# CITY OF NOVI CITY COUNCIL AUGUST 8, 2022



**SUBJECT:** Approval of the request from Amar Saraiya at 2245 Old Novi Road (parcel no. 50-22-11-105-012) for a variance from the Design and Construction Standards in Section 11-256 (b) to dismiss the sidewalk requirement along Old Novi Road, and pay into the City Sidewalk Fund.

**SUBMITTING DEPARTMENT:** Department of Public Works, Engineering Division

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

A property owner is building a new home at 2245 Old Novi Road (parcel no. 50-22-11-105-012). Section 11-256 (b) of the Code of Ordinances, outlines the requirement to construct a sidewalk along the Old Novi Road side of the property. The ordinance states that the property owner may request an administrative variance from this requirement. The petitioner is requesting this variance due to a lack of sidewalk south of the parcel for greater than 300-feet and difficulty in constructing the sidewalk due to the location of power poles. There is no existing sidewalk on the south property (owned by the City), so the property owner shall pay \$4,087.14 into the City Sidewalk Fund in lieu of constructing the sidewalk.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION:** Approval of the request from Amar Saraiya for a variance from the Design Construction Standards in Section 11-256 (b) to dismiss the sidewalk requirement along Old Novi Road.



lap Author: Tia Jokic /ersion #:

Amended By: Date: Department:

#### MAP INTERPRETATION NOTICE

#### Map Legend

- Tax Parcels Freeway
- Major Streets
- **Minor Streets**

Lot Lines





**City of Novi** Engineering Division rtment of Public Wo 26300 Lee BeGole Drive Novi, MI 48375 cityofnovi.org

1 inch = 46 feet





#### CITY OF NOVI Engineering Department

#### DCS VARIANCE DISTRIBUTION MEMORANDUM

To: Charles Boulard, Community Development Ben Croy, PE; Department of Public Works

From: Rebecca Runkel, Department of Public Works

**Date:** July 20, 2022

Re:Variance from Design & Construction Standards2245 Old Novi Road Sidewalk Variance Request

Attached is a request for a Variance from the Design and Construction Standards. Please review for a future City Council Agenda. In accordance with Section 11-10 of the Ordinance, the following three conditions *must be met* for a variance to be granted by Council:

- 1) A literal application of the substantive requirement would result in exceptional, practical difficulty to the applicant;
- 2) The alternative proposed by the applicant would be adequate for the intended use and would not substantially deviate from the performance that would be obtained by strict enforcement of the standards; and,
- 3) The granting of the variance would not be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, nor injurious to adjoining or neighboring property.

Following review of the variance, check the appropriate box below and provide your signature. If you have no basis for recommending either approval or denial, please check the "No Exceptions Taken" box. If you are recommending approval or denial of the request, **please also complete the matrix on the reverse of this form.** Please return to my attention by **July 27, 2022.** 

#### ROUTING

Delivered To	<b>Returned On</b>	RECOMMENDED ACTION			Signature
		Approval*	Denial*	No Exceptions Taken	
Ben Croy (Engineering)					
Charles Boulard (Comm Dev.)				X	Murl

\* SEE REVERSE



. . . . . . . . . .

### CITY OF NOVI Engineering Department

## DCS VARIANCE DISTRIBUTION MEMORANDUM

To: Charles Boulard, Community Development Ben Croy, PE; Department of Public Works

From: Rebecca Runkel, Department of Public Works

Date: July 20, 2022

Re: Variance from Design & Construction Standards 2245 Old Novi Road Sidewalk Variance Request

Attached is a request for a Variance from the Design and Construction Standards. Please review for a future City Council Agenda. In accordance with Section 11-10 of the Ordinance, the following three conditions *must be met* for a variance to be granted by Council:

- 1) A literal application of the substantive requirement would result in exceptional, practical difficulty to the applicant;
- 2) The alternative proposed by the applicant would be adequate for the intended use and would not substantially deviate from the performance that would be obtained by strict enforcement of the standards; and,
- 3) The granting of the variance would not be detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare, nor injurious to adjoining or neighboring property.

Following review of the variance, check the appropriate box below and provide your signature. If you have no basis for recommending either approval or denial, please check the "No Exceptions Taken" box. If you are recommending approval or denial of the request, **please also complete the matrix on the reverse of this form.** Please return to my attention by **July 27, 2022.** 

Poturned On				Circus Ison
Returned On	Approval*	Denial*	No Exceptions	Signature
7/21/22			, and a	B:76
	<b>Returned On</b>	Approval*	Approval* Denial*	Approval* Denial* No Exceptions Taken

\* SEE REVERSE

### If recommending approval or denial, please complete the following:

1. Would a literal application of the substantive requirement of the ordinance result in an exceptional, practical difficulty to the application? X Yes No

Explain:
CIEVEN THAT THE REQUIREMENT FOR A SIDEWALK WAS MISSED
DRING THE APPROVAL PROCESS, REQUIREANG THE SIDEWALK
NOW MAY PUT UNDLE BURDEN on THE HOMEOWNOR SINCE ALL
OTHER CONCREDE WORK HAS BEEN COMPLETED, AND THEY ARE
MEARING TOO FOR THE HOUSE.

2. Would the alternative proposed by the applicant be adequate for the intended use and not deviate from the performance that would be obtained by strict enforcement of the standards? Yes No

Explain: SINCE NO PATH TO THE SOUTH EXASTS, THES WELL NOT BE
CREATENE A GAP. THES SEEMENT CAN BE COMPLETED AN
THE FUTURE WIKEN THE PROJECT TO THE SOUTH 25
Constructed.

3. Would granting the variance not be detrimental to public health, safety, or welfare, and not injurious to adjoining or neighboring property?

File: Distribution Memo REVISED FEB 2020 doc (Dir) G/Engineering/City Council/DCS Variances



## Request for Variance Design and Construction Standards

Applicant Information		Engineer Information	
Name: <u>Amar Saraiya</u>		Name:	
Address: 2245 Old Novi Rd		Address:	
Novi, MI 48377			
Phone No:		Phone No:	
Applicant Status (please o	:heck one):		
Property Owner	🗖 Developer	🗖 Developer / Owner Representative	
Other			

Project Name \_\_\_\_\_ Single Family Home @ 2245 Old Novi Road

Project Address/Location 2245 Old Novi Rd

Variance Request \_\_\_\_\_\_ Waive sidewalk requirement on Old Novi Rd

#### Justification (attach additional pages if necessary)

Administrative waiver request per Sec. 11-256(b) of the Code of Ordinances.

- No sidewalk exists on the east side of Old Novi Road south of the property for approximately 1500 feet. This segment to the south of the property is not a priority segment in the non-motorized master plan (currently ranked #63).

- Connection to sidewalk north of Linhart St would be difficult due to location of power poles.

- The sidewalk requirement was missed during consultant plot plan review.

INTERNAL USE			
Date Submitted:	7/20/22		
Code Section from wh	ich variance is sought: <u>11-256(b)</u>		
Submittal Checklist:	One (1) copy of plan on 8.5 x 11 size paper		
	\$100 Filing Fee (No fee for driveway width variance requests)		
Request Status:			
Authorized By:	BEN CRUY BY 7.14		
Authorization Date:	7/21/22		

