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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. It's seven o'clock. Good evening, everybody, and welcome to the Novi City Zoning Board of Appeals. The meeting is held electronically as authorized by the Open Meetings Act, MCL 15.261, ET SEQ., as amended. Members of the public body and members of the public may participate electronically.

And welcome to all the board members. The time is 7:00 p.m.

Okay. And Pledge of Allegiance, our Pledge of Allegiance, no. Roll call ...

The roll call, Katherine.
MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. As a reminder, you'll have to state the city, county and state you're speaking from.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Linda Krieger, Novi, Michigan, Oakland County.

over before.
Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Novi, Oakland County, Michigan.

MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Public hearing and the Format and Rules of Conduct. Approval of the agenda?

MEMBER SANGHVI: So moved.
MEMBER VERMA: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, both of you. And approval of the agenda is done. And ... MEMBER KRIEGER: We have to all approve it or deny it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I'm sorry?
MEMBER KRIEGER: We all approve or deny it, though.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
MEMBER KRIEGER: So all say "Aye," or -CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Aye, everybody? THE BOARD: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. The approval of the agenda is approved. And is our
board enough for a quorum, Kathy? Do you have enough for quorum?

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. We have a quorum. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. The agenda is done and let's move to public remarks.

Anyone have anything apart from what we have on the agenda meeting today, other than the cases? Something?

The ZBA, you can come to the -- I mean, raise your -- on the Zoom call, raise your hand. Can you see anybody apart from the meeting agenda? Anybody is raising their hand, Kathy?

MS. OPPERMAN: No. No one is raising their hand at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Well, thank you so much and nothing else. And for today the total number of cases is four.

Am I right, Kathy?
MS. OPPERMAN: If I can, you have to approve the meetings from last month also.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Okay.
January meetings, approval of the agenda and you have that one also. Anybody, can you approve for
the agenda, the January 2021 meeting minutes, please?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair, I just would like to make an amendment in the minutes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I want to correct on page 83, line 7, what is typed is "their". It should read "that."

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Kathy, do
you have -- be acting secretary for us, tonight?
MS. OPPERMAN: Pardon?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Mav Sanghvi
mentioned -- Member Mav Sanghvi's request, can you look into that?

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. I've noted it down. I'll make that correction when $I$ 'm in the office on Thursday.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. And apart from that, any other things you want to move on for the agenda of the meeting approval?

MEMBER SANGHVI: I make a motion to approve the minutes as amended.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Anybody have any objections apart from Member Mav Sanghvi?

Say "Aye."
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays, please? (No response.)

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Approval of the agenda -- the meeting minutes were approved for January. Thank you.

Okay. Total number of cases we have tonight is four cases. Am I right, Kathy?

MS. OPPERMAN: Correct. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Let's move to the first case. PZ20-0066, Margaret Beller, 1235 South Lake Drive, west of Old Novi Road and north of 13 Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-03-332-018. The applicant is requesting the variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 5.11 to allow the extension of a decorative fence to the front property line on one side of the lot, a six foot fence to the front of the house and a four-foot feet rail fence to the lot line. The fence shall not extend toward the front of the property nearer than the
minimum front yard setback distance by code. This property is zoned single family residence, $R-4$.

Is the applicant present?
MS. BELLER: Yes, I'm here.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. You can come to the podium. You can speak out and you can tell your first and last name for the court record first. And you can present.

MS. BELLER: Margaret Beller, B-e-l-l-e-r.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. Go ahead, please.

MS. BELLER: I've put up a privacy fence from the back of my lot.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Hold on. Before you go ...

Secretary, can you take the oath, please?
MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. Mrs. Beller, can you please swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case before you?

MS. BELLER: Oh, yes, I do.
MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Please move. You can proceed, please.

this since my husband passed. So unless you would like specifics besides just that it's for privacy, security and peace of mind, I would prefer to leave it at that and let you make the decision.

I know that other people have put up a fence on South Lake Drive completely enclosing their front yard, which I'm not asking. I just need it on this side.

Novi Fence is going to put it in and it will be two inches on to my property line. We found a medallion on the back and you have a copy of my plat so you can see it. Basically, I really, unless you actually need specifics, $I$ would prefer not to go into them because they're very emotional for me. The last contact I had with the people were the wife yelling at me to get off my fat something and fix my house. And I didn't know what she meant and she repeated it and her husband came out and his only response is, "Call the cops."

Which I'm an educated woman. I taught school for 40 years. You don't call the police unless somebody is calling you names. Unless you're in middle school and I'm not. So I'm doing this fence as a
deterrence so that I do not have to have any contact. And that -- and the privacy fence up to that point and then the smaller fence, $I$ think would be exactly what I need.

Does anybody need any other explanation? I really need this fence is what it is.

MEMBER KRIEGER: You can talk regarding the -- the distance between the -- the measurements?

MS. BELLER: Well, see, the person who knew that is no longer with me. So it's exactly on -- what I want is -- do you have a copy of the plat line? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yup.
MS. BELLER: Okay. If you look in the back, if you look at this third layer -- okay?

If you look at the back, it'll say this is where the fence starts. Well, you really can't see the bump-out on my house. I'm sure you got the big one, too.

Well, wait a minute. This should tell me how much. That's what I said. I don't even know if I know how to do this. Ordinance tried to to help me, but you know, it's not their job to babysit me. I think it
would be an extra five feet of a six-foot fence and you would have to tell me where -- or they would -- the fence would tell me where the setback is.

Brian seems to know all the rules in Novi. He's the one that owns Novi Fence and he's the one that told me, he said, "I will not put your fence on your property line, even though I could." He said, "We don't do that. We put it at two-inch setback so there's no question."

And like I said, we found the medallion and we'd stay right on the plat line. So I -- except for just saying it's a hardship and I am 74, we've lived -my husband and I lived in Novi for almost 50 years. We lived in the south end and then we moved here. And I plan on living here the rest of my life, which will be a really long time.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Very good.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank you, Linda.

Margaret, anything you want to add apart from that?

MS. BELLER: It's hard for me to go into the details of everything that they have done that warrants
this fence because it's very emotional and I'm not ready to -- I'm dealing with this by putting up a fence because I do not want to have contact with them. They have done -- I had a -- I put in a dry river bed so that the water wouldn't go on their property and they put stones in it and they put dirt in it to block it up. So now there is no more river bed.

I can't fight. I can't argue with them if they're going to keep -- you know, they're trying to destroy something and tell me I have to fix it. So the fence went up.

Because I talked to Maureen and Brian at Ordinance. The engineer came over. I got -- dotted all my Is and crossed all my $T s$ as far as getting everything. You should have pictures of what the fence looks like and also what their fence looked like behind it.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay.
MS. BELLER: Okay. I just really need this fence. And I don't know how much more I can say. I mean, if you have a question you would like to ask me, I will answer.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, we'll come
back to that point, Margaret.
MS. BELLER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anybody apart from you? Anybody who would like to speak on behalf of you on this case, tonight? You are the only one person?

MS. BELLER: I am -- sad to say, that I am only one person now, yes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Sorry.
Thank you so much, Margaret. I appreciate your presentation. It's excellent. And I can understand your request. And let me see.

The City, any comment from the City? Larry?
MR. BOULARD: A couple of questions, Mr.
Chairman, if $I$ could, for the applicant?
MS. BELLER: Sure.
MR. BOULARD: So, first, are there any utilities along the property line?

MS. BELLER: No.
MR. BOULARD: No drainage between the lots or nothing --

MS. BELLER: As far as $I$ know, there is no drainage.

MR. BOULARD: Okay. And the second question
is certainly there's ways to -- you know, there's ways that the City provides for the police and so on to deal with the situation where you feel threatened and, of course, you never want that to be the case. I guess the question is how does the situation -- your situation apply to the criteria for granting a variance; the physical circumstances, the uniqueness of the property, you know, things like that? Could you maybe speak to that?

MS. BELLER: Yes, I can try. Since my house has technically, you know, two corners, because it has a bump-out and I was advised by the City and also by the fence people, "Just go to the corner of your house. Do not go up there. Go and ask for a variance and the chances are they will give it to you and then you will have done it legally." Which I've never done anything illegal anyway.

It blocks -- it also -- they have a hard time understanding where the property line is and they put things like the stones and the dirt and all of the things that they put on my property. And I'm trying to delineate a property line so that there's no question in anybody's mind where you belong and where I belong.

Did that help? Or make it just more confusing?

MR. BOULARD: I guess I'm looking for the -you know, normally a variance is based on some things that are unique about the property, right? And so I'm looking for things that are unique in terms of the shape of the property. I know your house sits, I think, part way into the setback and that is certainly something -- the fence is allowed to go there, but --

MS. BELLER: Yeah.
MR. BOULARD: -- are there any other unique situations and so on. So ...

MS. BELLER: See, I'm not quite sure what a unique situation is. When this house was built -- and I didn't build it. I would never have built this house like this. It was built four feet higher than the houses on both sides.

And we're on a watershed. Lake Shawood with that marsh is back there and there's a lake across the street. Did no one think that there would be a moat here? The water comes down. And when we first moved in, we would look out and there would be water on four sides, and that's not fair to your neighbors. Because
my water goes that way. And that's why I put the dry riverbed in and it works. But it doesn't work well enough for them and I don't know what to do.

So I don't know -- I guess, I don't understand what -- you know, I have a setback. I sit far back from the street. They have put up a structure that is eight foot tall and goes way past my house. So ...

MR. BOULARD: I guess -- and I'll end it here.

MS. BELLAR: Okay.
MR. BOULARD: So if there are violations or issues that should be --

MS. BELLER: Then we'll take care of those later.

MR. BOULARD: Yes. We'll deal with those.
MS. BELLER: Yes.
MR. BOULARD: I guess I'm -- I'm challenging myself to match the things that you're saying with --

MS. BELLER: What I'm asking for --
MR. BOULARD: -- the criteria for a variance that says that strict compliance with the regulations would be unnecessarily burdensome.

MS. BELLER: Well, since my house, technically, has two actual corners -- I mean, it has a fist (ph) and then there's a bump-out. So that could be a -- I really thought I could bring it out to where the porch or where the living room goes out on to the porch. Not on to the porch, but out. It bumps out. So I do, technically, have two corners of the house.

If we're looking for a reason and if -- see, I really would like both the six-foot fence and then the split rail fence. If it comes down to choices, I don't know which I would choose.

MR. BOULARD: I think ...
MS. BELLER: I don't know -- see, like I said, the person who would know the answers to these questions isn't here anymore. He took care of all of the things that ever needed to be taken care of. He understood that. I'm not a foolish person, but I just never paid attention. And that's -- you know, my ignorance is not your problem.

MR. BOULARD: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you.

MS. BELLER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank
you, Larry (sic).
And thank you, Margaret.
For our acting secretary tonight, Katherine, any correspondence tonight on this case, please?

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. There were 30 letters sent out. None returned, one approval, one objection and then one sort of approval. You'll see when I ...

The first approval is from Susan Ashisaka (ph). It's just a simple circled approval.

And the kind of in between one is from the Duchesneaus. And they have a couple of properties over there so they sent back a few of them. I'll read it all off. They say that the left and right neighbors should have the largest say, east side neighbors close to the property line, and if both next door neighbors don't have any objections, then they would approve of the variance requests.

And then the objection is from Patty --
MS. BELLER: Those are my neighbors. The Maniewskis.

MS. OPPERMAN: M-a-n-i-e-w --
MS. BELLER: The Maniewskis.
MS. OPPERMAN: -- -s-k-i. And they write, "In
regards to the fencing, the answer is no. There is a French drain that runs down the property line and east to west to drain our lots. This was installed by the City of Novi."

And thinking they could damage the drain and flood their lots presently. They say she is pumping her sump pump on their lot and --

MS. BELLER: That's not true.
MS. OPPERMAN: -- and takes no responsibility for actions.

MS. BELLER: That's not true.
(Court reporter clarification.)
MS. BELLER: I know how to spell it.
MS. OPPERMAN: The last name is spelled M as Mary, A as in apple, $N$ as in Nancy, I as in Igloo, E as in Edward, $W$ as in Whiskey, $S$ as in Sky, $K$ as in Kite, I as in Igloo.

MS. BELLER: Can I respond to any of that? Am I allowed to respond to any of that?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Not right now, honey.
MS. BELLER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Katherine, any other things?

MS. OPPERMAN: No. That's all of it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much for your time.

And Margaret, I really appreciate your presentation and the way you are requesting and the privacy and all. And let me put it on my board members and let them come up with what they want to and what they discuss.

And it's open for the board. Anybody would like to speak on this case tonight, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Did you build the bump-out on your house?

MS. BELLER: No. It was built there. It was part of the original house.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. And then you want the --

MS. BELLER: It's the style of the home.
MEMBER KRIEGER: And you want the fence -the privacy fence up to that point and then continue the fence up to the front of the house?

MS. BELLER: But I want it north and south. It won't run east and west by their -- it won't go near the French drain.

MEMBER KRIEGER: It'll be on your property? MS. BELLER: Yes.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. That's all that matters.

MS. BELLER: Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: But then the six foot fence will extend $u p$ to the front property and then you want a different kind of fence all the way to the sidewalk?

MS. BELLER: Well, not all the way to the sidewalk, all the way to the -- what do you call -- the setback.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Setback.
MS. BELLER: It would not go all the way to the sidewalk.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Linda. I'm sorry. I apologize for the board. I forgot to call the audience.

Anybody would like to speak on this case, please?

MS. OPPERMAN: There's no one raising their hand for this case.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Nobody is.
Thank you so much. Linda, you can continue.

Thank you so much.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. And then the house is positioned, you said, you bought it and then the way they built it, it's a little bit higher than the neighboring sides and its position on the property and the topography is all as it was when you bought it?

MS. BELLER: Absolutely.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Thank you. That's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda. Any other board member would like to speak on this case, please.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. Member Mav, please go ahead, sir.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I came there yesterday and visited this site and looked around and went in the other side. And I can understand the predicament of the applicant and I have no difficulty in supporting her variance request. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, so much, Member Mav.

And any other board member would like to
speak on this case, please?
MEMBER SANKER: Yeah, I would like to make just a few comments.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Go ahead. MEMBER SANKER: I think -- I appreciate what Margaret said and the presentation she put together. I think based off the conversation with Charles and then coupled with her application she submitted, I'm not seeing a practical difficulty. And it looks like based off what she said and the application said, she's using the fence as sort of a divider instead of, you know ...

And I guess she's also trying to use it as sort of a way to keep her neighbors off the lot. But I don't think --

MEMBER KRIEGER: She did say safety. Sorry.

MEMBER SANKER: No. And I appreciate that. It's just there was no specific thing about the topography or the layout or the lot size or pretty much anything unique about the property that seems to make sense to grant this variance that puts a fence, you know, far out into the front yard. And I think the
variance was designed to not have a fence out in the front yard like this.

And without any sort of unique aspects of the property to create a hardship that would allow us to grant the variance, $I$ can't see a reason to grant it. So that's my piece on it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanker.

Any other board member who would like to speak, please?

Okay, it looks like seeing none. Okay. It's my turn. And I don't have any objection, Margaret, with your presentation and difficulty. And also my City, Charles, the accommodation and the other board members, the accommodations. I have no objection on this case.

And anybody -- anything you want to speak before closing this case?

Seeing none.
Okay. And Linda, can you make a motion, please?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. For case number PZ20-0066, I move that we grant the request by the
petitioner for the fence, a four-foot rail fence to the lot line sought by the petitioner.

Her practical difficulty: Neighboring areas have delineations of fences, the chain link, and she's not -- hasn't stated about that.

I guess it would be the fence would be aesthetically pleasing to the neighbors on South Lake Drive.

That the petitioner would be unlimited and prevented from -- with respect to her property because of the safety and the security and that the house -the property is unique because, as she stated, it was built higher than the neighboring homes. So for water drainage issues. And the location of the house on the property and the bump-out wasn't created by the person. She bought it that way. So it wasn't self-created.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because other homes in this area have fences as well, even around the front of the whole property. That the neighbors will -- it's a reasonable request that it won't be six feet extending all the way to the sidewalk or setback. That it won't interfere with line of
sight.
The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance. It is a minimum request only being on one side and not surrounding the entire property and is similar to other homes in this area.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank
you, Member Linda and Member Sanghvi.
Any other discussion on this case, please?
Looks like seeing none.
All right. Katherine, can you please roll call?

MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. Member Verma? MEMBER VERMA: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker?

MEMBER SANKER: No.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: No.
MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes five to two.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Congratulations, Margaret, and good luck.
MS. BELLER: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSPON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. That
brings us to the next case. PZ20-001, David and Molly
Armstrong, 43824 Westridge Lane, west of Novi Road and south of Nine Mile Road, parcel number

50-22-34-277-008. The applicant is requesting
variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance,
Section 3.1 .5 for a 15 -foot exterior side yard setback, 30 feet required. Variance of 15 feet.

This variance would accommodate the building of a new garage addition. This property is zoned single family residence, $R-4$.

Is the applicant present?
MS. ARMSTRONG: Yes. We're both here.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, there. Thank
you. And you can spell your first and last name and take the oath for our acting secretary, Katherine, and
talk slowly and not take too much time. We are on a limited time. Please proceed.

MS. ARMSTRONG: Okay. The last name is
Armstrong spelled $A-r-m-s-t-r-o-n-g$.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other person would like to speak? You're the only one.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. I'm here as well.
This is David Armstrong. Same last name. And I think our architect, Joshua Dee, might be joining us as well.

MR. DEE: Yes. My name is Josh Dee. Do I need to state the city and location, then, as well? MS. OPPERMAN: No. Just spell your name, please.

MR. DEE: Okay. Dee, D-e-e. D as in Delta, E as in Edward, E as in Edward.

MS. OPPERMAN: I'll need each of you to swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case.

MR. DEE: Yes.
MRS. ARMSTRONG: Yes.
MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. David and Molly, you can proceed with your case and you can present what all you have, any slides also. And it's
all yours now. Go ahead, please, the three of you.
MRS. ARMSTRONG: Okay. Well, thank you. I just want to say thank you for your time and just give you a very, very high-level homeowner point of view. We moved in in about 2014 and -- well, we did move in during 2014. And we noted at that time that the current garage was in rough shape and knew that eventually we would need to do something to fix it. And we're kind of at that point now where we're looking at rebuilding the garage. And it can't accommodate two modern vehicles as it is today, as the footprint is today.

We live off a fairly busy street and so parking out overnight on the street is in my opinion not the safest thing to do and it's also pretty dark out there. So we're definitely not comfortable leaving a car out overnight. And then, actually, after we moved in, probably about six months after we moved into the home in '14 we bought a new car. About a month after having the car we came out one morning and the tires had been stolen. So the car was propped up on blocks and it was not a great site especially after not being here, you know, that long and especially it being
a new car.
So we would like to be able to accommodate two modern-sized vehicles. You know, if we're going to put up the new garage, it just would be really great to have that.

MR. DEE: Yeah. I think if I can share my screen, too, that would better show the current situation and then what we're proposing to do.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. Go ahead. (Document displayed.)

MR. DEE: So on the right there's the current plot plan of what this is right now, and then on the left there is the street view. So the area in question is this garage, which is sort of obscured by this tree that, you know, kind of has this flat roof. It doesn't drain very well. It has a door that is actually less than standard height currently so, like Molly said, you can't get a modern vehicle in. It's also actually fairly narrow as well. So to be able to park vehicles side-by-side just doesn't work as, you know, getting vehicles in and being able to open doors and get out of them is a real issue right now.

So that's one of the things that prompted the
project. What we're proposing is a garage with -- it will have a new, gable roof. But $I$ think a lot of the area in question is that we're actually growing the footprint of it two feet in the west direction. So we're maintaining this south base which is currently 15 feet from the property line.

And to see what this looks like architecturally, we're going with more of a gable roof structure with a dormer which matches the, I think more, you know, New England cape cod type homes in the neighborhood. It drains. It functions better and would be more aesthetically pleasing than the current situation, which is a flat roof and it's fairly uncommon.

And as Molly said, you know, just getting the vehicles off the street, because there's a sidewalk out here and relatively narrow space between the door and the sidewalk. Hopefully, it would be a thing that would be good and benefit the neighborhood and improve the walkability with the architecture definitely being sensitive to the existing surroundings. You know, with having the gable face east and west as opposed to south because that makes the overall volume of the garage
less -- you know, it's less dominant and more subordinate to the existing structure of the house and surrounding neighbors.

So having the fascia slope down towards the street with a lower fascia as opposed to facing the gable just, you know, creates a more friendly pathway for people to walk. They're not, you know, walking and seeing this imposing structure in the distance. And then it kind of upgrades with the current situation there now.

So I don't know if anybody has any questions or anything or wants to see more. I have other photos in the model which I can, you know, present if you guys have other questions or need to see other views or anything like that.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Josh. We'll come back on to the questions and answers later.

MR. DEE: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other things you would like to add? Anything you, both? Anything you want to add, anybody?

MR. ARMSTRONG: No. I think they summed it up pretty well. When we decided that we wanted to do
something -- you know, I had actually wanted to come out even closer to the street and Josh had advised us that we're already on like a -- I don't know if it's current -- an older variance or whatever. So he recommended against that. So we tried to stay within what is already there.

We're only trying to go just a little bit wider so we can get with the modern times here. So we're definitely not trying to, you know, overdo it here or anything like that.

CHAIRPERSON KRIEGER: Okay. Thank you, David and Molly.

Okay. Katherine, any other audience raising their hand? Can you see anybody?

MS. OPPERMAN: No one is raising their hand for this case.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much. I appreciate, Katherine.

And okay. Coming to the City. Charles, are you there?

MR. BOULARD: Just one question, if I might, Mr. Chairman, of the applicant.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.

MR. BOULARD: The new larger garage, obviously, extends further back to the north than the existing because you're holding the south face even. Is there a reason that -- is there a particular reason that you weren't able to move that even farther to the north to lessen the variance?

MR. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. Well, we have a sliding door that leads off of our kitchen out on to our deck. So we're going back as far as we can before we interfere with that.

MRS. ARMSTRONG: Yeah.
MR. BOULARD: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Charles. I appreciate it.

Correspondence, Katherine? Acting secretary, any correspondence tonight for this case, please?

MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. OPPERMAN: There were 42 letters sent, one returned, one approval from a Mark Stern, S-t-e-r-n, and no objections.
(Court reporter clarification.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, thank you,

Katherine, I appreciate.
And, yeah, looks I've visited your property two days back. I see that now you have practical difficulty and you expressed your thought. You know, on the issue what happened to your car also and all these practical difficulties for you. And about my City, Charles's, accommodation.

And putting all these things to my board and I'm open to the board members to speak on this case tonight.

Anybody who would like to ask any questions on this case, board members, on this case?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Member Mav Sanghvi, just go ahead, sir.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and saw this place yesterday. It's a corner lot. They have a fire hydrant which is not too far from there and they also have some very nice trees there. My only question is what are they going to do with the trees?

MR. ARMSTRONG: I can answer you on that.
I'm a -- I get very irritated whenever trees are cut down in this neighborhood. It makes me insane. So I
want to -- we might have to do a little bit of trimming, which we probably would have to do anyway, but I don't want to remove any of the trees. Except for possibly that pine tree which, you know, it's kind of leaning towards the house anyway and it's too close. If we remove that, I would like to replace it with something better anyway. But I love these trees.

MRS. ARMSTRONG: Yeah.
MR. ARMSTRONG: This is why we want to live in this neighborhood. So I promise you we're not wanting to take down any trees at all.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I have no difficulty in granting their request. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanghvi. You asked my question. That was the same question I had. That's good.

Any other board would like to speak on this case, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes, please.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
MR. MONTAGUE: I would like to thank them for maintaining the current location of that and we should note that they did maintain that location and it is

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Montague.

Any other board member would like to speak on this case, please?

MR. SANKER: Yeah. I had a question about where on -- well, first, thanks for that presentation. It's very helpful.

And then, if you can go back to the site plan. I thought the variance was requesting the south, I guess, the road the architect was cruising down on the Google map. Is that the variance setback or is it, like, backyard, from the backyard?

MR. DEE: I can share my screen again, if you want to see.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, I appreciate
it. One more time for my members.
MR. DEE: Yup. So because it's a corner
lot --
MEMBER SANKER: Okay, yeah.
MR. DEE: -- and we're requesting to work in
right here.
MEMBER SANKER: Oh, yeah.
MR. DEE: So there's more than 35 feet from the rear lot line to where we're proposing.

MEMBER SANKER: Okay. And that is considered the backyard, right?

MR. DEE: Yeah. So this is kind of considered the rear yard.

MEMBER SANKER: You have the two front yards?
MR. DEE: Yeah, right. So this is Westridge and then this is Gallway.

MEMBER SANKER: And the side yard's set back?
MR. DEE: Yeah.
MEMBER SANKER: And did you build this house or did you buy it already built?

MR. ARMSTRONG: It was built in 1970, I
think. There's been -- that garage, I think, was an addition at some point in the past.

There's been -- you know, there's another -that other bump-out in the back of the house, I think, where we're sitting right now, that was an addition at one point in time. But, yeah, the original house was built in 1970 and we bought it as it was.

Any other board member?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes. This is Ramesh Verma.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, Member Verma. Please go ahead.

MEMBER VERMA: Since he's extending it, the house was built in 1970, did his architect tell him about asbestos and other things in the existing wall?

MR. ARMSTRONG: Well, yeah, that -- I think we talked about that. The garage that would be removed and replaced, that's newer than 1970, though. I have nothing in our records that would tell us when it was built, but that was an addition well after the original home was constructed.

MEMBER VERMA: The original home was constructed in 1970 and the garage was added?

MRS. ARMSTRONG: Correct.
MR. ARMSTRONG: Correct.
MEMBER VERMA: But you don't have a record of when it was built?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I can barely remember, but I can remember that.

MRS. ARMSTRONG: (Laughter) No way. Yeah, I don't -- we haven't found anything here that would tell us when that was added. It definitely was because we have an extra living room.

MEMBER KRIEGER: '80s. Probably in the '80s.

MRS. ARMSTRONG: Probably.
MR. ARMSTRONG: And there's no insulation or anything in that garage now. It's just some drywall that's peeling off. It's just a mess.

MEMBER VERMA: Well, whenever doing the construction, we will check about those type of things.

MRS. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. Thank you. That's a good point. Yup.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Ramesh.

Any other board member? (No response.)

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Looks Like seeing none. It's motion time. Member Sanker?

MEMBER SANKER: Yes. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ21-0001 sought by the petitioners for the 15 -foot side yard setback because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring the variance.

Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because they won't be able to have a normal garage that can fit two modern day cars.

The property is unique because it's a corner lot and they purchased the property with the house already built on it and it was situated close to the side yard. The petitioner did not create the condition because they purchased it with the house on there. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent or surrounding properties because the garage pretty much already sits where it's going to end up and no neighbors objected to the current garage site.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and
the intent of the ordinance because the petitioners will be able to update the house to a more modern time and it is, basically, the least amount of variance they need to accomplish that.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Thank you, Linda and thank you, Member
Sanker. Any other discussion on this case?
MEMBER SANGHVI: No.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. Seeing
none. Katherine, can you please roll call?
MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thanks.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker?
MEMBER SANKER: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Verma?

MEMBER VERMA: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
MRS. ARMSTRONG: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Congratulations, both of you.
MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And that brings us
to the third case tonight. PZ21-0003, Novi Road Retail
Management, LLC, 26222 Novi Road, east of Novi Road and north of Grand River Avenue, parcel number

50-22-14-352-002. The applicant is requesting the variances from the Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.25.D for a 5.2-foot exterior side yard, south setback, 20 feet required by code. A variance of 14.8 feet. This property is zoned Town Center, TC.

Is the applicant present?
MR. LANDRY: Yes, Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, hello. How are you, sir?

MR. LANDRY: Good.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Can you present what we can help you with and please spell your first and last name for the court record and the secretary will take the vote. Thank you, David.

MR. LANDRY: My name is David Landry, L-a-n-d-r-y, and I represent Novi Road Retail Maintenance, LLC. I'm here tonight with our engineer Mr. Mitchell Harvey and the representative of the owner, Ms. Heather Hanika.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, David. (Court reporter clarification.)

MR. LANDRY: The representative of the developer is Ms. Heather Hanika, H-a-n-i-k-a.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Katherine, are you there?

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes, I am.
MEMBER KRIEGER: You don't have to.

MR. SCHULTZ: No oaths for an attorney. You don't have to. He's already under oath. He's an officer of the court.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I know. Thank you so much.

Okay, you can proceed what we can help you
on, sir.
MR. LANDRY: Thank you. I represent the developer. This is a redevelopment of an existing site that is currently occupied by what was a Fifth Third Bank. This is on the east side of Novi Road between Grand River and I-96. Actually, it's between Crowe Drive and Crescent right next to the Boston Market.

We appeared before the Planning Commission on January 13 and we obtained unanimous approval for our preliminary site plan. Contingent, of course, on receiving a single variance from the ZBA for a decrease in the side yard parking setback. Section 3.1.25.D provides for a 20 -foot setback.

The existing side yard setback -- this is along Crowe Drive. The front is Novi Road. So Crowe Drive would be the side yard. Right now with that Fifth Third Bank, it's only an 8.4 foot setback. We're requesting a 5.2 foot setback. My understanding from reading all the reviews is that the administration supports this due to the narrowness of the site.

This redevelopment includes taking a single use building and replacing it with a multi-use. We're going to have two tenants. We're going to bring it up
close to Novi Road. There would be brick pavers in the front with benches. This is consistent with the TC, Town Center, district, pedestrian walkability. And virtually all of the redevelopment along Novi Road involves taking these buildings and bringing them up. Because what that does is it doesn't make Novi Road seem so large. It brings it in so you don't have this massive road.

So we are consistent with all the other
developments. We specifically mirror the development on the other side of Crowe Drive, which is the Crowe development. I was involved in that in 2017 when that was approved.

We are also eliminating a curb cut onto Novi Road which I think the Planning Commission thought it was important and I did, too. Because right now you've got cars going into the car wash. You've got cars going into Crowe Drive in the Novi Town Center. And right now there's also a curb cut going into the bank. We're eliminating that. So the only way to get into this now is to go into Crowe Drive and then pull into this unit.

We are, along the side yard where we're
requesting this setback, installing a sidewalk, a small brick wall with a wrought iron type fence on top, which will complete the symmetry directly to the south of Crowe Drive. So there will be two sidewalks, two brick walls, two wrought iron type fences.

The decrease in setback is needed because when we bring this building up close to the road, we need this space for parking and also a turning radius for fire vehicles. However, it should also be noted that just like Crowe Drive, which was granted an almost identical variance to the south, the brick wall and the wrought iron type fence will screen the cars. So one of the reasons for the setback is to get the vehicles away from the roadway from the site with that brick wall. And I've attached a couple of photographs to the letter I submitted which show the existing brick wall and cars parked behind it.

Again, this is consistent with the Town Center's theme. Analyzed under the review standards of a variance, this physical condition is unique because this lot is very small for the Town Center. It's 1.17 acres and it is surrounded on three sides by roads.

It's a very unique piece. I think the piece
on the other side of Crowe is the only other piece that small surrounded by three streets.

It's not self-created. We didn't lay the streets out. Strict compliance would not allow us to develop this small-time tenant, pedestrian-friendly with adequate turning radius. It's the minimum variance necessary.

Other developments in the TC district have also been granted variances across the street at Crowe. But also important, in 2011 Wal-Mart was granted a zero variance. So this is the minimum. We're not asking for zero. We're just asking for enough to let us park our cars and turn the fire trucks.

There's no adverse impact on the surrounding area. In fact, we think exactly the opposite. It would enhance it. We're completing the symmetry with the two brick walls going down Crowe Drive and the wrought iron fence eliminating the curb cut. So, again, from everything I've seen, the administration is supporting this. The Planning Commission did.

We're here standing by to answer any questions which the Zoning Board may have. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much,

David Landry. I appreciate your excellent presentation.

Coming to the -- Katherine, any of the audience is raising their hands for comments?

MS. OPPERMAN: No. No audience member is raising their hand.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much.

From the City, Charles?
MR. BOULARD: Nothing to add, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.
Okay. Correspondence, our acting secretary, Katherine, can you please proceed on the correspondence for tonight on this case?

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. There were 32 letters, seven letters returned. No approvals. No objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much.

Okay. And thank you so much, David Landry. I appreciate your excellent presentation, the way you presented. And apart from that, I seen the property also.

And coming to board members, please present
your concern or anything on this case. It's open to the board members.

MEMBER VERMA: Ramesh Verma.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, Member Verma, please go ahead.

MEMBER VERMA: I just wanted to know that, there are some honey locust trees there, three or four of them. So when they are building the wall, what happens to these trees?

MR. LANDRY: Mitchell, can you answer that question? I know we submitted the site plan --

MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I can ...
MR. LANDRY: -- and before the Planning Commission we talked about the trees. We're adding trees, aren't we, Mitchell?

MR. HARVEY: Yes. So right now I think there is four trees out there.

MEMBER VERMA: Yeah.
MR. HARVEY: Those honey locust trees. We're going to be able to save three of them and then we're going to be planting an additional one, two, three trees along there. So we'll replace the one that we're removing and then add two more.

MEMBER VERMA: You're adding where, those two? Which site?

MR. HARVEY: They're along Crowe Drive. That side there.

MEMBER VERMA: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Verma.

Any other board member would like to speak on this case tonight?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Mav, please go ahead, sir.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. Thank you. We know this site. I know how small it is. My only question is what kind of building is going up there?

MR. LANDRY: The site plan that we submitted -- when you say "what kind of building," you're talking about facade?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MR. LANDRY: Well, I thought we submitted -Mr. Harvey may be able to address that.

Did we submit facade samples, Mitch?
MR. HARVEY: Yeah. We submitted them to the

Planning Commission and they were approved. I mean, as far as -- I can give you a high level. I'm not an architect, but it's going to be a lot of stone, brick-veneer type of materials, multi-tone materials, a lot of masonry.

MEMBER SANGHVI: So this area you're going to -- keeping in tune with the surroundings?

MR. HARVEY: Yeah. We're going to be keeping it consistent with the Town Center Boulevard there.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav.
Any other member would like to speak on this case tonight, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes, I would. If I could. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, Member Montague. Please go ahead, sir.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you. I think it's a nice addition to the area. Cutting off that curb cut, I think, is a great idea because that is a messy area. It is very dangerous. So I think we're getting that out of it, plus getting the character around the site. So I am fully in support of this variance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member

Montague.
Any other board anybody would like to speak, please?

MEMBER SANKER: I'll just say something real quick. And basically, just echoing what Clift just said. I think the presentation, you know, clearly was satisfactory as far as showing a practical difficulty and I think that we should do it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanker.

Any other board member?
MEMBER KRIEGER: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda. Thank you so much.

And apart from that, thank you so much, Mitch and David Landry. Excellent presentation. Coming to our board members, they had a couple of questions. You answered everyone very good.

That's a good property and good project. I fully support on this.

And coming to the motion. Member Mav.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay. I move that we grant the variance in the case number PZ21-0003 for Novi Road

Retail Management, LLC for parcel number
50-22-14-352-002. Because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring a variance of 14.8 feet on the south exterior side yard from the Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.25.D, and this property is zoned Town Center.

Without the variance the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented from developing this single-use building into a multi-use property. The property is unique because it is very small and it is surrounded by private and public roads on three sides.

And because of the small size of it, they require the variance on the south side to make it pedestrian friendly access to the Town Center.

The property, as I already mentioned, is unique and is very small. The petitioner did not create this condition, and the minimum variance has been recommended by the Planning Commission.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties and, as a matter of fact, they already detailed this in their application.

And the relief is consistent with the spirit
and intent of the ordinance and this development has multiple benefits and will not reduce any number of parking spots. And this is a win/win situation.

All right. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member
Mav.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Second, Linda.
Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And any other
discussion on this case, please?
Looks like seeing none.
All right. Katherine, can you please roll call?

MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker?
MEMBER SANKER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Mr. David Landry. Congratulations, both of you.
MR. LANDRY: Thank you very much. Thanks to everyone.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Coming to
today's final case, PZ19-0049, Jason St. John, 22190
Beck Road, east of Beck Road and south of Nine Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-33-100-013.

The applicant is requesting an extension to variances previously approved by the Zoning Board of Appeals on 1/14/2020. City of Novi Zoning Code 3.1.2 for a front yard setback of 19 feet, 30 feet allowed, proposed variance is 11 feet; and a rear yard setback of 15 feet, 35 feet allowed. Proposed variance is 20 feet, to accommodate the construction of a new house. This property is zoned single family residence, $R-1$. Is the applicant present, please?

MR. ST. JOHN: Yup. Jason St. John here.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you spell your
first and last name very slowly and our acting secretary will take the oath, if you're not an attorney.

MR. ST. JOHN: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please proceed.

MR. ST. JOHN: First name Jason, J-a-s-o-n. Last name St. John, S-t period space J-o-h-n.

MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you. And do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case before you?

MR. ST. JOHN: I do.
MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Katherine.

Jason, any other members who are speaking on your behalf or you are the only person tonight on this case?

MR. ST. JOHN: It will be just me tonight.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you and proceed on what we can help you with on this case, please, tonight.

MR. ST. JOHN: Sure. So I came before the board -- it was under the previous owner's name -- just over a year ago now as $I$ was in talks to purchase this property. Looking to move a little bit closer to work and love the area, found this place. It made perfect sense since I had been looking for multiple years in the area and unable to find something I'm interested in, in my price range especially. So I came across this.

The one hurdle with this parcel was the fact that the parcel was in existence well before the current zoning was enacted and if we're to follow the current zoning as written for this parcel ...

I'm going to go ahead and share my screen here for a moment just to revisit some of the stuff we talked about.
(Document displayed.)
MR. ST. JOHN: So I'm assuming you can see my screen here. If $I$ was to follow the current zoning for this parcel that was in existence before the zoning, I would be left with a building envelope that is approximately 18 feet deep by 55 feet wide. So I would be able to, basically, build something that appears
much like a single wide trailer. In addition to that, the current zoning would allow me to build a detached garage, which would have to be at least 10 feet away from the home or could be as close as six feet to the rear and side property line.

I, myself, don't particularly desire a home that looks like a -- you know, just a simple rectangle and I would prefer it to, one, not have a detached garage; and two, you know, some uniqueness to the site. Give some more buffer to the neighbors that were there.

So with all these kind of considerations in mind, went out and found a plan that I thought architecturally fit the area better. And so this is fundamentally what the home is going to look like. The only real difference here would be the portion on the left that is shown. The carport would actually be a garage. So I think everybody would agree this fits the area much better than just a simple rectangle box would. You know, nice architectural features.

And so as I was trying to select a plan that would fit the site, this is what we came up with. The home itself I believe this about 1,500 square feet. So I'm not trying to put a, you know, 6,000 square foot
mansion of any sorts on this small parcel. Something that's a reasonably sized home for a reasonable family. And keep with the character of the neighborhood.

The -- let me switch back here for a second. (Document displayed.)

MR. ST. JOHN: So as you can see, you know, the variances that were granted, the 15 feet rear setback and the approximately 20 foot front setback give me a larger distance to the neighboring parcels than actually would be if I was to build to the current zoning ordinance. Because, as I said, I would have to put a detached garage back here. And based on the size and everything, it would be six foot off both property lines and now I'm 25 and 15.

The side setbacks I meet so that wouldn't change anything.

So, basically, with the restrictions that were in place, we probably did the best we could to find something that fit the character of the neighborhood and gave us as much buffer as possible to the neighbors and I think all these factors went into why it was unanimously approved at the meeting a year ago.

Unfortunately, with the state of the world that occurred near maybe a month after I closed on the property and the variance was granted, one, I was concerned that I might lose my job. Being in automotive we seem to be quite cyclical in these kind of things. So I was, one, not really too keen to start a process of this scope; and then, two, as I did get a little bit more comfortable as the year wore on, I reached out to try to get some things in motion as simple as getting somebody to come out and do a new survey. You know, getting the site cleared and things of that nature and the -- one, the backlogs that had ensued from the time that everybody was shut down to just people not being comfortable to work and everything else, even simple things like that $I$ was struggling to get done.

So here I am a year later with a variance that needs to be extended in order to continue on the path that I would like to go down. So that's why I'm before you guys tonight hoping to just extend this variance and not have to go through the process again of bringing it forth for a reapproval. If I did, it would be the exact same thing that $I$, you know, brought
forth last time. There would be no changes. I don't want anything different. I'm just hoping to get some more time with the struggle with the world to get this project rolling.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, thank you, Jason. Anything you want do add-on this?

MR. ST. JOHN: No. I think that's it for me. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much. Any other audience would like to speak? Any other audience raising their hand, Katherine, you see?

Jason, can you take your presentation off, please, from sharing on the screen?

MR. ST. JOHN: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. OPPERMAN: There are no one raising their hand for this case.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.
Okay. From the City, Charles, anything? You would like to talk on this case from the city? MR. BOULARD: Nothing to add other than that this is, indeed -- the conditions of the original request did not change. This is a request simply that is asking for an extension.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Correspondence, Katherine? Any other
correspondence for this case tonight?
MS. OPPERMAN: There is no new correspondence sent out on this case. Per our city attorney because this was just an extension request it did not need to be (audio dropped) ...
(Court reporter clarification.)
MS. OPPERMAN: There is no correspondence sent out for this case. Our city attorney's office confirmed that because this was a simple extension request, and they confirmed that because this was a simple extension, there would not need to be correspondence sent out once more.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank you so much.

Jason, thank you so much for your presentation and thank you for, you know, coming to this case. And let us see how things will go from here. It's open to my board members. So it's open to the board.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. I can verify with Jason on the weekly basis.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, sir.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. I agree with Jason. He's going to have, you know, the problems with getting a builder creates -- people buy the site, it probably pushed him back quite a bit. So I feel for him.

CHAIRPERSON SANGHVI: Okay. Thank you, Member Thompson.

And any other board member would like to speak on this case, please.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Sanghvi, please go ahead, sir.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. I think this is only just an extension for the permit time from what $I$ gather. And I have no problem extending it for another year. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanghvi.

Any other board member, please?
MEMBER KRIEGER: I have a question. The property, is it on Beck Road? So if they widen Beck Road, what happens then? Are we still okay?

MR. SCHULTZ: Through the Chair, the City's
plans to widen or not widen are not really before the board tonight. I think we've addressed the issue when I considered this the first time, maybe a year ago. So you should just consider the existing setbacks and make the determination the way you did last time around. And in fact, this is, you know, as the Chair said, this is just an extension.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Attorney Tom.

Any other board member who would like to speak on this case?

Okay. Looks like seeing none. It's time to motion.

Member Sanker, can you make a motion please?
MEMBER SANKER: Yup. I move we grant the extension to the variance in case number PZ19-0049 sought by the petitioner for the construction of a new house because he's shown the practical difficulty requiring the extension due to COVID-19.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Somebody can make a second, please.

MEMBER THOMPSON: I can second that.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Member
Thompson. Thank you for second.
Any other discussion on this case?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Can we you put an extension for one year, specify one year?

MEMBER SANKER: Can we do a two-year
extension? Because he might have to come back in a year.

MEMBER SANGHVI: All right.
MEMBER SANKER: Can we do that?
MR. SCHULTZ: I'll take a look.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: One second.
MR. SCHULTZ: Charles, do you know if we have
done more than one year before?
MR. BOULARD: I'm not aware of more than a year.

MR. SANKER: We'll just do the year.
MR. BOULARD: I would think, if I understand that section of the ordinance, it would be a year from today's date as opposed to the original date that it would be a year, but I could stand corrected on that.

MEMBER SANKER: Let's make it simple. He can
come back.
MR. SCHULTZ: Yeah. Make it simple for the year. We'll take a look into that.

MEMBER SANKER: Yeah. Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Tom.
And thank you, Charles.
And thank you, Member Sanker.
And thank you, Member Sanghvi.
Okay. Who is making a second?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanghvi.

Any other discussions?
All right. Looks like seeing anyone.
Katherine, please call roll call.
MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker?
MEMBER SANKER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
And congratulations, Jason. Good luck.
That brings tonight all the cases and I'm making a motion to adjourn. Say all in favor. THE BOARD: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Adjourned. Thank you.
(At 8:19 a.m., meeting adjourned.)
/s/Darlene K. May
Darlene K. May, Notary Public Oakland County, Michigan
My commission expires: 01-13-2024
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