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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good
evening. Welcome to the Novi City Zoning Board of Appeals. Today is June 14th. The time is 7:00 p.m.

Please, everybody stand up for the Pledge of Allegiance, followed by Michael Longo.
(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Be seated.
Secretary, roll call, please.
MS. WAGNER: Member Krieger?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Present.

MS. WAGNER: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Present.

MS. WAGNER: Member McLeod?

MEMBER MCLEOD: Here.
MS. WAGNER: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Here.
MS. WAGNER: Member Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. WAGNER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Here.
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phones on mute. You know, if anybody wants to speak public, only three minutes allowed. And once we take any decisions, please cooperate on that and no discussions apart from that. And once we call your name or anything in your case, please come to the podium, state for your first and last name clearly for our secretary for the court record. I really appreciate for that. Thank you.

And coming to the first case for tonight, PZ22-0021, Diversified Developing, LLC, 45283-45295, Grand River Avenue, East of Taft Road and North of Eleven Mile Road, Parcel 50-22-15-351-044. The applicant is requesting the variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance from Section 3.1.18, a parking setback of five feet on the west side of the proposed parcel A, ten feet required, variance of five feet; and a front yard building setback of 20 feet of the proposed Parcel B, 40 feet required, variance of 20 feet from Section 5.12 to allow a lot with no public road frontage for Parcel B. These variances are for the splitting of an existing developed 1.66 acre lot into two lots. This property is zoned Light Industrial, I-1, I believe.

Okay. Thank you. Is the applicant is there?



MR. ALBERS: Okay. The property that we want to -- we're looking for the variances for is south side of Grand River, east of Taft Road. And the two buildings that we're looking for the variance for, the first building on a proposed split is a City Electric building. That's parcel A on the proposed split. The variance we're looking for there is for a parking setback variance of five feet on the west side yard.

The building on the proposed Parcel B is a Sherwin Williams building. The variance we're looking for there is a 20 foot front yard variance.

There's a third variance listed on the ZBA application for no public road frontage for proposed Parcel B. That variance is no longer needed. The reason being, the wrong drawing was submitted. It didn't show road frontage to the assessor's office with the land division application. The resubmitted drawing
shows the road frontage going up it.
I can explain this in further detail now or wait until there's more specific questions. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Please go ahead and you present your thing and we can ask later on the questions. Once you're done, let us know.

MR. ALBERS: So just go on with --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
Please go ahead and present your case.
MR. ALBERS: The land division application to split the property. So each building --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you speak on the mic, please?

Yeah. So that way everybody can listen on that. Thank you.

MR. ALBERS: The land division application to split the property, so each parcel -building would be in a separate parcel was submitted. The application was denied because the parcels would not conform to the current zoning ordinances for the I-1 industrial zoning ordinance. We're applying for the variances because they are a necessary requirement for the assessor's office to approve the split.

The reason for the parcel split is so
that the buildings will be on separate parcels, allow them to be marked separately so a reasonable return can be realized. Would also simplify the management of the buildings.

The granting of the variances is unique in that they are for existing buildings and do not allow for construction of new buildings that would require these variances.

In summary, the variances requested are the minimum variances needed to allow for continuation of the permitted use of the property if split. We feel the requirements of the granting of these variances have been met and are within the spirit of the zoning ordinance. When Diversified Developing acquired the parcel, the buildings were existing. This is a long established property with existing structures in place.

There were not any construction, change of usage or occupants. There will not be any effect or diminished values to surrounding properties. There will not be any danger, hardships, discomfort or diminished safety to the public there will not be any environmental change or impact as a result of these variances.

We thank you for your consideration of
this appeal and respectfully request that you would grant these variances. We would be happy to answer any questions you have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much

Correspondence?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: There were 20
letters mailed, zero letters returned, no approvals, and no objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. From the City, Larry?

MR. BUTLER: No comments from the
city. The staff comments are written in your folder about the elimination of the frontage variance they put in. That has been eliminated to the lesser variance. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anybody in the public to speak on this case? This is the time to speak.

Okay. It's coming to the board and thank you for your presentation and let's put it on my board and let's speak on that. Anybody would like to speak on this?

Okay. Go ahead.
MEMBER THOMPSON: My understanding, so right now it's considered one piece of property?
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MR. ALBERS: Correct.
MEMBER THOMPSON: So each -- when you go to do the taxes for the tenant, right, they don't know who's paying what?

```

MR. ALBERS: Well, we have to prorate everything. Prorate the taxes, prorate maintenance, prorate the insurance. And that's where I said the management would be simpler if they were separate.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So they need to be standalone units with their own bills?

MR. ALBERS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Michael.

Okay. Go ahead, Dr. Sanghvi.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I came there and drove around trying to figure out what you were trying to do. Are you going to put fences over there and separate the building?

MR. ALBERS: No.
MEMBER SANGHVI: So it's going to stay
as it is?
MR. ALBERS: Everything is going to
stay as is? The usage is going to stay, traffic patterns, everything. Nothing is going to change.

MEMBER SANGHVI: So this is really for

\section*{financial arraignment?}

MR. ALBERS: Primarily for marketing, yes.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Dr. Sanghvi.
Anybody would like to speak on this case from the board?

Looks like none.
Okay. It's time to motion. Michael Thompson, please go ahead.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. I move that we grant the variance in case PZ22-0021 sought by Diversified Developing for a property setback variance because the petitioner has shown difficulty requiring separating the lots. Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to use of the property because they do not serve as -- because without the variance, they could not serve as separate sites.

The property is unique because it was previously built. There's no new construction going on with it. The petitioner did not create the condition because they purchased it that way. Again, previously built buildings.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because there will be no construction changes.

The relief is constant with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it is an industrial property.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
And somebody can make a second.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I just have a question for the city attorney.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Go ahead.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Is the financial hardship is good enough hardship?

MS. SAARELA: So he's not really -the financial hardship isn't related to the variance. It's a split to use the property as two separate, you know, business parcels. So it's not really -- it's not really financial. You have a right to use two separate buildings for two separate businesses. So it's not really just a financial hardship.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Beth
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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. We appreciate. Thank you so much.

MS. ALBERS: You have good staff there doing a marvelous job. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Coming to today's second case, PZ22-0022, Cheng Ling, 1501 East Lake Drive, West of Novi Road and South of Fourteen Mile Road, Parcel 50-22-02-329-011. The applicant is requesting variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance from Section 3.1.5.D for a front yard setback of 15 feet, 30 feet required, variance of 15 feet; a rear yard setback of 24 feet, 35 feet required, variance of 11 feet; a side yard setback of three feet, 10 feet required, variance of seven feet; an exterior side yard setback of 4.3 feet, 30 feet required, variance of 25.7 feet; aggregate side yard setback of 7.3 feet, 40 feet required, variance of 32.7 feet; and a lot coverage of 49 percent, 25 percent maximum allowed, variance of 24 percent. These variances will accommodate the building of a new home. This property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-4.

Is the applicant is there?
MR. LING: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please come to the podium and tell your first and last name clearly
for our secretary and we will take the oath also.
Thank you.
MR. LING: Good evening everyone,
gentlemen and ladies. My name first name is \(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{g}\), Cheng. My name is \(L-i-n-g\), Ling.

So I --
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Excuse me. Are you
an attorney?
MR. LING: Sorry?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Are you an attorney?
MR. LING: No.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Do you swear to tell
the truth in this case?
MR. LING: I swear to tell the truth
for this case.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Please proceed where we can help you on this case tonight.

MR. LING: So have to apologize. I thought, you know, the PDF I attached will be projected in the TV, but I don't have a very big copy of my plot plan. It's kind of small.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. You can put it on the projector there, underneath.

MR. LING: Oh, okay. I didn't realize
there was one.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. She can help you, our secretary.

MR. LING: Great. Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Give
it one second and it will pop up.
(Document displayed.)
MR. LING: All right. That's pretty
clear. So --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Display on
the other TVs -- one second, please.
MR. LING: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please, go
ahead. Proceed.
MR. LING: Sure. So I'm trying to
apply a variance for the -- for the --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you move
the mic close to your mouth and talk clearly, please?
MR. LING: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Appreciate.
Thank you so much.
MR. LING: So I'm trying to build a new house on this property right there. On 1501 at which --
(Court reporter clarification.)

MR. LING: So I'm trying to -- we're trying to build a new house on the parcel -- I couldn't read the number, but we're try to build a house there and the current zoning for this property, the setback, makes the property unbuildable.

And the setback requirement create a very narrow building envelope and with that setback, we pretty much cannot build anything. And that's why I'm trying to apply a variance. And it has an existing house there.

And I would -- I'm not sure how to talk too much detail besides, you know, let you guys review the plot plan and if you have any questions, I would try to answer them

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other thing you would like to speak tonight?

MR. LING: (No response.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Would you like to add any more?

MR. LING: (No response.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Mr. Cheng, I'm asking you, would you like to speak any other thing?

MR. LING: Okay. Maybe I just talk a
little bit here. So the other house are the neighbors house, will be -- the house which is currently in that plot plan, the setback at the front and the back is very similar with other neighbors' house. So it's not like my house is bigger, significantly bigger than the other house. And for the lot coverage wise, the lot coverage also very similar with other house, because I think those old house was built -- those old house was built almost 100 years or 80 years, which even before the city draws those property lines. So some house like the one next to it has a little bit angle which makes the house is not at the center of the property zone. And you can even see a house next to the -- the house here, actually they have -- what I should say, it would be -- the house was on the other house -- this house property.

So what I'm trying to state here is,
if we look down the road 30, 40 years later, those other houses will be tear down and they will be something similar like the house I'm trying to build. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other thing?

MR. LING: Yes. I think that's pretty
much all \(I\) want to describe here. But, yeah, I'm willing to answer those questions if you have any.

lot of land there. How big is the house going to be?
MR. LING: It will be roughly 2,000 square foot, which is kind of average size of American house.

MEMBER SANGHVI: And how many square feet are upstairs?

MR. LING: Well, total will be 2,000 square foot.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. Okay. Very
good. I understand your problem because these are all postage stamp lots really. This way or that way.

MR. LING: That's correct.
MEMBER SANGHVI: And you need a lot of variances to build anything reasonable. I can support your request. Thank you.

MR. LING: Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you very much, Dr. Sanghvi.

Anybody would like to speak?
Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I guess my big
concern here is the 49 percent lot coverage. That's a huge lot coverage. You're saying it's 2,000 square feet. I don't know if you've looked at a little more compact home or garage or something so that the lot
coverage goes down. I realize that it is a small lot, but 49 percent is a huge lot coverage and that's I don't think what we're looking for.

MR. LING: If you, you know, had a -ever had a chance to drive on East Lake Drive and, you know, those house on the right side, on the right-hand side, it's all pretty much cover the whole area. And I think the proposal plot plan I drawed -- which still have -- well, compared to the neighbor, I have reasonable percentage left for grass and for bush and for other green plants.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I did drive it and I saw how tight this was going to be and kind of imagined how much house was going to be there. And it's a lot of lot coverage.

MR. LING: That's correct.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Appreciate.
Any other board member would like to speak?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I do. But I'll wait for Mike.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I'm sorry?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Member Longo.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Longo
would like to speak?
MEMBER LONGO: Yeah. I also went out there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Linda.
MEMBER LONGO: First of all, you said the house was small. I'd say it's tiny by the way. That's a very small house.

MR. LING: That's right.
MEMBER LONGO: And to your point, the homes on those -- those lots are tiny.

MR. LING: That's right.
MEMBER LONGO: They're very narrow.
MR. LING: That's right.
MEMBER LONGo: And what's happened is
a lot of people -- they used to have cottages on them like the house that's there now. And now people are building much larger homes and that's pretty common out there. Close point about a lot of coverage is true. But I like the fact that you have the house sitting back with just the porch that's out there, doesn't block the view of your neighbor looking out to the lake.

MR. LING: No.
MEMBER LONGO: Then you go back a long
ways to his point that it's quite a bit of coverage. But it's -- you're on a side street so even though you're short on the side setback, it seems bigger because of the right-of-way and the street is out there. So it looks a little bit bigger because you're on the corner. It isn't bigger, but it looks like it is.

So I support your request.
MR. LING: Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Longo.

Any other board member? Okay, Linda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: You're planning on building a two-story house?

MR. LING: That's correct.
MEMBER KRIEGER: So the footprint even
though the -- so the total would be 2,000 or 300 square feet. The footprint is bigger, but it would be matching like you said the neighboring homes which seems to be the way it is growing there. So it would be two -- so I understand your need. And I wanted to know the tree that was there that was cut down --

MR. LING: It was cut a couple of weeks back.
-
(
MEMBER KRIEGER: Did you talk to the city forester about cutting it?

MR. LING: Yes. We got permission. Sophia actually handled the case.

MS. WAGNER: Yes. We did a woodlands review and we went out there and he had all the proper documents for that.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. That's all.

Thank you.

MR. LING: Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.

Anybody would like to speak on this case?

MEMBER THOMPSON: So how many variances total are being asked for? A side yard setback, an exterior yard setback?

MR. LING: Yes. I think it's a front yard setback and a rear yard setback and a side yard setback and exterior setback. Side yard setback as well.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So the front, the year, both sides. So all four sides have a setback issue.

MR. LING: Yes.

MEMBER THOMPSON: And there's a lot coverage issue. So it's a total of five variances?

going to be like that much higher coverage. I know the regulation was specific on this appraisal, but ...

MEMBER THOMPSON: You don't have an exterior photo of what the house is going to look like or? Do you have anything extra?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: You have the footprint.

MEMBER THOMPSON: You got the footprint, yeah.

MR. LING: I don't have it with me right now. I have it in my phone. I can -- assuming here, if you guy allow me to have some extra time later.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: You have the photos in the phone?

MR. LING: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. You can put it on the screen. Yeah. You can see that one, too.

MR. LING: Sorry. It's going to take a minute.
(Pause.)
MEMBER THOMPSON: So while they're doing that, the lot across the street, even though it's the same piece of property, is it considered -- it's
considered a different lot?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.

MEMBER THOMPSON: But does that count as one piece and the house is going to be 49 percent of the two pieces?

MR. BUTLER: It's a separate lot if it's across the street.

MS. SAARELA: Even though they own it, it's a different parcel number.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Oh.
MR. BUTLER: Member Thompson?
(Court Reporter clarification.)
MEMBER MONTAGUE: He was just asking
if the lot across the street is in that calculation.
It couldn't be because the scale is such that 49 percent --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Did
you find the --
MEMBER MONTAGUE: -- of those two
would not be --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Please go ahead and look at the pictures.
Mr. Thompson, can you see that he's showing you pictures?

MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Okay. MR. LING: I can zoom in even -- so is that what you're looking for, the floor plan?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yep.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: That's the one, yeah.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So the far wall, the one at the top of the screen, how far away is that from the side street?

MR. LING: It should be four foot
setback. You mean center of the street or the --
MEMBER THOMPSON: For cars driving by on the street.

MR. LING: So ...
MEMBER THOMPSON: So the top side.
MR. LING: (Pointing.)
MEMBER THOMPSON: Right there. Yeah.
How far off of the road is that?
MR. LING: It's four foot setback.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Four feet.

MR. LING: Four feet. Yeah, four
feet.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. So if the city truck is plowing snow, four feet is not very far, right?
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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Go ahead.

MS. ZHANG: Because the lot is kind of tiny and we're building a two-story house. So one floor should be 1,000 square foot. It's -- we figured it's kind of a -- our dream house we want to build. So I think it's kind of a reasonable size in the neighborhood. The reason that it's so close to the street is because the size we want and we also think about the sea (ph). And if we drive along the road, we found all other houses in the neighborhood are kind of that close.

So that's why we did this proposal.
MR. LING: And just -- I just want to say something very quick. The existing house which is already there, it actually has less setback to the street compare with the one I proposed.

I can bring up the survey which was done recently which shows the old house located.

Do you want me to present that?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA:
Mr. Thompson, he's asking a question for you.
MEMBER THOMPSON: No. I pulled it up on Google Earth and I see that. So, no.

MR. LING: And actually, the zoning *
line for the road is kind of wider than the road so it actually give -- provide additional few setbacks, you know, for the snowplow. That's probably the reason the existing house was last couple -- you know, almost a hundred years, but it's still pretty solid. And I didn't see any sign that, you know, snowplow going to hit it or cause some damage to the house, the side of the house.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Mr. Thompson, anything else you would like to speak on this case?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. On the --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Hold on one second, Linda. Let him finish.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Oh, no. I'm all
set. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: You're all
set. Thank you.
MR. LING: Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Member Linda. Go ahead.
MEMBER KRIEGER: The -- is it four
feet from the lot line or the road?
MR. LING: It's for the road property
line --

MEMBER KRIEGER: To the road?
MR. LING: The road has a boundary line here, but the actual road is actually a few more foot -- the concrete actual road is a few more foot even north.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And then the front of the -- the inside of the house, the front room is going to be like a living room? What are the -- is it -- how many feet by how many feet for a room? The front room.

MR. LING: So the living room is upstairs. So -- sorry. My bad. The bedroom are upstairs.

The living room here.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah. What's the dimensions?

MR. LING: It is 23 by 18, I would say, yes. 23 by 18 from the drawing. Just this square, 18, that's 23.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And the frontage of the property is 30 feet or how many feet?

MR. LING: You mean somewhere here?
MEMBER KRIEGER: If it's 23 feet on the inside of the house and then on the street, the property.

MR. LING: So on the street I have
four foot set --
MEMBER KRIEGER: No, that's Parklow. I'm talking about East Lake.

MR. LING: Oh, on East Lake it's going to be -- I believe that's 15. I believe that's 15 when I -- yeah. From that drawing I believe it's 15. It's just -- I have to zoom in. But this cannot -- I do have a copy of this one. I can try to zoom in if you want to take a close looking.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And then could you make it one foot less than on Parklow?

MR. LING: Yeah. Sure. Just one
foot --

MEMBER KRIEGER: That would be five
feet verses four feet.
MR. LING: Oh, you mean from Parklow,
one foot less?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MR. LING: Yes. I think that's
doable. We can just, you know, make the living room a little bit smaller. That's -- yeah.

MEMBER KRIEGER: So to the city,
Larry, would a rendering help better if we had what he has on the phone as a rendering? Should we table or?

MS. SAARELA: Is there something
that -- information that you can't get from what he has that a rendering would show you? Is there something additional that you can't tell from this?

MEMBER KRIEGER: So this would be part of the packet, then?

MS. SAARELA: This is -- well, it's being projected to the public. It's being record. MEMBER KRIEGER: All right. I'm good about then. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.

Any other board member?
MEMBER McLEOD: Yes. So I'm sorry.
If \(I\) understand correctly, the house width is 23 feet. You're asking for a four foot variance -- or four foot to the road and then seven foot to the neighbor for a total of a 30 foot four -- 34 foot wide lot; is that correct?

MR. LING: So on the front of the road, that's four foot.

MEMBER McLEOD: No. The lot. The lot size, please. What is the size of the lot?

MR. LING: The lot size is 30 foot wide and --

MEMBER McLEOD: 30, 3-0?
MR. LING: Yes, 3-0. And for the -MEMBER McLEOD: I tried. I couldn't find it in the notes here.

MR. LING: Well, I used to remember this, but.

MEMBER McLEOD: Anyway. This number that I was looking for is actually that 30 feet, which is much smaller than I expected. At least from the perspective that I have that if you were to build a house on that lot, any structure you would build there would actually be in violation of ordinances.

So that's why I do support what you have. I believe even, let's say you said a two-story house, 2,000 square feet.

MR. LING: Right.
MEMBER MCLEOD: That is -- say it's the exact same size, 1,000 square foot at the bottom, which it isn't honestly that large of a footprint.

MR. LING: We think we didn't consider
the car garage. We have a car garage on the first floor which didn't consider as, you know, the total size of the living area. The living area around 2,000 square foot, but the car garage actually takes a little bit of space here.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Got it. Okay.
MR. LING: So that's why it seem
looking bigger.
MEMBER MCLEOD: And then size wise compared to the neighbors --

MR. LING: It's very similar size. If you look at the house down the road, two house down the road, that actually was older house as well, which building. It was built around 40 years ago. And if you keep driving a little bit south, there were -- I saw a three-story house with even bigger size -- almost max out to the property. You know, zone, so ...

MEMBER McLEOD: Thank you. That's all
I have.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Jay.

Anybody would like to speak before I -- okay, Mr. Longo.

MEMBER LONGO: Yeah. I would like a point of clarification for the people that keep asking how close you are to the street. He is not 4.3 feet from the street. He is 4.3 feet from the property line. He's about 15 feet from that side street. In the front he has a 15 foot to the property line. It's about 23 feet to the street.

So as you drive along, you don't know where the property line is. And so the front certainly looks like everybody else in the neighborhood. The side is not really -- I mean, to your point snow -- it would be 15 feet. They don't throw it -- maybe they do. But anyhow, he's 15 feet off of this street.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Before I move on that, anybody final
from the board?
Okay. Mr. Cheng?
MR. LING: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I want to ask you two things. You want to proceed to the motion for today's case or do you want to change something what my board member spoke, Linda, you want to change and come and present one more time? How do you want? Do you understand what I'm saying?

MR. LING: Are you saying -- I'm trying to translate this. Just so if I proceed with the current plan, I might get rejected today or not rejected today or --

MS. SAARELA: I don't think --
anything needs to be changed. If the board is inclined to grant a lesser variance, you can just do that. If
somebody is going to move to grant a one foot less variance, somebody can do that. He doesn't need to change anything.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank
you, Beth.
Okay. Let's move on to the motion. I appreciate. Go to the motion.

Dr. Sanghvi, can you make a motion on this case, if you don't mind?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I move that we approve the variances requested in case number PZ22-0022 by Cheng Ling at 1501 East Lake Drive, West of Novi Road and South of Fourteen Mile Road, Parcel Number 50-22-02-329-011.

The applicant has demonstrated enough hardship to be able to build a home in this small corner lot on East Lake Drive and the variance requested is quite reasonable and keeping in the ordinance and I move that we approve the variances requested by the applicant.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Dr. Sanghvi.
Somebody can make a second, please.
MEMBER McLEOD: Second.


Luzod Reporting Service, Inc.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 5.11 for an exterior side yard setback of 20 feet, 30 feet required, a variance of 10 feet, for a fence. This variance will create a better access to the backyard while still enclosing the pool. This property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-1.

Is the applicant is present, please? Please come to the podium and speak clearly for first and last name for the secretary.

MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Hi. My name is Saloni Janveja-Roeser. I'll spell that. S-a-l-o-n-i. My last name is hyphenated, J-a-n-v-e-j-a. I live at 50708 Glades Court East in Novi. I have brought a couple of other people if you have questions for them. My contractors are here and my neighbor that abuts the property is here as well in case there are questions.

Yes?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. Are you an attorney?

MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: I am not.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Okay. You saw the procedure. Do you swear to tell the truth in this case?

MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: I do.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you very much. MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
Please go ahead and proceed. An you mentioned that you would like to speak some other people as well in this case.

MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Well, I just wanted to let you know they're here. My adjacent neighbor --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. You can bring them to the podium also if they want to speak.

MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Okay. It's if you have questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, yeah. Let them come.

MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Okay. So Juliet Medvecky is my adjacent neighbor. He property abuts my property so she is the one that would be staring at this variance. And then Andrew Dewey and Tony McCormick of D\&M Construction are here if they're -they would be installing the fence. So I don't know that they have any prepared statements, but just in
case you had some questions for them, they're here.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. Okay. Definitely. Thank you so much. You can proceed what we can do for tonight, we can help you on that.

MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Okay. Awesome.
So this was in the packet. This is a rendering of the house, the pool, the pool house that will eventually be built and then the hot tub area. What I'm here to talk about is this fence line here. This is the proposed location. I have a couple of other pictures I'll show you. If we were to go with the variance which is a 30-foot setback from the sidewalk, it would be right here. And we live -- we have a corner lot. This is a dead-end street here, Laurel Drive. There's one other house which is Julie's house on this side. Two houses across the street. So this is a dead-end and we're on a corner so we are subject to a side yard setback.

I would like to request a ten foot variance. So instead of 30 feet, a 20 foot, so that we -- primarily for three reasons, one is for usage to allow us to fully use the property in the back as one inclusive property. Second reason is safety. I do have young children. We have a lot of young children in the neighborhood. I want to enclose the area. If they are in the pool, \(I\) don't want them running out and
in and out and in to use the rest of the yard. If there is a sprinkler or if they're doing water balloons or any other use of the yard, I would rather they just be safe and kind of in one area. And then the third reason is aesthetics. And I'm just going to show you a picture I just took today.

This is not in your packet, but it will just show you what it looks like. So this is like from the driveway. And the 30 -foot setback is right here where this Nerf, this water gun is. It's right there. And by taking it to 20, it puts it to here.

These evergreens are about maybe 16
feet high right now. We planted them eight years ago. My goal would be to have the fence kind of at the 20 foot line here. It will be obscured by these evergreens. Instead of cutting through the yard here and then attaching to the house over here. So from a look standpoint, that's not the main reason, it's the third reason. But I do think even just driving around the house and you can see the dead-end there, it just would allow us to use this space. It will be safer and then \(I\) think it would actually look nicer up against the other landscaping, instead of cutting through and kind of cutting our side yard in half there.

And Juliet, so she did not -- I don't
think you sent in the paper, but she is -- this is her house right here. That's her house right there, so she --

MS. MEDVECKY: Hello. So my name is Juliet Medvecky. And as Saloni said, that's my house in the back. We're the only home that actually has an address on Laurel Drive.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Excuse me.

MS. MEDVECKY: Yeah.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Are you --

MS. MEDVECKY: I'm not an attorney and

I swear to tell the truth. Thank you.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you very much.

MS. MEDVECKY: Juliet, J-u-l-i-e-t.

Last name is Medvecky, M-e-d as in dog, -v-e-c-k-y.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, please go ahead.

MS. MEDVECKY: Okay. Thank you. So, yes, we are the only home that has a Laurel Drive address on that side of the road. And I just wanted to go on the record to say that we approve the variance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank
you.
MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: And I just have one -- I just was going to put the plot plan up which
is also in the packet \(I\) submitted, but just so everyone could get a visual.

So the yellow is the proposed fence. Everything else here is -- I came and talked to someone here to fully understand where the boundaries were. We have a conservation easement up there. So this is really where we're looking to put it. The setback is here. We're looking for an extra ten feet here. This is the edge of the sidewalk, this is the sidewalk, and then there's grass and then there's the street here.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any
other thing you would like to add tonight?
MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: No, I don't think
so. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much and good presentation.

And coming to the correspondence, secretary.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: 17 letters were mailed. It says three returned, three approvals. There are actually four here and four approvals.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
From the city?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city.
\(\square\)

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Anybody would like to speak on the public?

Okay. Looks like none.
Okay. Anybody would like to speak on
the board?
Okay, Dr. Sanghvi.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and visited your place a couple of days ago.

MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Oh, Okay.
MEMBER SANGHVI: It's not easy place to find with the road constructions and everything. But it's a beautiful neighborhood tucked away which people don't know about and you have a great home. And I can understand the need for a fence when you have a swimming pool 'cause it's a great liability. People can come and get into trouble and you may be liable for all of that thing.

Considering everything, the beautiful home, the beautiful neighborhood, the beautiful presentation and your application and everything, it's all very well done so I want to commend you for doing that. And I am in wholehearted support of your request for the variance for your fence. Thank you.

MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Thank you very
much. I appreciate that.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Dr. Sanghvi.
Any other board member?
Okay. Looks like none. And it's time for the motion.

MEMBER LONGO: I'd like to move that we grant the variance in the case number PZ22-0023, sought by Saloni and for the exterior side yard setback because the petitioner has shown a practical difficulty in needing more space to move around the pool, in and around the pool for safety, particularly with small people. Young people, I should say.

Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the property because the fence would cut much of the side yard almost in half as she says.

The property is unique because the lot is oddly shaped, as you can see up above. And the house was purchased -- put on that piece of property.

The relief granted is not
unreasonably -- would not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent or surrounding properties because the side of the fence setback is still 20 feet back from the sidewalk, much more than that from the street and still
back from -- much farther back than the street -- from the street.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because the fence is well back from the sidewalk and seems to be a very attractive addition.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi and Michael Longo.

MS. WAGNER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. WAGNER: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member McLeod?

MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. WAGNER: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA:

Congratulations. Thank you.
MS. JANVEJA-ROESER: Thank you. And I
just wanted to thank you all. I had to make a few visits to your department here and talk to several people and every time \(I\) came in, everyone was super kind, took the time to explain the process to me, sat with me, made sure \(I\) understood it, followed up and returned my calls and it was just a very pleasant experience. So thank you all for that.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: We appreciate. Thank you so much.

And last case tonight, PZ22-0024,
Nowak and Fraus Engineers, 24295 Haggerty Road, Northwest corner of the Ten Mile and Haggerty Road, Parcel 50-22-24-476-030. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.10.3.A to allow two overhead doors to face a major thoroughfare, Ten Mile Road. This property is zoned General Business, B-3.

Is the applicant is present?
Yeah. Please come to the podium and spell your first and last name clearly for our secretary for the Court record. And our secretary will take the oath on this case. Thank you.

MR. LONGHURST: Good evening. My name
is Jason Longhurst. I'm with Nowak and Fraus. With me tonight.

MR. BURLEY: My name is Shane Burley and I'm with Studio Detroit architects.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Are either one of
you a lawyer?
MR. LONGHURST: No.
MR. BURLEY: No.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Do you swear to tell
the truth in this case?
MR. BURLEY: I do.
MR. LONGHURST: I swear.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so
much. And please proceed in a way we can help you tonight in this case. You can present.

MR. BURLEY: Okay. We are here with a request for variance for two overhead doors which are essential to operating an automotive dealership business. Currently this site is a former Jaguar dealership which has been vacant for a number of years. Lithia Motors purchased this property and we have gone through Planning Commission to get approval for a new Audi store. What we're talking about here is these two overhead doors are the requested variance.
(Document displayed.)
MR. BURLEY: And what this is with automotive dealership, you'll often have service drives where when you need service, you pull in off the street and you need to be able to get in and access the in service * who then look over your car and you perform all those functions.

What's interesting about this case is we actually have a corner lot on, you know, two major thoroughfares and the \(L\) shape parcel also presents a lot of difficulty with trying to -- there really is no facade that I can do a pull through service drive on. So we are very limited on that nature.

I would also like to point out, the existing Jaguar dealership, you can kind of see they had two doors already and they are in approximately the same location that we're looking to replace with a new building.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Would you like to add to that?
MR. LONGHURST: No. I have nothing to add to that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank
you. And, Secretary, correspondence -- the city, Larry?

that's there. But the same entry points, the same exit points. We are adhering to all setbacks. It's really two car -- two lanes wide and three vehicles deep. MEMBER MONTAGUE: Okay. Yeah. 'Cause

I went by and saw the doors as they were so if it's there, you're on a busy street, you got to find the service when you go to a dealer, so.

MR. BURLEY: It's really important to the business, so.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yeah. So, like, I would be in support.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.

MEMBER LONGO: Thank you. Clift said what I had to say. Thank you.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you
very much.

Okay. Jay, you wanted to speak?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Very similar
questions.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. All
three are on the same page. Maybe you prepared. Thank you.

Anybody would like to speak?
\(\square\)

MEMBER SANGHVI: I just want to say I have been around and I have seen that place umpteen times and it's a very beautiful corner lot that you bought and I was surprised they closed the other one. But maybe there were business reasons. And I understand because of the whole configuration of that corner really has changed since they built the Jaguar, and now you are coming in there so you will have a common entrance for the rest of the businesses to get into your property.

MR. BURLEY: We do. We had a shared access drive with the neighbor to the west.

MEMBER SANGHVI: So there's no other way you can move your -- those other than facing one of the roads.

MR. BURLEY: Correct.

MEMBER SANGHVI: So I understand your problem and I wholehearted support you. Thank you.

MR. BURLEY: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I would like to ask what kind of business is this in this building is going to be?

MR. BURLEY: Automotive dealership. So sales and service for Audi.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, Audi.

Okay. Thank you.
Anybody would like to speak before going to the motion on the board?

Looks like none.
Okay. Linda, it's your time.
MEMBER KRIEGER: For case PZ22-0024,
Nowak and Fraus Engineers, 24295 Haggerty Road, Northwest corner of Ten Mile and Haggerty, I move to grant the request from the petitioner because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty. Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to use of the property because the site has two main roads, Haggerty and Ten Mile.

The property is unique because it was already a dealership and the building footprint is placed, although they'll be putting a new one up, the area is still unique in that regard.

The petitioner did not create the condition because of its two frontages.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because Haggerty and Ten Mile mostly thoroughfare for vehicles and not residential. And the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because they're minimizing the -- minimizing
the -- their requested requirement.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Second. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

Thank you, Linda, and thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.

MS. WAGNER: Chairperson Peddiboyina?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.

MS. WAGNER: Member Krieger?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes

MS. WAGNER: Member Longo?

MEMBER LONGO: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member McLeod?

MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member Montague?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member Sanghvi?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Member Thompson?

MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.

MS. WAGNER: Motion passes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

And --

MR. BURLEY: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.

Congratulations.

And before going to other matters, any

\section*{}
other matters? Nothing?

And before \(I\) adjourn the meeting, all

THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anybody nay?

No? Okay. Today's adjourned.
(At 8:04 p.m., meeting adjourned.)
- \(\quad-\)
- -
```

in favor say aye.
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