensional hardship that our lake lot possesses. And I thank you very much for your time tonight.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Brian. Is there anyone who would like to talk on this case, Brian? Are you done with this today?

MR. GABEL: I'm done. We have pictures, if that helps. I know you guys have the survey and the designs, but if you want to see any existing pictures we can pull those up as well.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, If you can. If you can share your video.
(Photographs displayed.)
MR. GABEL: Sure. I guess I can quickly tell you what you're looking at here. Letter A there is the back yard from the lake, from our dock. Letter B is the existing garage that was built in 1930. Letter C is a view looking from our garage southward along East Lake Drive where you can see the other garages, which would be in line or as close to the road as ours would be. Then, finally, letter $D$ that picture is -- looking to the north you can see there are no houses on that lake side. All the houses are on the other side of the street.

And then just real quickly, E, F and G all show an area where our expanded deck would be. And what that goes over, if you look at $G$, that's the existing field stone wall that was built almost a hundred years ago and all's we're asking for the deck
addition, is to go up from there. We're not getting any closer to the water. We're just expanding the existing decks that there to go above that existing stone foundation below there that is a patio currently.

And that's all I have.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Brian. I appreciate you showing us your pictures and thank you so much. And is there anyone else?

Seeing none. Then we can move to audience. Is there anyone to speak regarding on this case on the audience, please?
(Photos removed.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Katherine, are you there? I mean, can you watch? Is anybody raising hand for talking from the audience side?

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. There's no one raising their hand at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. I appreciate it.

All right. Seeing none. From the City, Larry, are you there?

MR. BUTLER: The only comment $I$ have is this hardship showing the limit of the dimensions of the
lot, he's well within those limits and the setbacks are definitely worth noting due to the fact that he's trying to pretty much match what's existing and to approve so he has the room for it.

And just to let you know that we also considered the deck, since he's building it at the same time he's building the new home, it's part of the new home addition. So he did not require a separate variance for that.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Larry.

And correspondence, our acting secretary, Katherine, can you go ahead and put the correspondence, please.

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes, of course. There were 26 letters sent -- (audio dropped) that were returned. We received four approvals. First one is from Jamie and Erica -- (audio dropped).
(Pause for court reporter clarification.)
MS. OPPERMAN: Two letters returned and four approvals and zero objections, but I'll summarize the approvals right now. We have an approval from Groves
family.
There's also an approval from -- (audio dropped). He has no objections on the request. He thinks the plan will complement the neighborhood.
(Pause for court reporter clarification.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much, the applicant, Brian. You know, a nice presentation. And you showed the discredities (ph) and also the pictures, you took the pictures and you mention, you know, very nicely.

And all the members and applicants, I really apologize for this Zoom call up and down due to the network issues. Please, please bear with us.

Apart from that, you know, Brian coming back to your case. Yeah, you did an excellent with the difficulty what you have. And also you showed the pictures, a couple of them. And some of them, due to the Zoom call, some of them might be, you know, tiny pitchers looks like, but you did a good, excellent homework. I appreciate for that.

And from my side, okay. I'll open to my board and see how they can respond.

And, board members, it's open for you and you
can talk.
Okay. Mav, go ahead, please.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I came and visited this property almost four weeks ago now and I was again there last week just to double check. And every house in that area has been built on such a small lot they all require all kind of variances if they want to make any improvements in those homes, and I have noticed this for over the last 20 years and this house is no exception.

My only comment was there are a couple of trees in the front of the house and I don't know what they're planning to do with them, if I remember correctly. And beyond that, I have no difficulty in supporting their application for renovating this home and making it habitable for the whole family. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav. I appreciate, you know, the COVID situation. Also, physically you are going to the location and I really appreciate on that.

Okay. And anybody in the board member,
please, you can raise your hand by, you know, and Katherine can see, the secretary.

MEMBER SANGHVI: If nobody has any comment, Mr. Chair, may I make a motion?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No. Before going to motion, anybody wants to say, then we can move from there, Member Mav. Give me one -- few minutes.

Any other member can speak, please?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'll just say that, yes, I support too. I went by and, obviously, it's a challenging lot and to make it livable, I think this is a good solution.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, thank you. Thank you so much, Member Thompson.

Any other members would like to speak on this case, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yup. I also agree that the presentation was excellent and just regarding the water table. Since water rises and falls naturally, even with everything. We're regarding the back step -- or the back lot yard setback from the ground versus the water, is that something we have to mention in the motion?

MEMBER SANGHVI: I don't know. Is that a question for the city attorney?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Krieger, you're asking the question for the city attorney?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. For the attorney, if we have to mention that in the --

MS. SAARELA: So the question was where to measure the setback from and I sent around some correspondence because it's not really defined in the zoning ordinance, specifically. So what you have to sort of look back on is how historically it's been interpreted and what would make it, you know, as far as an interpretation of where to measure a setback on a waterfront lot, how it would make sense.

So yes, you should mention prior to making the motion for approval where you are measuring the setback from, whether it's from the platted line, from the plat or from the water. From the water -- like existing water table.

So what is suggested is that in order to be consistent with how all ordinances are measured -- or how all variances are measured on waterfront properties is to interpret it to measure the setback from the
platted lake line since that doesn't change throughout the year. You want to be able to measure from a consistent location.

So that was the recommendation that we sent around for discussions. So you would want to consider that issue ahead of time. Where does it make sense to master the setback from on the water side, make that determination and then approve the variance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Beth. I appreciate your time.

MS. SAARELA: You're welcome.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other member who would like to speak on this case, please?

Seeing, none. Okay, let's move. Member Mav, you can go ahead and make a motion.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair, I would like to make a motion --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: -- that we grant the variances in the case number PZ20-0039 requested by Brian Gabel of 1250 East Lake Drive in Novi, Michigan, parcel number 50-22-02-151-016. Because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty in his presentation
requiring variances for the improvement in his home and, as requested, setbacks as mentioned in the request by the applicant.

And just to enumerate them again, I would like to mention that the applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance, Section 3.1.5 for a two-foot front yard setback, 30 feet required, a variance of three feet. A three-foot rear yard setback, 35 feet required. And a variance of a side yard setback of 1.67 feet, 10 feet required; and aggregate total side yard setback of 6.4 feet, 20 feet required and variance of 18.66; and a proposed lot coverage of 34 percent, variance of nine percent required.

These variances will accommodate the building of this new home and its additions. And this property is zoned single family in the residential area. This has been a nonconforming lot and home even before we began, and it will be continuing as a nonconforming property. But because of the size of the lot, which is very small -- and most of the lots in this neighborhood are very small, no changes or alterations or improvement can be made without all these variances.

This condition is not self-created and the relief granted will not interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties. Because, on the contrary, it will enhance the ambience of this neighborhood and area. And this relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav. I appreciate it.

And anybody want to make a -- I'm sorry. A second?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I'll second that if I can make an amendment to it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Thank you, Member Krieger. Go ahead.

MEMBER KRIEGER: The three-foot rear yard setback is from the property, not the water length distance on the -- for the property. That the house is nonconforming because it was built in the 1930s and that -- and topography as well.

MS. SAARELA: Can I just suggest that we measure it from the platted lot line?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. Platted lot line. I'm sorry.


MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Verma?
(No response.)
MEMBER SANGHVI: Is he there?
MEMBER KRIEGER: He was.
MEMBER SANGHVI: He was there a minute ago.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Verma? Are you there?

MS. OPPERMAN: I'll just put absent for vote for Member Verma. But in -- (audio dropped) -- the votes will pass for that member.

MEMBER KRIEGER: All right, cool.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Katherine. I appreciate your time.

Anybody have any other questions?
Seeing none. Okay. And go ahead and say
"Aye," everybody.

MEMBER MAV: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Seeing none. Thank
you.
And move to the -- congratulations,
Mr. Brian, enjoy your home.
MR. GABEL: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Congratulations.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Going to today's next case, PZ20-0040, Cedar Works 50760 Applebrooke Drive, east of Napier Road and north of Eight Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-31-253-001.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the Novi Zoning Ordinance, Section 3.1.1 for a proposed rear yard setback of 45 feet, 50 feet required by code, a variance of five feet. This variance would accommodate the building of a three seasons' room. This property is zoned residential acreage, R-A.

Is the applicant is present, please?
(No response.)
Is the applicant is present?
MR. MULVIHILL: Yes, I'm here.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.

MR. MULVIHILL: Thank you for taking the time to consider our requested variance. What we are -- my name is Thomas --

MS. OPPERMAN: Sir?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. One second, please.

MS. OPPERMAN: I'll have to ask if you swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case before you?

MR. MULVIHILL: I do.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Sounds good.
Thank you, Katherine.
Please proceed.
MR. MULVIHILL: Sure. Yes. My name is
Thomas Mulvihill and I'm the property owner. What we are trying to do is put a 12 -foot screened-in porch off of our dining area of our house. And due to the irregular shape of our lot, which is a long pie-shaped lot, we can't get this porch put on unless we get this five foot variance.

A couple of the reasons behind the screened-in porch is that our property backs up to the ITC power lines. So we don't have any backyard neighbor. Our backyard backs up to the ITC power
lines. With all the wetlands vegetation there, we get a lot of bugs and other pests in our yard. So in order for us to enjoy our yard, we need a screened-in porch instead of an open porch.

Additionally, the City of Novi bike and walking path also runs right along that lot line. It's within a few feet of this lot line that we are requesting the setback on. And with all the people that ride bikes and walk the lot, we want this screened-in porch to have some privacy when we're sitting out in our backyard.

So we have requested with our association approval for this variance, the setback. Our association has approved it. We talked to our immediate neighbors about what we're doing and they do not have any problem with what we're doing either. So we're hopeful that the board would approve this five-foot variance so we can go ahead and construct this porch on the back of our house.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thomas?
Your name is Thomas?
MR. MULVIHILL: Yes. Tom is fine.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. I'm sorry.

Thank you. And anybody would like to speak on this case on behalf of you or you're the only one person, please, for tonight?

MR. MULVIHILL: I am the only person.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Would you like to talk any other thing for today and before, you know, moving to the next step? Anything you want to add? Anything you want to show? Any presentations you would like to share?

MR. MULVIHILL: No. I think the board has the drawing, but it's just a very simple, screened-in porch that we're putting on the back of our house extending out 12-feet from the house.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Good.
Okay. Anybody in the audience to speak on this case tonight?

Katherine, Are you able to see anybody is raising their hand on the audience side?

MS. OPPERMAN: There's no one raising their hand at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Katherine.

All right. And let's move to the City.

Larry, are you there?
MR. BUTLER: Yes, I'm here and no comments from the City. Standing by for questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.
Our correspondence, acting secretary,
Katherine, can you move for this?
MS. OPPERMAN: Of course. There were 18 letters sent, one returned and -- (audio dropped) -four approvals. One approval from David Barack (ph) and one from David -- (audio dropped).

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much, Katherine. Putting on to the board. Before that, Thomas, yeah, I see that you mentioned you have water there, you know. And let me put it to my board members and they speak out and I can talk about that case.

And I'm open for the board members to speak on this case. Anybody would like to speak on this?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, Member Mav. Go ahead, please.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and visited your property, sir, and I agree with whatever
you have said. You have prepared a nice application. You have talked to your neighbors and I also realize your difficulty because of the neighborhood and the wetlands and everything else. So I have no difficulty supporting your variance request. It is one of the most minimal requests we have had for a long time and I wholeheartedly support your application. Thank you. MR. MULVIHILL: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav.

And any other board member would like to speak?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Looks like seeing none.

Okay. Mr. Thompson, are you there to make a motion?

Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: I am here. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please go ahead.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Well, this is on number 40.
I was ...
MS. OPPERMAN: I believe, number three.
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MEMBER SANGHVI: Linda?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Where is this case number? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: The second one. PZ20 ...

MEMBER KRIEGER: All right. PZ20-0040, for 50760 Applebrooke Drive, I move to approve their request for the variance for the rear setback of 45 feet, 50 required. Because a variance of five feet that the -- to build this three season room. That without the grant the petitioner will not be -- will have difficulty enjoying his backyard and will be unreasonably prevented and limited with respect because of the wetlands, the mosquitos, the bugs, the ITC, as he mentioned, and also the trail.

It's unique because of its location in a cul-de-sac. The petitioner did not create the condition because of its location.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties. It's going to be for the back yard of the petitioner
and is a reasonable, minimum request and will allow them to enjoy their backyard, which is also with the spirit and intent of the ordinance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Member Krieger.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav seconding.

And okay, Katherine, roll call, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: (No audio response.)
MS. OPPERMAN: You'll need to unmute
yourself. I can see you're mouthing "yes", though.
MEMBER VERMA: (Waves.)
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations.
Anybody would like to say anything on this?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Enjoy your three seasons
room.
MR. MULVIHILL: All right. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations.
And moving to today's third case, PZ20-0041, Compo Builders, Inc. 22652 Montebello Court, west of Novi Road and north of Nine Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-27-453-021. The applicant is requesting the variance from the Novi Zoning Ordinance section 4.19.1.E.i for a proposed 1,002 square foot garage, 850 square feet permitted by code, a variance of 152 square feet.

The variance would accommodate the building the garage for a proposed new residential home. This property is zoned single family residential, R-3.

Is the applicant present, please?
MR. COMPO: I am.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, good. You
can spell your first and last name for our court secretary and our secretary, Katherine, can take it. MR. COMPO: David Compo president of Compo Builders. And, yes, I promise to tell the truth, so help me God.

MEMBER SANGHVI: He's a professional. MR. COMPO: Not my first meeting. MEMBER SANGHVI: Oh, really. Very good. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Katherine, are you there?

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, gOod.
Okay. Thank you. Please proceed what you would like to talk on this, you know, your case, and where we can help you and you can move from there. MR. COMPO: Thank you, sir. This is a custom home design by Compo Builders and our architect, T.K. Design and Architecture building an approximately 3,200 square foot ranch, fully custom home in the Montebello development on one of the largest lots that they have. It's on the end of the far cul-de-sac backing up to a very large open space area.

The owner specifically designed the home with a garage that will accommodate his larger vehicles. He is -- he has a couple of pickup trucks that are the supercab or extended cab pickups and one reason he's moving is because he wanted a garage large enough to get his vehicles in without having to park them on the street or in the driveway.

In this particular lot, we weren't familiar with the 850 foot requirement on this. I believe it's R-4, not $R-3$, into Montebello since this is our first one in that development. So we found out we were 152 square feet over.

He absolutely wanted to move forward.
Otherwise, the home doesn't do him a lot of good without being able to park his vehicle. I know in this particular development this home had already been approved through the developer which is Mirage Development as drawn with this larger garage.

It doesn't come close to covering the 25 percent lot coverage with the garage. And with the shape of the home and the garage, which has its own offsets, it's well integrated into the home. You should have photographs and renderings showing exactly
that. It doesn't look like it's extra-large in anyway. As this is a ranch, this coordinates totally with the home and other garages that were over the 850 foot threshold. They have already also been approved in the Montebello community.

So other than that, I'm happy to answer any further questions that the board may have.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Mr. David. I appreciate it. Any other member would like to speak on this case apart from you today?

MR. COMPO: No one else besides myself would be speaking. The owner is online with me if you have questions for him, but $I$ think $I$ have spoken for him in the regard of what we're trying and have been designing for him.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Sounds good. Thank you so much. And let's move to the, you know, City.

Larry, are you there?
MR. BUTLER: I'm here. There are no comments from the City at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Larry. Correspondence, Katherine. Can you go ahead
for the correspondence?
MS. OPPERMAN: For this case there were 22
letters sent, seven returned and one approval from a Mr. Stan Wilkin.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Katherine.
Okay. And thank you so much, David, you know. You know, you showed what you want and let me put it to my board members and let them speak on this case. And I'm open for the board. You can speak on this.

MEMBER SANGHVI: May I comment?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, Member Mav, go ahead, please.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I went and visited this property a few days ago and it's a very, very good size with a huge lot and a relatively large home. It is at the far end of the cul-de-sac and it's a pie-shaped lot and I can understand their need for a larger garage than specified by the ordinance. But the situation is such that $I$ don't think it will cause any problem for anybody and I have no difficulty in supporting their application. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav.

Any other board member would like to speak on this, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes, I will. I was by there as well. It is a huge lot and I think the scale is very good. So I think I support this as well.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Member Montague.

Any other board members, please?
Seeing none. Okay. Mr. Member Mav can you make a motion, please?

MEMBER SANGHVI: I would be delighted, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, sir.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I move that we grant the variance in the case PZ20-0041, by Compo Builders at 22652 Montebello Court in Novi, Michigan. Parcel number 50-22-27-453-021. The petitioner is requesting a variance for proposed 1,002 square foot garage, which is a 152 square feet variance larger than allowed by the ordinance.

Looking at the shape of the lot there, which
is a relatively very large lot, and also the size of the home, this is not too large size of the garage for this particular situation. This is a new development and it will not effect any of the neighbors by having the larger size of the garage.

And I must mention that this is really a pie-shaped lot in a cul-de-sac. So this condition of this lot is not self-created and the relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with any adjacent or surrounding properties and the relief is consistent with the spirit and also the intent of the ordinance.

Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank you, Member Mav.

And thank you, Katherine. I'm sorry. Linda.
Okay. And motion was seconded. Please call roll call, Katherine.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Anybody
wants to say anything apart from this?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Congratulations.
MR. COMPO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations.
Okay. Moving to the fourth case tonight. PZ20-0042 Living \& Learning Enrichment Center, 801 Griswold, east of Novi Road and south of Eight Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-35-351-002. The applicant is requesting a variance from the Novi Zoning Ordinance, Section 3.6.2.B for a proposed front yard parking setback of 16 feet, 75 required by code, a variance of 59 feet.

Variances are also requested from section 4.19.1 to add an alpaca, A-l-p-a-c-a, S-h-e-d.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Alpaca.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Alpaca shed. 196
square feet which will result in a total of 6,592 square feet; and 8 accessory structures on the site, 2,500 square feet permitted by code, a variance of 4,092 square feet; and two accessory structures permitted by code, a variance of six structures.

The existing accessory structures contain 6,400 square feet in seven structures. These variances would accommodate repurposing the existing buildings and a grounds for use as a nonprofit, educational enrichment center for teens and young adults with autism.

Per section 4.19.K, the applicant also seeks ZBA review and approval of the use of the proposed shed to shelter alpacas. This property is zoned residential acreage $R-A$.

Is the applicant present, please?
MS. STEIN: Yes, I'm here.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, thank you.
Okay. Go ahead and spell your first and last for our court record for our secretary.

Katherine, can you take this one, please.

for taking the time to hear our request this evening. I'm Denise Stein. I'm the COO of Living \& Learning Enrichment Center. We serve kids and adults who have autism and related challenges and we recently purchased the property at Eight Mile and Griswold. It was the Don Massey Estate and have approval to use it for our educational purposes.

I'll let Bob give the detail. But, basically, our requests this evening are to allow us to have ample parking for everyone to gain access to the property and also to meet the parking requirement.

It's been a bit of a challenge repurposing this residential site for business purposes and we appreciate your consideration this evening.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MR. EMERINE: This is Bob. I'm going to go ahead and share my screen so you can see the site plan. Is that okay?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. But are you presenting this case or just sharing the screen?

MR. EMERINE: I'm going to show the screen and I'm going to talk about the three variances we're requesting.

MEMBER KRIEGER: He already did.
MS. OPPERMAN: He's already done that for us, Chairperson.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Okay. Go ahead, sir, please.

MR. EMERINE: All right. Can you see my screen here.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Not yet. It's loading.
(Document displayed.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yup.
MR. EMERINE: Okay. Great. So we have three variance requests. I'll go through them one by one. The first is the parking setbacks. Here's Griswold Road right here. This is the city of Novi to the north of it and to the south is Northville Township. Okay?

On this plan there's going to be a proposed 30 foot right-of-way that I'm showing right here. And then we show the 75 foot building set- -- building and parking setback right here, and it comes across back up
like this.
So you can see pretty much all the parking in the front here is going to be required to be in the front setback. The existing main residence at the front of the property, which is located right here, will be the center of operations for the Living \& Learning Enrichment campus. The existing one-way driveway -- which is right here. It's an existing paver brick driveway.

In front of the existing residence will be the main entrance for the Living \& Learning Center client dropoff and will provide 17 parking spaces in the front right here.

Additionally, there will be nine parking spaces for staff, which are located over here, on the west side of the existing main residence and are accessed off of Baseline Road.

Given the sloping topography of this site and the existing layout of the site, the parking in the front of the existing main residence is really the best location for the required parking on this site.

One thing I do want to note here is even though, you know, this site is 14 acres, again, this
is, you know, a site for children with autism and whatnot. Since this is the main entrance here, it would be very difficult to put parking behind the building or off to the side over here.

You can see there's about a 10 to 12 foot drop from the road right here. The site slopes down about 10 to 12 feet. This really just makes the most sense to put the parking in the front here.

The next one is the -- for the accessory building. This is the alpaca shed right here. You can see it's only 14 foot by 14 foot. That makes this 192 square feet. 192 square feet.

There are currently eight other -- seven other accessory buildings on the site for the existing Massey Estate. There's a tool barn up here over by Eight Mile Road, an existing carriage house, a shed, an existing greenhouse. Over here there's an existing well house and existing guest house and an existing garage. So you can see this old, historic estate has a whole bunch of existing buildings already and we're requesting a small 192 square foot alpaca shed that will be used -- and maybe Denise can talk about that a little bit, what the purpose of the alpaca shed is for
the business -- for the company.
But we are adding -- so that's the additional shed there. It's only 192 additional square feet. I think the code requires -- let me get that right.

I think the code allows 1,500 square feet for accessory buildings. Here's the total square footage. I mean, right now we're at 6,400 existing square feet. We're asking for another 192 square feet over the full approximately 14 and a half acres on the site.

So those are our three requests.
Denise, do you want to talk real quick about the alpaca shed and what the purpose is for your business?

MS. STEIN: Sure. Sure. I would love to.
So as you can see on the property we have lavender fields and we're going to use the other buildings for various educational purposes with our clients. The alpaca farm, in particular, is something about which we're very excited to provide job training for our clients. They will help us to care for the alpaca. They will learn to shear the alpaca and weave the fiber into luxurious yarn which happens to be in very high demand and also very highly profitable.

They'll help to clean the alpaca yard. And these will all be paid positions and/or job training opportunities for people who are on the autism spectrum and have other challenges. And just to note, regarding the need for this, there are -- the unemployment rate in people with special needs is over 90 percent and we look forward to providing many, many jobs in the city of Novi for people who are on the spectrum as well as our other staff, our growing staff, as we move into this new property.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Denise. Anything you want to add, you know, Bob or Denise?

MR. EMERINE: No. I think that's it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Sounds good.
Okay. Yeah, you showed the presentation and you're requesting the three variances and also your presentation is good. Let me put it on to the board and let's see what is going to happen before them.

City, any comments on this case, please?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the City at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I'm sorry. Before that, any other audience would like to speak on this
case?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Seeing none.
MS. OPPERMAN: There is no audience members raising their hand.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. I appreciate it, Katherine.

City, Larry, please go ahead. Would you like to talk any other thing on this case, Larry?

MR. BUTLER: No. No problem. No comments at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Larry.
Correspondence, our acting secretary,
Katherine. Please go ahead.
MS. OPPERMAN: There were 72 letters sent for this case -- (audio dropped) -- were returned and we received one approval from a Eddie Mullin who thinks it will be a -- (audio dropped.)
(Pause for court reporter clarification.)
MS. OPPERMAN: Three returned letters.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Three returned.
Thank you, Katherine. You know, it's difficult today's network, Katherine.

Anyhow, let's move to the ...

Yeah, Denise and Bob, you showed your presentation and what you're bringing to the Novi City for the business and also you showed all the good points. And let me put it on to the board and what they decide. And I'm open to the board members to speak on this case.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, Member Mav. Go ahead, please.

MEMBER MAV: Thank you. I came and visited this property. First of all, I was quite surprised to find it south of Eight Mile Road, but then I realized the original Baseline Road is still south of this property. That's why it happens to be in the city of Novi rather than in the Northville Township.

I want to welcome you and your business in the city of Novi because this is a real great need. I was trained pediatric orthopaedic surgeon and took care of a lot of people with your -- what you are dealing with now and I really appreciate because we need something like this in the city. So welcome to the city.

MS. STEIN: Thank you.

MEMBER SANGHVI: As far as the issue on hand, I have really no problem. You explained to me why you have got alpaca. I was wondering why do you need alpaca in a learning center, but now I understand why you need it. So I have no further questions and I wholeheartedly support your project. Thank you.

MS. STEIN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr. Mav.
I appreciate for your obvious, you know, going in physically in this COVID time. Also visiting all the places. Not only this time, but always go.

Any other board member would like to speak, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Two questions.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Member Krieger, go ahead.

MEMBER KRIEGER: There won't be anybody there at nighttime? It's a daytime class learning for children with autism?

MS. STEIN: Correct. We will not have any clients living on-site. We do have -- Rachelle Vartanian, our CEO and founder, lives in the guest house. And we do plan to have a social worker living
on-site at all times to support the programming and also clients in the surrounding area. But no clients will live on-site.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And also for the alpaca, how many do you anticipate being there and would somebody -- is the person that's teaching the children would also be caring for them?

MS. STEIN: Yes. We've hired a farmer. Her name is Mary Goodman and she used to work for -- is it Mayberry?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
MS. STEIN: So Rachelle has been a special needs teacher for a long time and she's taken groups to Mayberry when Mary worked there. So Mary, our farmer, will care for the alpaca and she'll also participate in the programming along with trained staff members, behavioral technicians with clients when they're working directly with the animals.

MEMBE KRIEGER: And how many do you anticipate having there?

MS. STEIN: Two. We've had the good fortune to have someone donate two Peruvian alpaca which are the finest fur in the world.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Oh, yeah, the wool, yes.
So if we were walking by or riding by, we could stop and they would be out there eating grass or something?

MS. STEIN: Absolutely. And it's kind of why we chose the location on the property that's up close to Griswold Road. There's a beautiful bridge on the property so that will be one border of the alpaca area and then the gate -- or the fence along Griswold. So you'll be able to see them as you drive by and certainly you're welcome to come in and visit.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Oh, thank you very much.
Okay. I would be able to support your request.

MS. STEIN: Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thanks, Joe.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Krieger.

And any other board member would like to speak, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yeah, I would.
MEMBER VERMA: Mr. Chair, this is Ramesh
Verma.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Ramesh, go ahead.
MEMBER VERMA: I heard that there will be more employment in this area. How many people will you be hiring there?

MS. STEIN: You know, we are actually working on our long-term strategic plans and projection right now. But I would, if I just had to guess off the top of my head, I would say within the next twelve months we will likely employ an additional 20 or so full and part-time people. But in the long term with the various rotating shifts and the various microbusinesses we'll have on the property, I would imagine dozens of new employees. Again, we haven't completed our projections, but I think I can safely say we would employ at least a hundred more people full and part-time.

MEMBER VERMA: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Verma.

Any other board member would like to speak, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I would. I just want to say that, yeah, the site is set up such that I don't
think the parking being in front will be a real disruption. I know it's needed for the population there. And I'd like to commend you. This is an admirable use of the property. I support you a hundred percent.

MS. STEIN: Thank you so much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, member
Montague. Thank you. I have no objection. And any other board member would like to speak or we would close and move to the motion.

Before going to the motion, I have no objection and $I$ know this is more important to the city. Giving them an opportunity for the employment. That's very important for the city and anybody at this time. So many are unemployed. And let us see how it goes.

Member Krieger, can you make a motion, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yup. For case number PZ20-0042 sought by the applicant Living \& learning Enrichment Center, I move to approve the request. The petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because of the
area of the previous ownership of the Masseys that is fenced in. Having the parking, the topography of the land that limits it. So to the best of their ability and to minimize the changes to the area to provide its use, that they'd be able to provide the alpaca shed with two alpaca and able to care for those animals there. That the square footage for the accessory structures are permitted by code.

The existing parking, their request for a proposed front yard parking setback of 16 feet, 75 required by code, a variance of 59 feet explained by the petitioner's engineer. That the variances requested, the 14 by 14 foot alpaca shed or 196 square feet, which would result in eight accessory structures on the site for what they're anticipating using them for that it'll be a -- it's unique. It'll be a daytime use for children with autism and that it's fenced in so that will help provide their safety.

That the petitioner didn't create the condition because it was a previous estate with the topography as it is. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because it's a minimum request and continues
the use of the property as closely as is, which will not create interference. And it's consistent with the spirit and intent of our ordinance.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Member Krieger and thank you, Member Mav.

And okay. Katherine, can you roll call, please?

MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Motion passes.
Thank you. And anybody want to say anything?

Okay. Seeing none.
Congratulations, Denise and Bob. Welcome to the Novi city.

MS. STEIN: Thank you very much.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you, too.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Let's move to the fifth case today. PZ20-0043 Compo Builders, Inc. 25556 Danyas Way, east of Taft Road and south of 11 Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-22-100-031. The applicant is requesting the variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance, Section 4.19.1.E.i for a proposed 1,400 square foot garage, 850 square feet permitted by code, a variance of 550 square feet. This variance would accommodate the building the garage for a proposed new residential home. This property is zoned single family residential, R-4.

Is the applicant present, please?
MR. COMPO: I am.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, you're back again.

MR. COMPO: Again, David Compo.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Go ahead and spell
your first and last name for our court record.

And our secretary, Katherine, can take that one, please.

MR. COMPO: David, D-a-v-i-d, Compos, C-o-m-p-o-s. President of Compo Builders. And, again, I'm still under oath and promise to tell the truth, so help me, God.

In regard to this parcel, similar to the last parcel, this one is even more unique in the fact that this is the large portion of the parcel left remaining from the Pulte development. It is just south of Eleven Mile, east off of Taft Road. It's disconnected from the Pulte sub which is why they could not utilize it by a large wetland.

The parcel itself, it is not part of the other community in Danyas Way nor part of the Pulte. It's a standalone acreage parcel. It is over three acres. The owner purchased it because they wanted to do a large custom home, building a large family. And, again, not aware that this was even part of the community in R-4. Matter of fact, the Pulte lots and the other lots on Danyas Way are an average between a quarter and a third of an acre. So substantially less by like one twelfth to one tenth the size of this
particular parcel.
The home we're building there is going to be in the 5,000 square foot range plus a finished lower level basement. With over six bedrooms potential for seven.

Again, for a large family, obviously, they prefer to have more parking. In this case the home was designed as it has been submitted with two, two-car garages both at 700 feet. However, one of the garages has a stairway which allows fire egress from the lower level, the finished lower level, to be able to get out an additional path for this large home into the garage.

So that stairway in this garage takes up a -coming up through the entire garage takes up a substantial part of the garage itself.

Other than that, again, based on the parcel size, the home size definitely would be fitting similar to my Casa Loma development where I have up to six-car garages there. I know it's $R-1$, that being the difference.

Again, I guess, if this would have been looked at in another direction, it would probably be removed from the R-4 district because it has nothing in
correlation to the adjoining communities with regard to their lot sizes. It's not part of those communities, part of their association or otherwise.

So with that, I'm happy to take any further questions. I know the owner is also online. He can comment if you have questions, but otherwise, I believe I have spoken for him in all regards of what he is trying to get accomplished with the home that we have designed and submitted.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you,
David. Would you like to speak any other thing or would you like to move?

Any other things you want to add?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. From the audience. Is there anybody from the audience who would like to speak on this case, please?

Katherine, are you able to see any?
MS. OPPERMAN: There are no audience members.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
From the City, Larry?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the City at this time. Standing by for questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Larry.

And the correspondence, Secretary Katherine, go ahead and do correspondence.

MS. OPPERMAN: There were 52 letters mailed and -- (audio dropped) -- letter returned. No approvals -- (audio dropped.)

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Katherine.
Yeah, I see, you know, the difficulties with what you mentioned. And let me put it on to this board and what they have to say.

And it's open for the board members who would like to speak on this case, please.

Mr. Mav, Member Mav, go ahead, please, sir.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I came and visited this site again and it's an enormous property as compared to everything in the neighborhood around there and I can see the need for a larger garage for this size of the house with so many bedrooms. It's got almost three houses put together. So I can see that they need a larger area for garages and I have no problem supporting their application.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member

Mav.
Any other board member who would like to speak, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Can you reconfirm where it is? Because I went up Danyas Way. So it's the -- when you go north, it's on the left side and it's being built?

MR. COMPO: No. Actually, the one -- if you go Danyas Way and it dead ends.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MR. COMPO: The one on the left actually
backs up into the Pulte sub. That little corner --
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah.
MR. COMPO: -- in fact, it's now framed.
That is the last Pulte home that happens to be off of Danyas Way and is part of the Pulte Community.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
MR. COMPO: This lot is across the street from that. This is directly across the street and goes back way back into the woods. In fact, you can't even see the edges of this property.

So, again, it's across the street and it takes up the whole back of the Pulte land, the original
land that was one parcel and Pulte, again, could not utilize it because, basically, it was bisected by this wetland which left this over three-acre parcel remaining that could not be spanned with a rotor (ph) street.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. So there's going to be a driveway that goes down to the house and then it backs up to the sub to the east and then it's surrounded by that wetland?

MR. COMPO: Correct.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
Yeah, then, yes. It blends together so I would be able to support it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Krieger.

Any other board member would like to speak on this case, please?

Seeing none, Linda, Member Krieger, can you make a motion, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Mike was going to it, wasn't he? But I could.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, please. You. MEMBER KRIEGER: For case number PZ20-0043
for the house 25556 Danyas Way I move to approve the request from the applicant from Zoning Ordinance 4.19.1.E.i for a proposed 1,400 square foot garage, 850 square feet permitted, a variance request of 550, but it blends with the house. It's a ranch, as he's explained, and would accommodate the building of the garage for the proposed new residential home. That it's on R-4. It's an acreage.

The petitioner has shown practical difficulty because of the topography location and the surrounding wetlands. He would unreasonably be able to use it otherwise. It's unique because of all that especially with the location. It did not create the condition because the wetlands have been there and the home would be accommodating and able to fit with this area.

The relief granted will not interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because of its blend with the area and is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Krieger.

And somebody can make a second, please. MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.


MR. COMPO: Have a great evening. MEMBER KRIEGER: Yup. All the best. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Moving to the next and our sixth case tonight. PZ20-0044 M.J. Whelan Construction, 1523 West Lake Drive, west of West Park Drive and south of West Pontiac Trail, parcel number 50-22-03-131-003. The applicant is requesting a variance from the Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.5 for a proposed 18.67 foot front yard setback, 30 feet required variance of 11.33 feet; a side yard setback of six feet, 10 feet required variance of four feet and a aggregate total side yard setback of 12 feet, 25 required variance of 13 feet; and a proposed lot coverage of 39.6 percent, 25 percent is required, a variance of 14.6 percent.

These variances would accommodate the building of a garage addition. This property is zoned single family residential, $\mathrm{R}-4$. Is the applicant present, please? (No response.)

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Hello? Is the applicant present, please?

MS. OPPERMAN: I see Mr. Whelan on the video.

It looks like it may be frozen.
MR. WEINGER: I'm the homeowner and Matt Whelan is my architect and builder. He's definitely on. He called me beforehand. I'm not sure if he's having a technical difficulty or not.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Do you want to go ahead?
MR. WEINGER: Sure. Yeah.
MEMBER KRIEGER: All right. Joe?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Before, go ahead and please state your first and last name for the court record for the purposes of our secretary.

Katherine, can you take it, please?
MR. WEINGER: Sure, Justin Weinger. Last name is spelled $W-e-i-n-g-e-r$.

MS. OPPERMAN: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. WEINGER: Yes, ma'am.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. You may proceed.

MR. WEINGER: Sure. So, apologies, but Mr. Whelan can definitely explain the variance details better than $I$ can. I will say this: That the side yard setback variance of six feet is only to maintain
the new portion of our home in front to keep it flush with our current house. The original homeowner 22 years ago was already approved for this six-foot setback. So I'm just looking to keep the sides of the house uniform moving forward, not six feet closer than it is currently, if that's clear.

Forgive me. I'm not good at this sort of thing.

Ultimately, you know, when my wife and I moved in here seven years ago, it was just the two of us and we've had three children in the past three and a half years. I have a three-year-old, a two-year-old and a two-month-old. You know, a three bedroom, two bathroom house worked with us and even one child, a little cramped with two children and now the number of children outweighs the number of rooms we have. So I have a two-month-old in our room with us.

We plan on being here the rest of our lives ultimately. So, I mean, this is a deciding factor as to be able to make our home larger to accommodate our family forever, you know. And that's ultimately what we're trying to accomplish with this.

And also to add that, on both sides of me,
both neighbors currently have a similar setback variance with both of their garages.

You know, we are doing more to our home. It's not just a garage, but the garage is being added on to the front of the home to make it longer and closer to the street, but similar to both sides.

And, most recently, our one neighbor that I spoke on their behalf in February to my south side had a similar one that was in front of you all back in February. So I understand a little bit of this process from before.

But I guess I wanted to add that and maybe note that initially they were confused and had sent in an objection and then rescinded their objection. I know we get to that eventually, but they are in favor of it now as as well as a couple of other neighbors on our street as well. So I don't think anything that I know of is opposed to it. And that's my entire spiel.

Thank you. I appreciate you listening.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. Would you like to add any additional on this before moving?

Anything you want to add?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. That's good. And let's move to the audience. Anybody in the audience would like to speak on this case, please?

Katherine, anybody is raising their hand?
MS. OPPERMAN: No. There are no audience members raising their hand at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Katherine.

And, City, Larry?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the City at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Larry. I appreciate.

And the correspondence, Secretary Katherine, please. Any correspondence, please?

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. There were 33 letters sent for this case. No returns. And there were five approvals. As the homeowner mentioned, there was originally one objection which will be seen online, but the -- (audio dropped) -- and then rescinded that objection. They are now among the approvals.
(Court reporter clarification.)

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. I know, it's very difficult to put out on the typing. I agree. I can see how. And let us see if she can share the document for you.

Correspondence is done. Thank you so much, Katherine.

And let's move to the board. Anybody who would like to speak on this case, please?

MEMBER VERMA: Mr. Chair, I have one question, Ramesh Verma.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Member Verma please go ahead.

MEMBER VERMA: Okay. I'm looking into the floor plan, the first floor plan. Next to the new garage there is a flex room. What is that flex room?

MR. WEINGER: Forgive me. And Matt could have spoken much better to this. The flex room, I believe what it is in the plans -- and I guess I'm going to have it right in front of me because I didn't expect to speak to this part.

But it was an extra living space. Essentially a play room for my children. That was it.

MEMBER VERMA: I see. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member
Verma.

Mr. Mav, Member Mav, please go ahead.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I came and visited your property yesterday, actually, and saw around and I am very happy that you have an expanding family in this day of contracting families. I agree you need a bigger place.

All these lots are postage-stamped size lots all over there all around the lake and you can't do anything without requiring some kind of variances. We have noted this. At least $I$ have personally noted this for over 20 years. So I have no difficulty in supporting your request. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav.

Any other board member would like to speak on this case, please?

Seeing none. Okay. Let me talk. I appreciate my fellow member, Mav said, you know, the family difficulty. And it's sitting also on the lakefront. And let me put on this motion.

And, Member Thompson, can you make a motion on this one, please?

MEMBER THOMPSON: I can. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ20-0044, sought by M.J. Whelan Construction for the granting of a dimensional variance because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty getting that.

Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because it is a narrow-sized, postage stamp lot. The property is unique because it already did carry a previous setback from the old owner. The petitioner did not create the condition as the house was built awhile ago.

And I do believe that the relief is consistent with the spirit and the intent of the ordinance because it does match a lot of the neighbors' homes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Thompson.

And would anybody like to say second?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Member Mav,
thank you.
And Katherine, can you roll call, pleases?
MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Congratulations.

MR. WEINGER: Thank you, everyone, very much.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. Enjoy your addition. MR. WEINGER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And let's move to the case number seven tonight. PZ20-0048, Yen Cheng
and Yang Wang, 1585 Paramount Street, east of East Lake Drive and north of 13 Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-02-379-015. The applicant is requesting variance from the Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.6.C for a proposed 11-foot exterior side yard setback; 30 feet required, variance of 19 feet.

This variance would accommodate the building of a new residential home. This property is zoned single family residential, R-4.

Is the applicant present?
MR. WANG: Yeah. Yes. First thank you very much for -- can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. How are you?
MR. WANG: Can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, yeah. Before you start, my secretary will take your first and last name.

MR. WANG: Oh, okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Kathy, please go ahead and --

MR. WANG: I am the home ...
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Are you there,
Katherine?

MS. OPPERMAN: Go ahead and spell your name, please, sir.

MR. WANG: Oh, hi. This is Yang Wang and also my wife Yen Cheng sits besides me. We are the new homeowner --

MS. OPPERMAN: I'll need you to spell the names aloud, please.

MR. WANG: Oh, okay. My name $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{g}$ and the last name W-a-n-g.

MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you.
MR. WANG: Okay. First, thank you very much for accepting our request.

MS. OPPERMAN: My apologies. I'll have to interrupt you just one more time. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case before you?

MR. WANG: Yeah, sure. Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you. You can go ahead.
MR. WANG: Yeah. We bought the new lot in July. And this is totally a custom built home and it's about 3,300 square feet. When we bought the lot, the lot is kind of a -- it's narrow but quite deep. On the north side we saw just a woodland. That's about 50 feet wide. You know, it's a regular lot or maybe a
city-owned area. We didn't realize, you know, that's maybe treated as a street. That's why we just designed the home based on a regular, you know, to meet the lot. That's why the 10 feet setback, we base on that.

Also because of the sides of the house, we need a kind of a space, you know, across the lot. Another reason we like to go to the north side, you know, a little bit because we can have a view to the Walled Lake. You know, to view it. It's very beautiful.

The last roof (ph) straight, he's a good friend of ours. So that's two primary reasons because we thought that's just woodlands. It's not a street.

Secondly, we'd like to share that space, you know, for a better view of the lake. That's the two primary reason. Also, the neighbor in the now north -we only have one lot in the north. At the current location at least 90 feet away from our house. So really, it doesn't interrupt anybody at all.

After, we get the permit. So then the City says, "No, no, you can't have too close. That's maybe a street. You have to be 30 feet setback." So then at that time we, realize, you know, that may be a future
street. But since we also talked with, you know, City staff.

The north side is one neighbor. South side is our lot. The east is a subdivision. They have their access is through the east side. Really there is really no need to build a road at all. You know, there are already two lots. Their access along Paramount Street.
(Pause for court reporter clarification.)
MR. CHENG: No. We also talked with the City staff for the long-term, you know, perspective. So there is no need for the City to build a road on the north side. Because we only have two houses need the access, but both houses got access from the Paramount Street. From the west side there is a street there. That's why, you know, for long-term perspective, I don't see any reason there is a road there.

I also talked to the neighbor in the north. Since previous owner built a basement and had to tap our sewage pipe, you know, through that lot about 30 feet away from our border. So I already talked to the neighbor. The neighbor really welcomed us and hopefully we will build a, you know, beautiful, custom
house and raise the value for the surrounding neighbors.

And also, the front and the rear neighbor also far away. At least 1900 feet away. So that's why I don't think that we will interrupt and bother any neighbors at all. Hopefully, we can realize our American dream and build a new home there.

That's all.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anybody who would like to add any other thing?

Yen? You want to talk anything, Yen?
Okay. Nothing, I guess.
Let me go to this, now. Okay. Anyone in the audience who would like to speak on this case?

Katherine, do you see anybody in the audience?

MS. OPPERMAN: There are no audience members raising their hands at this time.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Thank you so much, Katherine.

And City, Larry, would you like to comment on this?

MR. BUTLER: No comment at this time, thank
you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. Secretary, Katherine, any correspondence on this case, please?

MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. There were 65 letters sent. One letter returned. And there was one letter that doesn't quite note an approval or objection. It seems that they have concerns, though, from a Daniel and Kristen Curmi. Their letter reads they live at 42762 Wimbleton Way located directly east of the property. They're concerned about the positioning of the house. Reducing the distance eliminates their privacy, which would be protected by the ordinance. They note that the house would be removing a number of trees as approved by Planning Commission. They're hoping that maybe those trees that are replaced could be used as privacy. And that they purchased the home in part because they were wanting to be protected by said ordinance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Katherine. And also I want to appreciate you. Your network is good now.

MS. OPPERMAN: Okay.
 They own it.

MR. CHENG: Yes. Same property.
MEMBER VERMA: Same? The road will be maintained by you, then?

MR. CHENG: Yes.
MEMBER VERMA: So you'll being taking care of
lot number 88 and lot number 85?
MR. CHENG: Sure, yeah.
MEMBER VERMA: Okay. So this is your
responsibility to maintain the road?
MR. CHENG: Yeah. That's my property. I already bought those.

MEMBER SANGHVI: It is his property.
MEMBER VERMA: Okay. The lot 87 is also your property, huh?

MR. CHENG: Yes.
MEMBER VERMA: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Verma.

Any other board members, please?
Okay. Then seeing none, Member Thompson, can you make a motion, please?

MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. I would move that we grant the variance in case number PZ20-0048 sought by

Yen Cheng and Yang Wang. Without the variance the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to use of their property because of the dimensional variance.

The property is unique -- to me it sounds like the property is unique because they did not know that the side of it was not -- where you could be able to put a road.

The petitioner did not create that because that was owned -- the other land was owned by the City of Novi. And the relief was not granted -- if the relief -- the relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because there is not one, if they just position the house over to the other side.

On the last one, I don't know how to answer, "The relief is consistent with the spirit or intent of the ordinance" because all the other ones have been matching neighbors and this one is not. So I don't know what to do about that.

But that would still be my motion, if that makes sense.

MR. CHENG: That's really, those don't bother
anybody because on the north side, the City own the lot. That's just woodland. Nobody there. And the City will not build any new roads.

Because there are only two houses right on the north, right on the south. We all got access through the Paramount Road. That's an empty lot sitting there. Just a woodland. There is no street at all.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Beth, can you help us with this? Is it a regulated woodland or something that all the trees are there and he's putting in a driveway or something?

MS. SAARELA: What is going on is the City of Novi there are two platted roads that were vacated. So they're vacated platted roads that are adjacent. That's why there's no -- nothing constructed on them.

They're not going to be constructed roads, though, because they've been vacated by the City. They just show as vacated platted roads.

MEMBER KRIEGER: So he's making it his driveway?

MS. SAARELA: No. No.
MR. CHENG: No. The driveway is on my own
property. Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Mr. Cheng, please be online only. Our city attorney is speaking. Let her speak.

Please, go ahead, Beth.
MS. SAARELA: So, no. The driveway that is shown is on his own property. The setback is from the two vacated roads on the sides of the property on the -- if you look at the drawing, vacated drive 50 foot wide and vacated road 20 foot wide, those are on the side and to the back of the property. Those are just side lot lines where the structure will be set back from.

So when he talks about there being open land there, it's because there were platted roads there that will never be constructed because the City vacated the roads. So there won't be roads there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Beth.
Member Krieger, do you want to talk anything?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Then he owns all those lots and he's putting his driveway and its his and he's putting the house back. So you're saving the trees in the front of the house; is that right, Mr. Cheng?

MR. CHENG: Yeah. Also in the back, the grading is higher. Also we are saving also trees. MEMBER KRIEGER: So there's a difference between -- there's enough land between you and the neighbor in the back?

MR. CHENG: Yeah. Yeah. I'm 1900 feet away. Because in the back there are another wetland.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And then they've already talked about the -- I understand about the vacated land and it's your driveway. So it does meet the spirit and intent of the ordinance, Mike.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: You're good.
I'll second that motion.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Member
Thompson, you can continue. Member Thompson.
MEMBER KRIEGER: I'll second your motion, Mike, if you're done.

MEMBER THOMPSON: I am done.
MEMBER KRIEGER: All righty. There we go.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member
Mike and Member Krieger.
And, Katherine, can you roll call, please?

| Page 89 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MS. OPPERMAN: I certainly can. |  |  |
| Member Verma? |  |  |
| MEMBER VERMA: Yes, please. |  |  |
| MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson? |  |  |
| MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes. |  |  |
| MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi? |  |  |
| MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. |  |  |
| MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina? |  |  |
| CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. |  |  |
| MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague? |  |  |
| MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. |  |  |
| MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Krieger? |  |  |
| MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. |  |  |
| MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you. Motion passes. |  |  |
| CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Motion |  |  |
| passes and congratulations. Anybody would like to say anything? |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| None then, okay. |  |  |
| Mr. Cheng, congratulations. |  |  |
| And move to the next case. |  |  |
| MR. CHENG: Thank you very much. |  |  |
| MEMBER KRIEGER: Good luck. |  |  |
| CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: PZ20-0049, |  |  |

Integrated Sign/PetSuites, 47025 Grand River Avenue, East of Beck Road and south of Grand River Avenue, parcel number 50-22-16-151-013.
\{\{The applicant is requesting the variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance, Section 28-5(b) (2)A) for a proposed 50 square foot ground sign, based on the setback from the center line of Grand River Avenue. The sign is oversized by 18 square feet. The property is zoned light industrial, L-1.

Is the applicant present, please?
MR. ANDERKIN: Yes, I am.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Great.
Please go ahead and spell your first and last name for our secretary and for the court record.

And, Katherine, can you take this one, please.

MS. OPPERMAN: If you could, please, spell your name for our court reporter.

MR. ANDERKIN: Sure. A-a-r-o-n. Last name $A-n-d-e-r-k-i-n$.

MS. OPPERMAN: Do you do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case?

MR. ANDERKIN: I do.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. You can proceed. You can present your case what you would like to help on this case tonight.

MR. ANDERKIN: Sure. First of all, thank you all for your time and consideration tonight.

I am Aaron Anderkin representing Integrated Sign and Graphic and PetSuites, who is currently constructing a new business in Novi.

What we are requesting is what we feel like is a simple enlargement, a monument sign, by -- I think it's 18 square feet. Primarily, just to make sure that -- there's a berm on one side of the road there that does obstruct the visibility and, you know, we looked at just, you know, that entire road on Grand River Avenue and, you know, looked to see what we thought would be, you know, a sign that was -- that represented PetSuites the way it needed to just in the fact that it can be seen from vehicular traffic that's proportional to the lot and the size and the surrounding area, but also tasteful. And we felt like that at the 50 square feet that, that was a reasonable request.

And it would also, you know, significantly
help just at least identify that entrance in that business from the roadway.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anything you
would like to add?
MR. ANDERKIN: Let me see if I can share my screen here.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, please go ahead.
(Document displayed.)
MR. ANDERKIN: So this is just an overlay of what would have been, I guess, a sign that would have been in compliance. And that's the red lines that you see. And then, you know, the actual sign that you see laid there, that's what we are requesting.

As far as what that actually looks like in space, you can see it here. So we are dealing with, you know, PetSuites which it's new to Novi, but it's an established national brand. So their logo kind of is what it is and we wanted to make sure that at the 30 square feet, as you can see, as you can see here, it significantly reduces the size of the face and, you know, we felt like that the 18 square feet would definitely help actually just make it visible from the
roadway.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Any other thing you would like to add?

MR. ANDERKIN: No, sir.
(Document removed.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.
And any other audience like to speak on this case, please?

Katherine, is there anybody?
MS. OPPERMAN: There are no hands being raised at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much, Katherine.

And from the City, Larry, any comments?
MR. BUTLER: No comments at this time.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Larry.
And correspondence, secretary. Katherine, can you please tell me the correspondence, please.

MS. OPPERMAN: In this case there were 16 letters sent, one letter returned, no approvals, no objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Katherine.

And yeah, thank you for the nice presentation and, you know, for your business. And let me put on to this board and what the board members can say. And it's open for the board. You can speak on this case, please, tonight.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Mr. Member Mav, go ahead, sir.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I went and visited this site. And but for the bond (ph), they wouldn't need any special, large size or anything else. So because of this situation, which is not self-created, I'm quite willing to support this application. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav.

Any other board member would like to speak on this case, please?

MEMBER THOMPSON: I would just say I think this looks like it's going to be a first class facility and I don't think the sign is much more than the variance is asking for.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Thompson.

Any other board member would like to speak, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I suppose also for the speed of Grand River and the size, that if you're driving that it'd be very easy to miss it if it's not with this little bit of extra space to attract somebody's eye view to see what it is. And it's not going to be a changing sign. So that would help people get to their PetSuites.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Krieger.

And any other board member?
MEMBER SANGHVI: I just had one question, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please. Go ahead, Mr. Member Mav.

MEMBER SANGHVI: This is going to be a lit up sign and is it going to be -- light is going to be on all the time or is there specific times they're going to switch it on and off?

MR. ANDERKIN: Yes. It is an illuminated sign and PetSuites only illuminates it during open hours, which is 6:30 a.m. to eight o'clock p.m.

MEMBER MAV: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav.

Any other board member have any questions or they would like to speak on this case before closing to the motion.

Seeing none.
Okay, Member Montague. Please go ahead for the motion, sir.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ20-0049, sought by Integrated Sign and PetSuites for the proposed 50 square foot sign, a variance of 18 square feet. They have shown a practical difficulty requiring the larger sign. Without the variance, the scale of the site and the fast traffic would reduce the visibility of the sign.

The property is unique because it's located on Grand River, which is a fast moving street. The petitioner did not create it. The property location is there. The relief granted will not interfere with any properties around. It is consistent with the surrounding businesses, and the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because the
location with the passerbys going fast, if they slow down, they cause traffic problems by missing the site. So this visibility is an important issue for safety on Grand River.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Montague and Member Krieger.

And Katherine, can you please roll call?
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Does anybody have any questions?

Seeing none. And we can move to the next case.

Congratulations for your case, sir. Going for the case number nine, PZ20 -MR. CHENG: Thank you all for your time. MEMBER KRIEGER: Congratulations. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Case number nine tonight. PZ20-0050 Constantine George Pappas and Armenian Cultural Center, 41100 Twelve Mile Road, east of Meadowbrook Road and north of Twelve Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-12-351-053.

The applicant is requesting the ZBA specify a height of 62.83 feet for a proposed monument structure, as permitted under Section 3.32.3 of the Zoning Ordinance. The structure has received a special land use approval from the Planning Commission and is located greater than 63 feet from all property lines. This property is zoned residential acreage, R-A.

Is the applicant is present, sir?
MR. PAPPAS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Mr. Constantine Pappas with Evans Caruso. We're both the architects for the Armenian Community Center.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Before going to that, I would like to bring my secretary for both the first and last name.

Katherine, can you please take it?
MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. Can you please state each of your names once more and spell them for our court reporter.

MR. PAPPAS: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{Pappas}$, P-a-p-p-a-s.

MR. CARUSO: And Evans Caruso, E-v-a-n-s, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{o}$.

MS. OPPERMAN: And if both of you could please state your intentions -- (audio dropped).

MR. PAPPAS: You cut out. Excuse us.
MS. OPPERMAN: If you could both please affirm to tell the truth in the case before you.

MR. PAPPAS: I do.
MR. CARUSO: We do. I do.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, both of you. And, please, you can move what you want tonight and the way we can help you from the board and the City. And thank you on staying long. I know it's too long. Thank you so much. Please proceed, sir.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Tonight with us are the two co-chairs of
the Armenian Community Center, Mr. Raffi Ourlian, and Mr. Hague Oshigan, (ph) both chairmen of this building committee of the new Armenian Community Center.

MR. OURLIAN: I can spell my name.
MR. PAPPAS: Go ahead, Raffi.
MR. OURLIAN: My first name, R-a-f-f-i. Last name Ourlian, O-u-r-l-i-a-n.

MS. OPPERMAN: And do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case before you, sir?

MR. OURLIAN: Yes, I do.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, please go ahead and proceed.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you very much. This is part of the new Armenian Community Center, which is a religious and cultural facility. We obtained site plan approval about two years ago, three years ago. Most recently we came in for an amendment to the site plan which has been supported by the Planning Commission for the creation of a new monument.

The actual monument will be into the middle of the new facility. We are actually requesting
approximately 28 foot variance to the ordinance. The ordinance allows 35 feet into this district. All the buildings that we propose in the overall master plan are considerably less height than the 35 feet.

The facility has been designed such that the Armenian genocide memorial is positioned almost in the middle of the site relative to everything that you see from Twelve Mile Road.

And the actual memorial itself is relatively small. It's only six foot wide by eight foot wide, but it does go vertically and it does represent a little bit of the -- it depicts a little bit of the two Armenian communities actually coming together from Armenian-Lebanon area.

We propose also to have lighting that will light the actual memorial, but only in set times. We would like to propose from dusk to around 11:00. It can be on a timer and then from three to four special events throughout the year we may ask for it to be on a little bit longer than that.

The site is a very, very difficult site. It's approximately a little less than 20 acres. And it's -- it goes from Twelve Mile Road all the way to
the back, to the northern end, from anywhere between 40 to 45 feet in height. So there's tremendous amount of topography in a very, very difficult site in order to develop, but I think we've come up with a pretty dynamic plan working together with our engineers and coming up with a really, really nice master plan that tries to define and keep all the beautiful and natural characteristics of the site.

There's a small, little wetland area that is mainly a creek that's a little bit active into that area. We're creating this new reflecting pond in the very, very front. The actual monument itself will be sheathed in two materials. We're looking at that with a metal or portend metal on one side and the inside would be stainless steel.

There would be no lighting that would flow or, actually, $I$ would call, it pollute any of the joining members; that is, because of the nature who would be replacing the lighting which would be at the very, very bottom of the actual monument itself. All lighting would be literally squished together and going up through the vertical aspect. There's a small little slot at the very, very top.
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We've included a number of documents for all of the committee members and we're here to answer any questions that you may very, very well have of any of the documents that we've kind of put together.

MR. CARUSO: There's a couple of things that we'd like to add. The history and the religious and cultural aspect of this monument is very important to the Armenian community. The footage that is a 62.87 feet.

MR. PAPPAS: 83.
MR. CARUSO: 83. It comes from the
historical date of 1915 and that translates into feet and it was very important for this community as the beacon and the focus of the community. And also, in addition, as Gus had mentioned, we have worked to enhance the landscaping and around the site the visual aspects from the site looking in and looking out are very deep in trees and landscaping that help that surrounding area.

MR. PAPPAS: Now, part of the application, there was a very nice narrative put together by Mr. Oshigan and he explained a little bit of the history of the Armenian genocide, which started in 1915
and that's how we actually had come up with the overall height. We translated that from centimeters to actually feet and inches to come up with the requested variance of approximately 28 feet.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you like to add anything? Would you like to show any photograph or anything you want to share on the Zoom?

MR. PAPPAS: Actually, what happens is the documents that we actually submitted, I hope that all the committee members have those documents in front of you. They're quite a bit. I can tell you that its location, if you look on sheet 81, it is approximately almost 300 feet to the probably closest neighbor and then that would be to the immediate west. To the immediate east it's like 540 ...
(Document displayed.)
MEMBER KRIEGER: There we go.
MR. PAPPAS: Yeah. 540 feet which is right there. It's set back over 600 feet from the property line on Twelve Mile Road and a little over 600, 608 feet to the north property line. But also keep in mind those lots on the north end are really, really big lots and we probably think the homes at least back there
they could be at least in excess of 100 to 150 feet away from that property.

So, as you can see, we really have tried to position it away from everybody. The impact, as you see on sheet maybe -- let's go to the next one and we'll show you on this one.
(Document displayed.)
MR. PAPPAS: This is -- what we did is we created both of these images in the day, which is on the right-hand side, and at night and dusk to give you an idea of the overall impact. Daytime, as you can see, you know, you really can hardly, hardly see the monument at all because it's so small, so thin, so tall.

At dusk you see the upper left-hand corner. That's the only little area that you will see of lighting which is going through the actual memorial itself. It's not meant to be like a car dealership where you see the lights blasting all over the place. This is meant to be subtle, but yet very dynamic when you're into the overall memorial.

Now, when you're in the memorial, the memorial will have a lot of significance of walls that
will depict a little bit more of the history of what the memorial is about. We may very well even honor a lot of the families from the regions that were devastated by the actual genocide itself.

The whole idea is to try to create the overall spiritual memory that this memorial will create and keeping in mind that it's designed right into the middle of this overall future complex.

As you can see, the complex, Evan is showing how the complex, this would be the first phase of the complex which would be -- the first aspect a kind of like a fellowship hall slash religious center and then from that standpoint it would connect to a multi-purpose room which, essentially, has a series of meeting rooms, main entry lobby and drop off area and then the Armenian Community Center offices and more classrooms all along that area.

The second phase will include a future church, which will be located in the back area and a recreational area which would be located right in that general area. The building is set back quite a bit from Twelve Mile Road and the main reason being is that that is all a natural wetland area and we are trying to
create a really nice image from Twelve Mile Road. We've included some of the cross sections, as you see, that are taken from Twelve Mile there all the way to the very, very back of the property. There's where the monument is located. That's the north part of the property. And we've taken cross sections on either side so at least you can get an idea.

Keep in mind, the extensive landscaping that has been requested and required by part of your ordinance is going to tremendously block everything that you will see relative to the actual monument itself. Everything along the west side, there's a lot of natural trees along that side and we're going maintain as many trees as possible and then we're going to augment on both the east and west side additional landscape material in order to actually reinforce the visual aspects, you know, of the neighboring residential area.

So everybody knows, we had obtained approval a number of years back for this facility even before the construction had started in that subdivision to the west of us. There was one gentleman who came to the Planning Commission and we are going to meet with the

Loeb (ph) residents. He was even a little shocked that even this overall program was even approved, but we were actually before the Planning Commission, you know, way before that subdivision even started construction. But we have no problem, you know, sitting with the residents and explaining to them what our overall long range plans are. Primarily because we're going to be neighbors and, you know, we care about how they feel and we hope that the neighbors, you know, respect who we are as a religious and cultural community.

I think, Evans is right there --
MR. CARUSO: One thing to note, though, too, is a lot of these images are critical because these trees aren't even mature to its fullest. Okay. So as you see, you know, this is -- you can't even see the tower in this image. You see the beam of light. Again, you know, this is a far distance away.

But, again, on the other side of the lot, on the side lots here are not even mature. So the other thing that we did do is on the lighting aspect, we did generate some photometrics that have no zero ground light at all off of this tower -- or monument I should
say. I'm sorry.
The lighting is zero at ground. So as Gus said, there is no pollution of light that is shooting outside of this. It's a very narrow beam of light and narrow lit, as shown. And we can show you that, too. Let's go straight to the tower. At this point what we're showing here -- the green, basically, at 60 feet is at this point, the 62 feet. This beam of light stops at, basically, 160 -- 150. At 150 feet that beam of light stops.

MR. PAPPAS: But you also have to remember that the foot candle, once it pierces through the actual monument itself, tremendously drops off as far as the foot candles are concerned. So by the time you get to that level of 150 feet, you probably have, like, a less than a half foot candle at that particular point.

So, again, as you can start to see even in this image, this is a wonderful image in order to depict how we're trying to enclose the light, encapsulate the light, but only let very little amount of that light through at the very small peak at the very, very top.

MR. CARUSO: This tells you, too. See the hotspot where it's -- it's like Gus says, blocks it. Here's your blocking of it. And these are just kind of showing you the hotspots of the monument. But this was done also just to show the effects of no light pollution carrying over on to the site.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MR. PAPPAS: That's all we have as far as the presentation. We're here to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. And good presentation and $I$ know you guys are waiting a long time, more than two hours while we had the previous month's cases also as well as this month.

Anyhow, the presentation was good and you showed what your, you know, the relief is for all those things.

And let me see. Anybody in the audience would like to speak on this case, please? Is there anybody in the audience?

MS. OPPERMAN: There are no audience members raising their hand.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, sounds good.
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Thank you so much. And let's move to the City.
Larry, are you there?
MR. BUTLER: I am here. No comments at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Larry.
And correspondence, Secretary, any
correspondence for this case, please?
MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. For this case there were 47 letters sent. There were no letters returned, no approvals and no objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. I appreciate, Katherine.

And, yeah, you both, you know, what you guys had a good thing and you showed a good presentation. You know, the Armenian people, why don't have their museum and what they have existing. And let me work on this with my, you know, board members who want to speak on this case.

And I'm opening to the board. Please go ahead.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes, Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Mav, go ahead, sir.
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MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. First of all, I want to welcome the Armenian community to the city of Novi.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: This is a city of immigrants. And I think we all made a home here and we very sincerely welcome you to our city.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I looked at your plans and I've been looking forward to seeing this into oppression. Your height requirement is very similar to a spire for anyplace of worship whether it is a church or a temple and that is what $I$ like to consider it is as a spire and I have no difficulty granting your request.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member
Mav.
Any other board member who would like to speak on this case, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Two questions. For the spire, do you know? I don't know what the height requirement is for -- you have to put the little red
light on for passing planes.
MR. CARUSO: Um-hmm.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Does that come into play?
MR. CARUSO: It hasn't during the Planning
Commission or anything that we've talked to your engineering department about. So it hasn't come to our attention. So ...

MR. PAPPAS: And, actually, we were involved with the church up on $\mathrm{M}-5$ and 13 Mile Road where the big cross, Brightmoor, is at. And that cross is even taller than this structure.

MR. CARUSO: Right.
MR. PAPPAS: And that one does not have a red light from what $I$ can remember.

MR. CARUSO: No.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. And do you have what it would be like in a foggy night?

MR. CARUSO: So the foggy night, when it comes to the light, it's going to be a little more intense. But as we said, the photometric -- light just doesn't stop. Right?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah.
MR. CARUSO: It's going to get a little
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brighter with the fog. Okay? And a cloudy day. But what the photometric does, it shows you that -- it goes to that 100 feet going and it -- like Gus said, at one or two foot candles it's going to be very minimal, even higher than that.

MR. PAPPAS: And, actually, I don't think we've ever thought about how it's going to look in the fog, but it might look pretty neat.

MEMBER KRIEGER: I think it'll look neat, yeah. And I say the same thing as Mav said that I support your request and welcome.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Krieger.

And any other board member would like to speak on this case, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. I'd like to say that you guys did a very good job of respecting the neighborhood with the aiming of the lights and the landscaping. So I commend you on the design.

MR. CARUSO: Thank you very much.
MR. PAPPAS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member

Montague. Any other board member, please?
Okay. Seeing nobody. I would like to say my comments. I really appreciate you coming to -- you know, Armenian community coming to Novi city and welcome to you guys, and I wish you good luck.

And Member Montague, please go ahead and make a motion.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: All right. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ20-0050 sought by the Armenian Cultural Center for are a 62.83 foot monument structure, which is a 28 foot variance from where we are.

The practical difficulty was that they would be compromised with their representation of a culture if we did not allow this. Without the variance, they would lose that cultural significance. The property is unique because it is a large parcel and it's a special land use. So that gives us a chance to review in a different light.

They did not create the condition. It is a large site. It has a lot of topography. There's existing wetlands, et cetera.

The relief granted will not unreasonably
interfere with the adjacent properties. It's a large site so it gives very big oversized setback. As I said before, the lighting has been designed, I think, very well to not be disruptive. And they've done extensive landscaping, again, to make the property look good and enhance the views from around.

And I think the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it adds a beautiful addition to our community and it respects its neighbors.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Member Montague and Member Krieger.

MEMBER KRIEGER: I think Mav did it, but okay.

MEMBER SANGHVI: It's okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Sorry. Krieger.
Katherine, can you please roll call?
MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes, thank you.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
MR. PAPPAS: The Armenian Community Center thanks you very, very much.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Good luck.

Let's move to the final case tonight. I know all the board members and everybody is tired. It's almost more than two hours and 15 minutes. And today's final case.

PZ20-0051 Jovica Stosic, 23708 east LeBost Drive east of Meadowbrook Road and south of Ten Mile Road, parcel number 55-22-25-126-009. The applicant is requesting the variance from the Novi Zoning Ordinance, Section 3.1.5 for a proposed 27 foot front yard setback, 30 feet required, variance of three feet; and
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an aggregate total side yard setback of 20.5 feet, 25 feet required, variance of 4.5 feet.

The variance would accommodate building an attached garage to replace existing carport. This property is zoned single family residential, R-4.

The applicant is present, please?
MR. STOSIC: Yes. Hi, everybody.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Hi. Thank you.
And, you know, you can spell your first and last name for our court record for our secretary.

Katherine, can you please take it?
MR. STOSIC: My name Is Jovica Stosic, J-o-v-i-c-a, S-t-o-s-i-c.

MS. OPPERMAN: And do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case before you, sir?

MR. STOSIC: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Are you both
presenting or only one person is talking?
MR. DUKE: My is Trevor Duke. I'm a friend and I'll be working the computer and helping him present here and also discussing the matter.

MS. OPPERMAN: Could you, then, also please
spell your name aloud for our reporter.
MR. DUKE: My name is Trevor Duke,
 throughout this meeting.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Please go ahead and present your case. How can we help you tonight?

MR. DUKE: Great. I'm going to share our screen here and go through a few files we have.

The first is the drill map to give a simple explanation of what we're aiming to accomplish here and that's to build a garage here.

To look at what the property looked like prior, it did have a carport. The carport was unsightly. You could see items in there. Every other comparable home on LeBost Street has a garage and it really is -- there's fewer homes without a garage than there are with a garage here. So this is simply trying to get in line with the majority of other homes here.

The carport has been demolished. This red area is where the proposed garage would go. This would result in a variance both on the lot line to the side and on the front here.
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The front, $I$ think it asks for 25 -MR. STOSIC: 27.

MR. DUKE: It asks for 27. We are -- the existing house as is is nonconforming. The house is built that way. I do not have the date of when the house was built. We would be extending to be flush with the house there on the carport. So we are not encroaching any closer. Simply extending the existing home down to the south there.

That is the majority of what I'd like to show off here. We have discussed with the neighbors. We're eager to hear about the mailings that went out and came back. We do believe this adds value to the home, adds taxable value to the home. We also believe it is a beautification and that not only is it safer to store things in a garage than a carport, it's much more attractive.

And, again, some of these variances, in the existing condition the house was nonconforming both on the front and to the sides. We're simply trying to improve it within the footprint that we have.

Can we answer any questions?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. You want to
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add any other additional before we go to more other thing?

MR. DUKE: You know, I actually was just going to -- I just wanted to kind of show -- I have a perspective here that doesn't -- kind of identifies that we're not -- you know, we're not adding. We're not building out. It really is going to ...

MR. STOSIC: Attached.
MR. DUKE: It's just going to blend in with the existing house. The roof line is going to be pitched identically to the existing house. We want to be subtle and not -- try not to stick out here.

So that's -- yeah, that's all we have to discuss and we're happy to answer any questions and talk through it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much for the, you know, presentation.

Before moving to that, any other audience would like to speak on this case?

Katherine, is anybody raising their hand on this audience?

MS. OPPERMAN: There are no audience members raising their hand.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Katherine.

And the City, Larry?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the City.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. And, Katherine, any correspondence, please?

MS. OPPERMAN: There were 38 letters sent out for this. None returned. No approvals. No objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much, Katherine. I appreciate that.

And, yeah, nice presentation. And I know this house is not too far from my house. You guys showed, you know, what you want and I have a question before opening to my board. Do you guys plan on matching the same color brick and roof and all other thing?

MR. DUKE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And let's open to the board members and then let me take from there.

Board members, you can talk. Anybody would like to speak on this case?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, Mr. Mav, go ahead, sir, member.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I visited your property yesterday, actually, and looked around. And all you are doing is being very uniform with the rest of your neighbors. Your carport's not really very useful in the Michigan weather and so I'm glad you are making a garage and you can store things as well.

So I wholeheartedly support your application. Thank you.

MR. STOSIC: Thank you so much.
MR. DUKE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav.

And any other board member?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. It looks like nobody.

And, yeah, I have no objection. I wholeheartedly support your project. And you know since I don't know how long. Is this property going to have a garage in this winter? This cannot tell us, you
know. You guys came forward to, you know, change this house, you know, beautiful and our neighborhood also. And I really appreciate and I really support this case. Member Krieger, can you make a motion on this case, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yup. For case number PZ20-0051 for the applicant Jovica Stosic.

Did I say that right?
MR. STOSIC: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Cool.
I move to grant the request. The petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring the request that they have a nonconforming house on a lot where -and also a carport and they'd like to build a garage for a proposed 27 -foot yard setback, 30 feet required, a variance of just three feet; and an aggregate total side yard setback of 20.5 feet, 25 feet required, for a variance of 4.5. And these variances would accommodate the building the attached garage to replace the existing carport and that the attached garage will be matching with the house structure as well.

That without the variance, the petitioner will unreasonably be prevented or limited with respect
to the use of the property because, of course, of the Michigan winters. It gives you more room. It protects the property better and is more conforming with the subdivision. The property is unique because of its nonconformity and amongst the other neighboring houses.

The petitioner did not create the condition because the carport came with the house when he purchased it. And the relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because he'll stay on the footprint for the attached garage and that would improve the sale values of homes as well. And it's consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Krieger and Member Mav.

And, Katherine, can you please call roll call, please.

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you. Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Congratulations. Motion passes.
Anybody have any questions on this? Seeing
none --
MEMBER KRIEGER: You have a question?
MR. DUKE: We have one final question on
this. When reviewing documents for the City, I believe this variance is good for -- I don't know if it's 120 or how -- 90 days.

MS. OPPERMAN: The variance is good for one year from when it's made. As long as you have the permit approved in that time, then you should be fine to go ahead with it.

MR. DUKE: Great. If it was going to be 90
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days I was worried about winter, but one year is sufficient. Thank you.

MR. STOSIC: Perfect. Thank you so much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Good luck.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Congratulations.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Congratulations.
Apart from other matters, Katherine, I think approval of 2021 calendar. That's the only thing is there.

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. So I believe I sent you out a copy of the calendar. I think we can just do a simple approval. If anyone had any objections on anything, they can state it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. I approve, myself.

Any other board members?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Well, I make a motion to approve the calendar dates as prescribed by Kathy in our communication.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member

Mav and Member Krieger. Thank you so much.
Anybody have any other questions on this calendar for 2021?

And motion is passed anonymously and seeing none. Today is a long day. More than two and half hours.

MEMBER KRIEGER: 9:26. 27.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And is there a
motion to adjourn? Anybody say --
MEMBER KRIEGER: Motion to adjourn.
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All say "Aye." The board said "Aye."

The motion is done. And good night.
(At 9:27 p.m., matter concluded.)
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