

#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovi.org

# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS STAFF REPORT

FOR: City of Novi Zoning Board of Appeals ZONING BOARD APPEALS DATE: October 13, 2015

REGARDING: CITYGATE MARKETPLACE (CASE NO. PZ15-0032)

**BY:** Thomas M. Walsh, Building Official

#### . GENERAL INFORMATION:

#### **Applicant**

Doraid Markus on the behalf of Citygate Marketplace

#### Variance Type

Dimensional Variance

#### **Property Characteristics**

Zoning District: OST, Planned Office Service Technology District

Site Location: 27200 Beck Road, north of Grand River Ave. and east of Beck Road.

Parcel #: 50-22-16-176-033

#### **Request**

The applicant is requesting variances from the CITY OF NOVI, CODE OF ORDINANCES, Section 3.1.23.D to allow construction of a 6,241 sq. ft. building with a retail space and two fast food restaurant spaces:

1) a variance of 34.0 feet in the required north yard setback (50.0 feet required, 16.0 feet proposed); and 2) a variance from Section 5.3.11.A, B of 20.0 feet in the required north yard parking setback (20.0 feet required, 0.0 feet proposed) to allow construction of a drive-through lane.



Case # PZ15-0032

#### **II. ZONING AND LAND USE:**

The table below lists the zoning district, existing land use and future land use for the subject site and surrounding parcels.

Parcel	Zoning District	Existing Land Use	Future Land Use
Subject Property	OST, Planned Office Service Technology District	Vacant	Office research development and technology w/Retail Overlay
North	OSC, Office Service Commercial District	2 Go gas station and Tim Horton's Restaurant	Office research development and technology w/Retail Overlay
South	OST, Planned Office Service Technology District	Chase Bank	Office research development and technology w/Retail Overlay
East	OST, Planned Office Service Technology District	Vacant	Office research development and technology w/Retail Overlay
West	B-2 Community Business District	Westmarket Square Shopping Center	Local Commercial

#### **III. STAFF COMMENTS:**

#### **Existing Condition**

The subject property consists of one- (1) lot located on the east side of Beck Road and north of Grand River. The parcel has approximately 142.76 feet of frontage on Beck Road and approximately 420.26 feet deep as measured along north side yard lot line.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The applicant is proposing to construct a 6,241 sq. ft. building with a retail space and two fast food restaurant spaces. The new building would result in a setback of 121.18 feet from the front (west side/Beck Road) yard lot line, 204.19 feet from the rear (east side) yard lot line, 16.0 feet from the north side yard lot line, and 54.76 feet from the south side yard lot line. The proposed parking would result in a setback of 20.0 feet from the north, east and south side yard lot line. This requires a variance of 34.0 feet in the required north side yard setback.

#### **IV. SITE STANDARDS:**

1. <u>Drive-through setbacks (Sec. 5.3.11.A, B)</u> drive through shall follow parking setback requirements and applicable parking lot landscaping requirements. The drive-through lane on the north is encroaching into the minimum required parking setback. *This requires a variance of 20.0 feet in the required north yard parking setback.* 

## V. RECOMMENDATION:

The Zoning Board of Appeals may take one of the following actions:

1. Grant	I move that we <u>grant</u> the variance(s) in Case No. PZ15-0032, sought b,for	
	causes a practical difficult relating to the property, including some or all of the following criteria:	
	(a) Petitioner has established that the property is unique because, or that the physical condition of the property creates the need for a variance because	al
	because And, the condition is not a personal or economic hardship.	
	(b) The need for the variance is not self-created, <b>because</b>	
	<ul> <li>(c) Strict compliance with dimensional regulations of the Zoning Ordinance including, will (either):</li> <li>1. unreasonably prevent Petitioner from using the property for the permitted purpose as a, because, because and/or,</li> <li>2. will make it unnecessarily burdensome to comply with the regulation</li> </ul>	d _,
	because  (d) Petitioner has established that variance is the minimum variance necessar because a lesser variance would not	у
	(e) The requested variance will not cause adverse impact on surrounding property, property values, or the enjoyment of property in the neighborhood of zoning district, because	
	(f) The variance granted is subject to the conditions that:  1	

2.	Deny												PZ15-003: _ becaus			
								ficulty b								
		(0	pro	perty	bec	ause	petitio		an red	asona	ably o	compl	ysical co y with			
		(k			-		-						econom		-	-
		(c	:) The	ne	eed	for	the	variand	ce i	s se	elf-cre	ated	beca	use 	Petitic	ner
		(0	d) Cor	nform	ing to	the o	rdinar	ice wou	ıld not	eithe	er):					
			1.	be	unne	cessar	ily bur	densom	e bec	ause						or,
			2.			-	-	-					g the		-	
		(€	sub:	stanti	al j	ustice	to	Petition	ner (	and	surro	bundin	ig prop	perty	vould own	do ıer's
		(f	•					ould ho			-		n surrou	nding	prop	erty

Should you have any further questions with regards to the matter please feel free to contact me at

Houm.wa

Thomas M. Walsh Building Official City of Novi

(248) 347-0415



45175 Ten Mile Road Novi, MI 48375 (248) 347-0415 Phone (248) 735-5600 Facsimile www.cityofnovi.org

# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION

#### APPLICATION MUST BE FILLED OUT COMPLETELY

I. PROPERTY INFORMATION (Add	Come)	Application Fee: \$300,00					
PROJECT NAME / SUBDIVISION		10 12 15					
Citygate Marketplace ADDRESS		LOT/SIUTE/SPACE #	Meeting Date: 10-13-15				
27200 Beck Road		N/A	ZBA Case #: PZ_15-0032_				
SIDWELL #		9	sing ZBA Case #: PZ 15-0032				
50-22- <u>16</u> - <u>176</u> - <u>033</u> CROSS ROADS OF PROPERTY	Departm	ent (248) 347-0485					
IS THE PROPERTY WITHIN A HOMEOWNER'S ASS	COCIATION HIPISDICTIONS	REQUEST IS FOR:					
YES NO	OCIATION JORISDICTIONS	RESIDENTIAL COM	MERCIAL TVACANT PR	OPERTY TICHAGE			
DOES YOUR APPEAL RESULT FROM A NO	TICE OF VIOLATION OR			OTENT LI SIGNAGE			
II. APPLICANT INFORMATION	HOLOT VIOLATION OK			and the second of the second s			
	EMAIL ADDRESS		CELL PHONE NO.	20.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000			
A. APPLICANT	dsmarkus@yahoo.com	1	248-892-2222				
NAME Doraid Markus			TELEPHONE NO.				
ORGANIZATION/COMPANY			FAX NO.				
Grand Back Partners, LLC			N/A				
ADDRESS 4036 Telegraph Road, Suite: 205		CITY Bloomfield Hills	STATE	ZIP CODE 48303			
	ERE IF APPLICANT IS ALSO						
Identify the person or organization that	EMAIL ADDRESS	J INC I KOT EKT OWNER	CELL PHONE NO.				
owns the subject property:							
NAME			TELEPHONE NO.				
ORGANIZATION/COMPANY			FAX NO.				
				<del></del>			
ADDRESS		CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE			
III. ZONING INFORMATION			1				
A. ZONING DISTRICT							
□ R-A □ R-1 □ R-2	□ R-3 □ R-4	□ RM-1 □ RM-2	□ MH				
□ I-1 □ I-2 □ RC	☐ TC ☐ TC-1	OTHER OST					
B. VARIANCE REQUESTED							
INDICATE ORDINANCE SECTION (S) AND	VARIANCE REQUESTED:						
1. Section 3.1.23.D	/ariance requested	34'					
2. Section\	/ariance requested						
3. SectionVariance requested							
4. Section\							
IV. FEES AND DRAWNINGS							
A. FEES	al \$000 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	rtion) \$050 \ Single Fami	il. Desidential (Now) to	250			
☐ Single Family Residential (Existing) \$200 ☐ (With Violation) \$250 ☐ Single Family Residential (New) \$250							
$\square$ Multiple/Commercial/Industrial \$300 $\square$ (With Violation) \$400 $\square$ Signs \$300 $\square$ (With Violation) \$400							
☐ House Moves \$300		eetings (At discretion of Bo	pard) \$600				
DRAWINGS 1-COPY & 1 DIGITAL COPY SUBMITTED AS A PDF     Dimensioned Drawings and Plans							
<ul><li>Dimensioned Drawings and Plans</li><li>Site/Plot Plan</li></ul>			a distance to dajacen 1 & proposed signs, if c				
<ul> <li>Existing or proposed buildings or a</li> </ul>	addition on the prope			de le u es este re			
<ul> <li>Number &amp; location of all on-site p</li> </ul>			on relevant to the Vai	riance application			



# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION

V. VARIANCE							
A. VARIANCE (S) REQUESTED							
☑ DIMENSIONAL □ USE □ SIGN							
There is a five-(5) hold period before work/action can be taken on variance approvals.							
B. SIGN CASES (ONLY) Your signature on this application indicates that you agree to install a Mock-Up Sign ten-(10) days before the schedule ZBA meeting. Failure to install a mock-up sign may result in your case not being heard by the Board, postponed to the next schedule ZBA meeting, or cancelled. A mock-up sign is NOT to be actual sign. Upon approval, the mock-up sign must be removed within five-(5) days of the meeting. If the case is denied, the applicant is responsible for all costs involved in the removal of the mock-up or actual sign (if erected under violation) within five-(5) days of the meeting.							
C. ORDINANCE							
City of Novi Ordinance, Section 3107 – Miscellaneous							
No order of the Board permitting the erection of a building shall be valid for a period longer than one-(1) year, unless a building permit for such erection or alteration is obtained within such period and such erection or alteration is started and proceeds to completion in accordance with the terms of such permit.							
No order of the Board permitting a use of a building or premises shall be valid for a period longer than one-hundred and eighty-(180) days unless such use is establish within such a period; provided, however, where such use permitted is dependent upon the erection or alteration or a building such order shall continue in force and effect if a building permit for such erection or alteration is obtained within one-(1) year and such erection or alteration is started and proceeds to completion in accordance with the terms of such permit.							
D. APPEAL THE DETERMINATION OF THE BUILDING OFFICIAL							
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:							
The undersigned hereby appeals the determination of the Building Official / Inspector or Ordinance made  CONSTRUCT NEW HOME/BUILDING  ADDITION TO EXISTING HOME/BUILDING  SIGNAGE							
□ ACCESSORY BUILDING □ USE □ OTHER							
VI. APPLICANT & PROPERTY SIGNATURES							
A. APPLICANT							
8/12/15							
Applicant Signature Date							
B. PROPERTY OWNER							
If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign below:							
The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the property described in this							
application, and is/are aware of the dontents of this application and related enclosures.							
NI WILL							
Property, Owner SignatUle Date							
VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY							
DECISION ON APPEAL:							
☐ GRANTED ☐ DENIED							
The Building Inspector is hereby directed to issue a permit to the Applicant upon the following and conditions:							
Chairperson, Zoning Board of Appeals Date							

# Seri. HERE, DeLorme, MapmyIndia. ◎ OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS user community of 27460 MAP INTERPRETATION NOTICE Wilson Farms-Sul MDOT Rideshare Parking 47277 Providence Providence Phys 47501 27200 Beck Road Author: Date: 9/16/2015 PZ15-0032 City of Novi, Michigan Internet Mapping Portal Map Produced Using the 27000 27150 District Court

#### Citygate Marketplace ZBA Application for Dimensional Variance

This project is proposing the development of a new 6,242 square foot retail development on a parcel of land approximately 1.98 acres in size located at 27200 Beck Road (southeast corner of Beck Road and Citygate Drive). The subject parcel is zoned OST (Office Service Technology) which requires pursuant to Section 3.1.23D a 50 foot setback from all property lines. The requested variance is to allow for a 34' foot variance from the required 50 foot setback along the north property line. The reason for this request is due to the fact that subject parcel has a limited north/south dimension to allow for the construction of an appropriately size retail development if the 50 foot setback is utilized. The parcel is also subject to an existing ingress/egress easement with the development to the south (Chase Bank) which forces the location of the building to placed west of where is would typically be sited. Finally the subject site contains a regulated wetland area at the southeast corner of the site which further reduces the amount of area available for this development.

It should be noted that a variance was previously approved for the subject development on September 10, 2013 for a project known as Tom's Bar and Grill (ZBA Case PZ13-042)



#### PLAN REVIEW CENTER REPORT

July 14, 2015

### **Planning Review**

Citygate Marketplace

#### **Petitioner**

Grand Beck Partners LLC

#### **Review Type**

Revised Preliminary Site Plan with Retail Service Overlay

#### **Property Characteristics**

• Site Location: 27200 Beck Road, southeast corner of Citygate Drive and Beck

Road (Section 16)

• Site Zoning: OST, Planned Office Service Technology

Adjoining Zoning: North (across Citygate Drive): FS with PRO; East and South: OST;

West (across Beck Road): B-2

Current Site Use: Vacant building

Adjoining Uses: North (across Citygate Drive): USA 2 Go gas station and Tim

Horton's Restaurant; East: vacant; South: Chase Bank; West (across

Beck Road): Westmarket Square Shopping Center

School District: Novi Community School District

Site Size: 1.88 acresPlan Date: 06-23-15

#### **Project Summary**

The parcel in question is located on 27200 Beck Road on the southeast corner of Citygate Drive and Beck Road in Section 16 of the City of Novi. The property totals 1.88 acres. The current zoning of the property is OST, Planned Office Service Technology. The applicant has proposed a 6,241 sq. ft. building with a retail space and two fast food restaurant spaces (with associated parking, landscaping and stormwater facilities) utilizing the Retail Service Overlay Option. A drive-through is proposed for one of the restaurant spaces.

The Retail Service Overlay Option is intended "...to provide a limited amount of retail and personal service establishments to serve the employees of and visitors to the nearby office use areas." The option allows additional uses not typically permitted in the OST District provided certain conditions are met and subject to the Special Land Use requirements outlined in Section 6.2.C. Retail spaces and fast food restaurants are uses permitted under this option.

#### **Recommendation**

Approval of the **Preliminary Site Plan is not recommended**. All other reviews except Landscape and Traffic are recommending approval. The applicant should make the appropriate revisions to the plans to address the comments in this and other review letters and submit plans for revised Preliminary Site Plan review.

#### **Special Land Use Considerations**

In the OST District any developments utilizing the Retail Service Overlay provisions are subject to the considerations for Special Land Uses outlined in the Zoning Ordinance. Section 6.2.C of the Zoning Ordinance includes specific factors the Planning Commission shall consider in the review of the request:

- Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use will cause any
  detrimental impact on existing thoroughfares in terms of overall volumes, capacity,
  safety, vehicular turning patterns, intersections, view obstructions, line of sight, ingress and
  egress, acceleration/deceleration lanes, off-street parking, off-street loading/unloading,
  travel times and thoroughfare level of service.
- Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use will cause any
  detrimental impact on the capabilities of public services and facilities, including water
  service, sanitary sewer service, storm water disposal and police and fire protection to
  service existing and planned uses in the area.
- Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use is compatible with the natural features and characteristics of the land, including existing woodlands, wetlands, watercourses and wildlife habitats.
- Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use is compatible with adjacent uses of land in terms of location, size, character, and impact on adjacent property or the surrounding neighborhood.
- Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use is consistent with the goals, objectives and recommendations of the City's Master Plan for Land Use.
- Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use will promote the use of land in a socially and economically desirable manner.
- Whether, relative to other feasible uses of the site, the proposed use is (1) listed among the provision of uses requiring special land use review as set forth in the various zoning districts of this Ordinance, and (2) is in harmony with the purposes and conforms to the applicable site design regulations of the zoning district in which it is located.

#### Ordinance Requirements

This project was reviewed for conformance with the Zoning Ordinance with respect to Article 3.1.23 OST District, Article 3.19 (OST District Retail Service Overlay), Article 4 (Use Standards), Article 5 (Site Standards) and any other applicable provisions of the Zoning Ordinance. Items in **bold** below must be addressed by the applicant. Items in **bold and underline** may require a variance from Zoning Board of Appeals, if necessary revisions to the plans are not made.

- 1. <u>Retail Service Overlay:</u> The applicant is utilizing the Retail Service Overlay Option which allows an applicant to develop properties for uses not usually permitted in the OST District. Refer to the planning chart and façade review letter for a general overview of the retail service overlay conditions and the merits of the application under review. **A public hearing to be held by the Planning Commission is required.**
- 2. <u>Building Setbacks</u> (Sec 3.1.23.D): Buildings in the OST District must be setback 50 feet from all property lines. The plan indicates a 16 foot building setback in the northern yard. <u>The applicant has indicated they will seek a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for this <u>deficiency.</u></u>
- 3. <u>Drive-through setbacks</u> (Sec. 5.3.11.A, B) Drive through shall follow parking setback requirements and applicable parking lot landscaping requirements. The Drive-through lane on the north is encroaching into the minimum required parking setback. A Zoning Board of Appeals variance would be required for this deficiency. Staff would support the variance given the size of the site.

- 1. <u>Required Parking Spaces:</u> The applicant has provided the required information for calculating the required parking. However, the calculations shown on the plans are incorrect. Refer to the Planning review chart for correct parking calculation and revise the drawings accordingly.
- 2. Outdoor Sales and Seating (Sec 3.19.4):
  - a. Outdoor sales are prohibited in this zoning district. Add a note to the plan to this effect.
  - b. Outdoor seating layout shown on the plan appears to be compact and does not have enough space for pedestrian maneuvering within the area. **Provide an enlarged detail of the outdoor seating area to verify conformance.**
  - c. A decorative fence detail is shown sheet SP-1a. Indicate the location and extent of the proposed fence in relation to the layout plan.
  - d. Add the following notes to the plan
    - i. The hours of operation for the outside restaurant shall be consistent with the hours of operation of the inside restaurant.
    - ii. Outdoor seating shall be permitted between March 1st and November 30th with all furniture and fixtures including, but not limited to, tables, chairs and waste receptacles removed from the exterior premises after November 30th. Outdoor seating shall not be the primary seating of the restaurant.
- 3. <u>Drive-through Stacking Space:</u> Per Section 5.3.11.I, four (4) vehicles shall be stored in advance of the menu board (not including the vehicles at the pick-up window and menu board). Stacking has been provided for only three vehicles in advance of menu board. The applicant should revise the plans to show adequate storage on the revised submittal. Additionally, pavement markings should be provided. Refer to Traffic review for further details. <u>Applicant should provide additional stacking per requirements or seek a Zoning Board of Appeals variance</u>
- 4. <u>Drive-through Lanes Separation (Sec. 5.3.11.A, C)</u>: Drive-through lanes shall be separate from the circulation routes & lanes necessary for ingress to & egress from the property. The circulation pattern for loading truck is unclear. It may also conflict with the cars stacked up in the drive-through lanes. **Provide additional details to verify the conformance. Refer to Traffic letters for further details.**
- 5. <u>Sidewalks:</u> The 5 foot sidewalk proposed along Citygate Drive does not extend to the eastern property line. The applicant has indicated that the sidewalk should be extended in the future at the same time that the roadway improvements are made so as not to interfere with the existing drainage ditch. The applicant should work with the City's Engineer to come up with an estimate to donate money to the sidewalk fund for this future sidewalk extension.
- 6. Construction of Collector Road: The Novi Mile GR/Beck Planned Rezoning Overlay Agreement included provisions for the extension of Citygate Drive to the east eventually looping around to Grand River Avenue. This agreement contemplated the completion of the road when the next property in the area was developed. The applicant should consider completing the planned extension of Citygate Drive to better serve the proposed development from Grand River Avenue. Staff would like the applicant to note that there is a pre-existing PRO Agreement made by Novi Mile, LLC and CP Novi Center, LLC with the City which talks more about the construction of collector road and not contemplating limitations on left turns onto Beck Road. Given the traffic the current site plan will be

generating and the traffic concerns out Traffic review has shared, staff suggests that the applicant could work with Novi Mile, LLC towards completing of the extension of Citygate Drive to Grand River Avenue and addressing other concerns.

- 7. <u>Bicycle Parking Facilities:</u> Bicycle parking has been provided near the southeast corner of the building but a detail has not been included. A detail of the proposed layout and bicycle racks is included in the revised submittal. However, it only indicates 1 rack on the layout detail. Please correct the detail to include two racks.
- 8. <u>Rooftop Equipment:</u> The rooftop equipment, if any proposed, should be screened according to Façade ordinance requirements. **Indicate if any rooftop equipment is proposed.**
- 9. General layout and dimension of proposed physical improvements: Some additional dimensions are requested in this and other review letters. Provide dimensions for sidewalk, end islands and other items requested in all review letters
- 10. Lighting Plan (Sec. 5.7):
  - a. Specification sheets are provided as a separate submittal. **Provide the specification sheets on the sheet set for the proposed light fixtures**
  - b. Add the following notes to the plan
    - i. Electrical service to light fixtures shall be placed underground
    - ii. Flashing light shall not be permitted
    - iii. Only necessary lighting for security purposes & limited operations shall be permitted after a site's hours of operation
    - iv. Average light level of the surface being lit to the lowest light of the surface being lit shall not exceed 4:1. The current site plan indicates a ratio of 4.5:1. Revise the photometric plan accordingly or a Zoning Board of Appeals variance may be required.
- 11. <u>Signage:</u> Exterior Signage is not regulated by the Planning Division or Planning Commission. Please contact Jeannie Niland (248.347.0438) for information regarding sign permits.

#### **Response Letter**

A letter from either the applicant or the applicant's representative addressing comments in this, and in the other review letters that are not recommending approval is required along with four copies of site plan with a site plan revision submittal form with the next submittal.

#### Chapter 26.5

Chapter 26.5 of the City of Novi Code of Ordinances generally requires all projects be completed within two years of the issuance of any starting permit. Please contact Sarah Marchioni at 248-347-0430 for additional information on starting permits. The applicant should review and be aware of the requirements of Chapter 26.5 before starting construction.

#### **Pre-Construction Meeting**

Prior to the start of any work on the site, Pre-Construction (Pre-Con) meetings must be held with the applicant's contractor and the City's consulting engineer. Pre-Con meetings are generally held after Stamping Sets have been issued and prior to the start of any work on the site. There are a variety of requirements, fees and permits that must be issued before a Pre-Con can be scheduled. If you have questions regarding the checklist or the Pre-Con itself, please contact Sarah Marchioni [248.347.0430 or smarchioni@cityofnovi.org] in the Community Development Department.

#### Street and Project Name

This project name will need approval of the Street and Project Naming Committee. Please contact Richelle Leskun (248-347-0579 or rleskun@cityofnovi.org) in the Community Development Department for additional information.

If the applicant has any questions concerning the above review or the process in general, do not hesitate to contact me at 248.735.5607 or <a href="mailto:skomaragiri@cityofnovi.org">skomaragiri@cityofnovi.org</a>.

Sri Ravali Komaragiri – Planner



## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

# PZ130042 - ACTION SUMMARY

**OWNER** 

#### **PROJECT SUMMARY:**

35' setback variance for exterior side yard

#### **APPLICANT/OWNER INFORMATION:**

<u>APPLICANT</u>

MATTHEW QUINN 28345 BECK RD 401 Wixom MI 48393 PALUSHAJ PROPERTIES, LLC 55205 EIGHT MILE NORTHVILLE MI 48167

#### PROPERTY INFOMATION:

LOCATION/ADDRESS: 27200 BECK RD

PARCEL NUMBER: 50-22-16-176-033 ZONING DISTRICT: OST

SUBDIVISION: LOT/UNIT #:

#### **ACTION SUMMARY:**

ZBA MEETING DATE: 09/10/2013

CASE NO. PZ13-0042 27200 BECK RD (TOMS BAR & GRILL)

The applicant is requesting variances from the CITY OF NOVI, CODE OF ORDINANCES, Section 2400 to allow a reduction in the exterior side yard setback to 35 ft. (50 ft. required) for an existing building proposed for redevelopment to a restaurant use. The property is located north of Grand River Ave. and east of Beck Rd. in the OST Zoning District.

CITY OF NOVI, CODE OF ORDINANCES, Section 2400 requires front and exterior side yard setbacks of 50 feet minimum in the OST Zoning District.

In CASE No. PZ13-0042 Motion to approve the variance as requested. There are unique circumstances or physical conditions of the property such as narrowness, shallowness, shape, water, topography or similar physical conditions and the need for the variance is not due to the applicant's personal or economic difficulty. As stated by Mr. Quinn, the current property that will be used by the applicant. The need is not self-created because of the existing structure on the property and the applicant can only do what is given to them. There is no other way unless they tear down the building and the applicant does not want to do that. Strict compliance with regulations governing area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome. The requested variance is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district. The requested variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values or the use and enjoyment of the property in the neighborhood or zoning district.

Motion carried: 4-0 Motion maker: Ibe

