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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good evening and welcome to Novi City Zoning Board of Appeals. Today is October 12th and time is now 7:00 p.m.

And please can I have everyone for the Pledge of Allegiance followed by Dr. Mav Sanghvi.
(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please be seated. Thank you.

Katherine, can you please roll call.
MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly. Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Malott is absent, excused.

Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Sanker is absent, excused.

Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: And our alternate Member Longo is also absent, excused.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. We have enough for a quorum?

MS. OPPERMANN: Yes, we still have a quorum. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. I would like to -- all right. We have a public hearing and you can see all the -- you know, these sheets. You can see the cases and all if you have any questions. And also, if you want to add anything.

And also, we have the board is a very less board. Any of you would like to drop your case for tonight is welcome to do so. The more members you may think that a -- more members you can get more chances, you may think that way. That's why we want to increase the -- all my board members what they decided.

Please turn off your phones and put it in vibration mode. And we have only three minutes for each member to speak on the case, not more than that.

And also, we have -- once you're called, people, please come to the podium and please prepare for whatever your presentation is it, you can present to our board members.

And we have the podium and once you come, you can see the screens over the overhead and also in the -- I would say also on the TV channel and, as well, at home.

And the people, please, clearly spell your first and last name for our court records so that our secretary and the court record can clearly record. And, please, if we have any miscommunication or anything and our board members, you can ask any number of times.

And once you come to the podium, if you're an attorney, no need to swear in. And if you're not an attorney, you need to swear in for our secretary, Linda, she's here. Thank you.

And we have numerous cases tonight, almost nine cases. Today is a long day. Please be, you know -- hey, we are waiting so long and we don't want to like this. And I'll repeat, if you would like to drop your case tonight before start moving to the
cases, Say you would like to request one more time.
And apart from that, I have nothing. And let's move on to there.

Approval of agenda?
MR. WILLIAMS: So if we -- question.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, sir.
MR. WILLIAMS: So if we're opting to drop out this evening because you don't have as many board members, how would we proceed with that?

MS. OPPERMANN: You would be tabled to the next available meeting date which currently would be November 9th, unless that was unavailable to you, then you could request that it be a later date than that.

MR. WILLIAMS: I see. Well, out of consideration for the heavy schedule tonight and recognizing several board members are out, I have no problem waiting for them.

I'm Daniel Williams and I'm like the fifth or sixth case on here.

MS. OPPERMANN: Okay. So that's your choice. Do you wish to formerly request that?

MR. WILLIAMS: Please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Okay.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Can I object to that just because people have been writing letters and came here to discuss it tonight.

MS. SAARELA: No. No, you cannot. It's allowed under the rules. We don't have a full board. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: This is just a volunteer based to have the board. Thank you for understanding.

MR. WILLIAMS: If you want me to take the podium, I can, or send an E-mail, whatever you want me to do.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Do I need to make a motion to table it or no?

MS. SAARELA: If the board can make a motion to table that case to the next -- to the November 9th meeting, that would be great.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
MEMBER KRIEGER: I so move case number PZ21-0022 for Dan and Wendi Williams, 1419 West Lake Drive, from this evening to November 9th, 2021. Any seconds?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'll second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.

MEMBER KRIEGER: All in favor?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Aye.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Aye.
MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.
MEMBER KRIEGER: See you next meeting.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Um-hmm. Thank you.
Okay. And also, I would like to tell the audience, we are going to take a break a few minutes once the -- after fourth case. And we are going to take on the fifth -- number five case, we are taking a break for 15 to 20 minutes. Just make a note.

Thank you.
Okay. Approval of agenda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: I move to approve the agenda as updated.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Second, somebody?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
MEMBER KRIEGER: All in favor?
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

Okay. Month of -- last month, August, approval of minutes, meeting minutes. September? Any changes or any modifications?

MEMBER SANGHVI: No.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No. Can you make a motion?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes. I make a motion we approve the minutes as presented.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Motion passed unanimously. Say all in favor, aye.

THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Okay. Public remarks. Anybody would like to add or move on any changes, this is the time to -- you can come to the podium and you can request anything. And after that, $I$ cannot make any changes, please. I'm going to give you 15 to 20 seconds to think about it.

Thank you. Looks like seeing none.
Okay. Let's go to the case number PZ21-0052, Northern Sign Company, Crumbl Cookies, 26060 Ingersol Drive, East of Novi Road and North of Eleven Mile Road. Parcel number 50-22-14-351-063. The applicant is
requesting a variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance Section 28-7(a)(11) for 100 percent window coverage by utilizing etched, e-t-c-h-e-d, vinyl sign graphics. Only 25 percent of all the glass area of the frontage of the building may be covered by signage by code, variance of 75 percent. This property is zoned Town Center, TC.

The applicant is present?
Yeah. Okay. Please spell your first and
last name for our court record and secretary can take it.

MR. ZUBAL: First name Nathan, $N-a-t-h-a-n$. Last name Zubal, $\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{l}$.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. ZUBAL: I do.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. You can present your case where we can help you tonight.

MR. ZUBAL: The hundred percent covering of the vinyl, as you can see in the photographs, if you
have them, the -- we're not trying to put 100 percent graphics on. The windows face into the kitchen area and behind those windows are utilities, back of stoves, backs of fridge and so on and so forth. The proposal is to just apply vinyl to those windows to black out the window. There is two windows on front that will show door hours and frosted graphics, if you will. And those graphics on the two front windows cover approximately 30 percent to 50 percent of the window. So the hundred percent covering is to just block out utility areas. No graphics in those areas or advertising.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you
like to add anymore?
MR. ZUBAL: No.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
And so it's open for the -- not open for the board. And I would like to, City, any comments?

MR. BUTLER: Comments from the City would be, is the graphics partially see-through or do they pretty much darken out the whole window?

MR. ZUBAL: It darkens out the whole window. It's a matte black so you cannot see through it. The
two graphics on the front, there's one to the side that's frosted, that's where you see the decoration, and the hour is to the left. Yes, you can see through those. But the ones that are completely covering the windows, no, you cannot see through those.

MR. BUTLER: And what is the color of the graphics, they're a light gray? MR. ZUBAL: They're black. MR. BUTLER: They're black? MR. ZUBAL: Um-hmm.

MR. BUTLER: Okay. That's all I have. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank you from the City.

And secretary, correspondence, please.
MEMBER KRIEGER: In this case, 68 letters
were sent, one return, zero approvals, zero objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Okay. It's open for the board, they can -you can speak on the case, please.

Okay. Mr. Member Sanghvi, go ahead.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
Good evening.

MR. ZUBAL: Good evening.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Now, if I understand, I was there and visited your site this morning.

MR. ZUBAL: Um-hmm. Oh, great. So you -MEMBER SANGHVI: And what $I$ would like to know is, what kind of graphics are you going to put and why do you need to cover the whole hundred percent?

MR. ZUBAL: What you saw this morning was prematurely installed. So what you saw this morning is what will be there permanently. MEMBER SANGHVI: So you have already got them, that's what it is?

MR. ZUBAL: They were already installed. MEMBER SANGHVI: They were already installed.

MR. ZUBAL: Yes.
MEMBER SANGHVI: And so you are asking for the variance after you have installed them?

MR. ZUBAL: I -- they were installed without knowing that we couldn't just block out the window. MEMBER SANGHVI: Oh, I see. You were not aware that you need to do that?

MR. ZUBAL: Right. Because we -- our
understanding was, you know, advertisement or graphics would involve the hundred percent. With the vinyl just blacking it out, we did not believe that was part of the hundred percent graphics. So what you saw today is what we -- all those blacked out windows. Again, it's just so you don't see, kind of, utilities on the outside of those windows.

MEMBER SANGHVI: And this is going to be permanent?

MR. ZUBAL: That's correct.
MEMBER SANGHVI: You're not going to keep changing it?

MR. ZUBAL: It's not going to change. MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanghvi.

Any other board member who would like to speak on this case, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes, I would.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I guess I'm asking, what is the hardship that makes you want to vary from the ordinance?
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MR. ZUBAL: It's just so visually you don't have to see the utilities on the back side of the windows. And, you know, again, they're not graphics or advertisements on those windows, it's just simply using vinyl to block out those windows.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Okay.
MR. ZUBAL: You know, just so, you know, it's visually -- you know, it's a nice area. You don't want to see those utilities, backside of the stoves and so on and so forth in the work area.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Okay. Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Montague.

Any other board member who would like to speak?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I guess a comment. In and around that area it's very difficult to find anything, so to have graphics that are toward what you're doing would be helpful. Why you want to -- I guess it's already done, that -- or the positioning of the utilities next to the glass, I guess that was your only option?

MR. ZUBAL: As far as placement of the
utilities, we didn't -- you know, that wasn't part of our planning. We just did the sign -- you know, the vinyl and the sign on the front of the buildings and graphics on the inside. As far as the construction of the suite, that had, you know, nothing to do with us. We were installing the graphics and the sign work for the franchisee.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Can the graphics be taken off at some point if the business was to change?

MR. ZUBAL: Oh, absolutely.
MEMBER KRIEGER: So we can make it conditional for this business.

MR. ZUBAL: Oh, absolutely. Yeah.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
MR. ZUBAL: Yeah. Use a little heat and they peel right off.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
MR. ZUBAL: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Linda. Good point. I agree with that.

And apart from that, the board members have spoken. I have no objection to this case.

And it's time to make a motion. Linda, can
you make a motion, please?
MEMBER KRIEGER: In case number PZ21-0052 for this applicant, Northern Sign Company, Crumbl Cookies, for 26060 Ingersol Drive, I move we grant the request for the window coverage that's only a hundred percent versus 25 percent just for this business. That it's etched vinyl. The -- it's unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the property because of the layout already done inside the business and would be not the etiquette of -- or help views of people passing by.

The property is unique because of its location in Town Center. The petitioner did not create the condition because of the layout of the interior's already set so the etched vinyl will assist with the use of this business.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties by bringing in people to use their -- visit their business. That it could enhance others as well in the business by the drive by and it's a pleasant graphic. And the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it's limited to this business.


MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Best wishes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

Congratulations.
MR. ZUBAL: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And coming to the next case. PZ21-0053, Robert O'Neill, 114 Bernstadt Street, East of West Park Drive and South of South Lake Drive, parcel number 50-22-03-454-019. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.5 for a side yard setback of 4.75 feet, 10 feet minimum required, variance of 5.25 feet; and an aggregate total side yard setback of 13.25 feet, 25 feet required variance of 11.75 feet.

The Section 4.19.1.E(i) for 1,152 square foot of garage space, the maximum of 850 square feet allowed by code, variance of 302 square feet. The variance would accommodate the building of a home addition. This property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-4.

Is the applicant present?
Okay. Can you spell your first and last name clearly for our court record secretary?

MR. O'NEILL: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please.

MR. O'NEILL: It's Robert, R-o-b-e-r-t.
O'Neill, O, apostrophe, N-e-i-l-l.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. O'NEILL: I do.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
Do you have anything for the overhead?
MR. O'NEILL: I do not. I put it all in the package that I had presented to the City and I thought it would be here.

MEMBER KRIEGER: All right.
MR. O'NEILL: So I can provide it if somebody has a copy of it.

MS. OPPERMANN: It's all available online at CityofNovi.org for anyone who wants to look at it from the audience. And our members do have it.

MR. O'NEILL: I apologize. I should have -CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Please go ahead where we can help you tonight.

MR. O'NEILL: So we're doing an overall
remodel of our home and where we're at on Bernstadt and in that general area, there's a lot of unique different
home configurations and different lot dimensions. So we actually are staying within the envelope of the current house, but the way that our lot goes, it kind of slightly comes in as you go closer to the street. Current structure for the garage is currently five feet so I'm assuming when that was built, it had a variance associated with it.

As we move the garage forward, it actually encroaches three inches additional because of the lot itself coming in a little bit. So we're not actually expanding the side into the encroachment, it's just that the lot starts to move in a little bit.

So even though it says 4.75 feet, I'm already -- my current garage is already five feet. So I'm just extending that forward. So I'm not trying to make it bigger.

Talked about it with neighbors and all seemed to be -- we're making it aesthetically correct.

As far as the size is concerned, we have a two-car garage. We're bringing it forward to making it even with the rest of the house and then attaching it. So we're making it an attached garage. Currently the current garage is not in align with the existing house.

anything you would like to speak on this case, public? MEMBER SANGHVI: Seeing none.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Looks like none.

Please go ahead, Mav.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and visited your street. It's a pretty narrow street and yours is a very tiny lot. It's almost impossible to turn around in that cul-de-sac you've got there. And I understand your need for variances for doing what you want to do. And almost every lot in that area is so small that it's very hard to do anything within the confines of the current ordinances. So I understand your need for variances and I can support them. Thank you.

MR. O'NEILL: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav.

Any other board member, please?
MEMBER KRIEGER: I drove down your street as well and to turn around, yes, it is complicated. And then you're right next to the park as well. And I'm not sure if back in the '40s when they first built the
homes if they were -- thought of putting them aligned with the lot lines. So I can see all the complications. So I understand your need and I agree with your request.

MR. O'NEILL: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Linda.

Any other board member, please?
MEMBER THOMPSON: You said you were doing a
remodel of it. Are you bulldozing the old house and putting up --

MR. O'NEILL: Oh, no. We're keeping the existing structure. Doing some internal, you know, like taking a wall out and making the kitchen bigger and then we're adding the garage to connect to the house and then putting a second level on.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So the existing garage
that's behind it, what's going to happen to that?
MR. O'NEILL: It's going to stay. So that's why the -- so it's a two -- kind of two and a half-ish car garage currently.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
MR. O'NEILL: So we're going to keep that and
then bring it -- and put it -- bring it forward. So we're going to have -- for all intents and purposes, two and two. So it's going to be -- that's why it looks like it's going to be -- have a larger square footage. The back part of the garage is going to be more -- I mean, we're not going to -- right now we're not going to make it temperature controlled, but we're going to have it, like, be like a rec room for the kids, play ping pong and that kind of stuff and then the two-car garage will be forward.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So bringing forward meaning we're not -- you're not moving the structure -MR. O'NEILL: No. Adding onto it. Correct. Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Are you done?
MEMBER THOMPSON: I'm sorry?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Any other question?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any other questions
for you?
MEMBER THOMPSON: No.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Are you using the same material kind of or are you changing any material and color, those things?

MR. O'NEILL: Currently -- you mean as far as the outside material?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: So currently the house is brick. We will be having a porch on front. It's going to be a mixture of stone and siding.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. I have no objections. Thank you.

Okay. And somebody can make a motion?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. I'll make a motion.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Please, go
ahead.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ21-0053. Without the variance, the petitioner is unreasonably limited in the use of his property in terms of creating an attached garage.

The property is unique because of the location of the existing house and the existing garage. The petitioner did not create the condition because the house was built a long time ago and set up like that.

I don't believe having seen the site, the relief granted will unreasonably interfere with any
adjacent properties because aligning with the house and there's a minimal change in the side yard. It's already a side yard. And I think the relief is consistent with the intent and spirit of the ordinance because it's allowing the resident to create an attached garage which is an amenity that people ought to be able to have.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Okay. Katherine, can you please roll call, please?

MS. OPPERMANN: Yes. Chairperson Peddiboyina?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Good luck. Congratulations.

MR. O'NEILL: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Case number PZ21-0054, Dana, Z-i-e-g-l-e-r, and Martin, V-a-l-l-e-s-p-i-n-o-s, 48950 Nine Mile Road, East of Garfield Road and North of Nine Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-29-326-021. The applicant is requesting a special approval pursuant to the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 4.19(1)(k) to convert an existing detached accessory building into a home office. By code, the use of a detached accessory building in a residential district for use other than use other than the parking and storage of private motor vehicles, tools, recreation equipment or dog houses shall require review and approval by the Zoning Board of Appeals. The property is zoned Residential Acreage, RA.

Is the applicant present?
Okay. Please spell your first and last --
MS. SAARELA: Chairperson Peddiboyina, before we start speaking on this case, can I just say an explanation?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.

MS. SAARELA: So this is a different standard than you're typically looking at for a variance. You're not going to be looking at your usual standards of whether this is a hardship or practical difficulty or self-created or anything of that nature. That's not relevant. This is -- to this type of case, this is a special approval where the zoning ordinance says when someone asks for this review, you're looking at it not as a variance, but as a special approval. So then the standard that you're going to be looking at is under a section three recommendations here. Katherine put it in.

So you're going to be considering whether there's -- will it not impair an adequate supply of light and air to the adjacent property, unreasonably increase the congestion in the public streets, increase the danger of fire or endanger the public safety, or unreasonably diminish or impair established property values within the surrounding area, or in any respect impair the public health, safety, comfort, morals or welfare of the inhabitants of the City of Novi.

So those are the standards. Those are the standards that you're going to be asking questions
about. Not the typical hardship or difficulty or self-created or any of those. So when you make the motion, it'll have to be based on this standard.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Beth. I appreciate that.

MS. SAARELA: You're welcome.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Yeah. Please go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly for the court record.

MS. ZIEGLER: Yes. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And secretary, can you take this one, please, Linda?

MS. ZIEGLER: My name is Dana Ziegler.
 attorney, but I'm appearing here in my capacity as a homeowner.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. ZIEGLER: So we're seeking special
approval. We are renovating an existing detached building into a home office. That's what we're requesting to do.

And just by way of introduction, we purchased our property, my husband and I, in winter of 2018. It was a real fixer upper. And ever since, we've been gradually cleaning it up and renovating, both inside and out. We have a large pole barn and an attached garage and then we have this detached accessory building. Its about 22 by 23. So kind of small. And it's really just a concrete block. And it's, to be honest, a little bit of an eyesore and needs repair. So we would like to renovate it and make a home office for Zoom meetings, work from home, with a full bathroom. Rather than demolishing it, you know, we'd like to repurpose the existing building.

And so I'll just briefly address the factors to be considered. So we're not missing anything. There's not going to be new construction. It's a remodel of an existing structure, so it shouldn't impact the light or air to the adjacent property. We also have a letter of support from our immediate neighbors who are the ones who can see the structure and they do support the renovation.

We shouldn't have an increase in congestion on the streets because we're not -- it's not -- we're
not going to have folks coming to our home. It's really just, you know, work from home.

We aren't going to be doing anything to impair public safety or damage of fire. In fact, we would like to improve the safety standards when we renovate. And then, we actually think that this would improve property values. You know, our neighborhood, we have a lot of nice renovated houses nearby, new homes, and this will add to the character -- the existing character of the neighborhood.

So thank you. If you have any questions for me.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Do you have any presentation to show on the --

MS. ZIEGLER: No, thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Do you want to add anymore before move on to this?

MS. ZIEGLER: No, that's all right.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
MS. ZIEGLER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: From the City?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the City.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
 Nine Mile Road and the shape it is in.

MS. ZIEGLER: Oh, yes.
MEMBER SANGHVI: And when $I$ got there, also, it is a pretty secluded place. And the accessory building you are considering there, I thought there were two of them in the backyard.

MS. ZIEGLER: The -- you probably saw the big pole barn.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah.
MS. ZIEGLER: That's the larger building. And then it was the smaller one in front, yeah.

MEMBER SANGHVI: And what kind of business are you planning to do in the home office? You're not dealing with the public there for any respect, no?

MS. ZIEGLER: No. I'm an attorney. I work for Michigan Supreme Court and my spouse works for EY, he's an accounting professional. So we just do Zoom meetings. We don't have clients come and visit us at our home. It'll just be us.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. Because we don't like conducting any kind of merchandise business in the -from home. That's why I'm asking you.

MS. ZIEGLER: Okay. Right. Yeah. I mean, no, it won't be, like, a public facing business. It's
just work from home on our laptops.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Great. Thank you.
MS. ZIEGLER: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Mav.

Any other board member?
Yeah, Mr. Clift, go ahead.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. I traversed Nine Mile
as well visiting your property and dodging construction equipment and stuff. Yes, it's pretty secluded and I guess I'm glad to hear that it's not -- you're not going have a meeting room in there. Obviously, it's not small -- not large enough for a meeting room anyway and that would answer the problem of not creating additional traffic and safety hazard. So I can be in support of this.

MS. ZIEGLER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Clift.

Okay. Member Linda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: So if you were in the future to sell the house, then the building could be used for
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storage for future instead of a business because it's, as you say, you're just going to use it and your spouse is going to use it for Zoom meetings?

MS. ZIEGLER: Right. Yeah. We'll have, like, a door that locks. I mean, they can -- it'll have a bathroom. They can use it for storage, certainly.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah. And I've been up and down Nine Mile. I know how muddy it can get. Thank you.

MS. ZIEGLER: Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda. Any other board member, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: One more other thing.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Go ahead.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Is this -- it's going to be heated and cooled?

MS. ZIEGLER: Yes.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Okay. Because you do have water in there so it should be heated.

MS. ZIEGLER: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. How many members are going to work on this on a regular basis in
the house -- home office?
MS. ZIEGLER: It's just myself and my husband and we have a nine-month-old baby, but she's not working yet.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. MEMBER KRIEGER: She probably could with the computers.
(Laughter.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other board member who would like to speak before I move to motion?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Member Sanghvi?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please go ahead, sir.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ21-0054 sought at address 48950 Nine Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-29-320-021. The petitioner has requested this variance to use accessory building as a home office. And applicant request and necessary --
became a need because of the requirement of a home office due to COVID pandemic and other reasons these days, a lot of people need to work from home and they need a place to do that from.

The whole property is so unique that it is so remotely located and it's so secluded --

MS. SAARELA: Member Sanghvi --
MEMBER SANGHVI: -- that it's not likely
going to --
MS. SAARELA: Member Sanghvi, before I have you go through your motion, it sounds like you're doing the unique property standard and the necessity and that's not our standard for this case. You have to go through and say whether or not it impairs the adequate supply of light and air and reasonably increases the congestion in the public streets, increases the danger of fire or endangers the public safety, or unreasonably diminishes or impairs the established property values within the surrounding area or in any other respect impairs the public health, safety, comfort, morals or welfare of the inhabitants of the city. So the uniqueness of the property --

MEMBER SANGHVI: We can add those words to
the motion.
MS. SAARELA: Yeah. Isn't really --
MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay.
MS. SAARELA: -- relevant to this case.

Thanks.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I can add those words.

MS. SAARELA: Okay.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Or you can add those words. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Beth. Okay.

MEMBER SANGHVI: And the relief granted will not unnecessarily interfere with any adjacent or surrounding properties. And the relief is consistent with the spirit of the ordinance. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Can somebody make a second?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
Okay. And, Katherine, can you please roll call?

MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Congratulations. Thank you and good luck. MS. ZIEGLER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Case number PZ21-0055, Harman International, 30001 Cabot Drive, West of Haggerty Road and North of Thirteen Mile, parcel number 50-22-01-400-032. The applicant is requesting a variance from the Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 5.11.2A for the installation of a fence in the exterior side yard. The ordinance states that no fence shall extend into a front or exterior side yard. The property is zoned Office Service Technology, OST. Is the applicant present? Okay.

Please spell your first and last name clearly. And if anybody is joining you too, they can come too also and spell first and last name clearly for my court record for the secretary. And my secretary will take the oath. Thank you. You can proceed.

MS. UTTER: Melissa Utter, M-e-l-i-s-s-a $U-t-t-e-r$.

MR. MICHALSKI: Good evening. My name is Michael Michalski. It's M-i-c-h-a-l-s-k-i is the last name. Michael, M-i-c-h-a-e-l.

MEMBER KRIEGER: So do you both swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MS. UTTER: Yes.
MR. MICHALSKI: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Linda.

You can proceed how we can help you tonight on this case, please.

MS. UTTER: Sure. So as you mentioned, the variance that we're looking for is to put a fence into the side yard, existing side yard. As you can see by the plan that we've provided here, there are three
sides of this property that are adjacent to major roads. We have $\mathrm{M}-5$ on the west, Cabot Drive on the east and Thirteen Mile on the south. So the only yard that would not be considered exterior would be the north yard.

And as you can see by this -- I'm not sure you can see where my finger is. Oh, you can. This area here is the north side and here is the shipping and receiving area of Harman International. So there would not be any room for this fence that Harman is requiring. And I will let Mike speak to the reason that Harman is requesting this fencing.

MR. MICHALSKI: On March 19th this year, we had a prototype vehicle stolen from our property at 7:18 in the morning. We have 24 -hour security, multiple security guards watching security cameras. But unfortunately, the people came in within three minutes, stole the 2015 Dodge -- or Jeep Grand Cherokee SRT. So it had the Hemi engine in it.

Fortunately, it was recovered in Detroit after it hit a utility pole. But it was a prototype vehicle for our audio equipment company division and, basically, it was a research mule. So we have had it
since 2015, constantly developing new products for, you know, infotainment systems that's about -- what I can describe because it's an engineering mule. But we had tons of hours of engineering and prototyping in this vehicle and unfortunately it was lost because, you know, they destroyed the vehicle in an accident after stealing it.

So we're trying to get a fence to, you know, create a lockup area for these vehicles. We don't have many of them, but we do occasionally receive vehicles from Ford, GM, the old FTA, that we don't immediately put into our garages. We have garages at the building. So there may be a time or two that we need to temporarily park them in this lockup area until we can use them later in the day. Because delivery companies drop off the vehicles and pick them up at different times. So we want to have a temporary lockup area to keep them more secure so things like this don't happen again.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you like to add anymore, both of you?

MS. UTTER: No. MR. MICHALSKI: No.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much.

From the City?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the City in this case.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Correspondence secretary, Linda?

MEMBER KRIEGER: In this case, eight letters were sent, zero returns, zero approvals, zero objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
Public remarks? Anybody who would like to speak on this case before I move?

Looks like none.
Okay. I understand, Mike, what you mentioned that the stolen 2015 Dodge vehicle, you know. The concern is very. And the ordinance is that, you know, the fence is -- what we have in the case. Let's open to the board and speak on this case.

It's open to the board.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Excuse me. I need to ask to -- my daughter works for Harman. I assume that means I should recuse myself?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you speak in
the mic, please?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'm sorry. My daughter works for Harman so I assume I should recuse myself from this.

MS. SAARELA: Do you feel like you can be impartial on the matter?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.

MS. SAARELA: Okay. So you don't have a direct financial interest in it?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: No. No. She just works at that facility, actually.

MS. SAARELA: I don't think that's a direct --

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Is that okay? Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I have question, too. One of my close friend is working too.

MS. SAARELA: What's that?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: One of my close friend is working at Harman International too.

MS. SAARELA: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I don't have any
financial or nothing else.
MS. SAARELA: Yeah. If you don't have a direct financial interest --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No. Zero.

MS. SAARELA: -- and can be impartial. Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Yeah. Go ahead, members. Mr. Mav Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Good evening. I came and drove around your property. You have a huge property and thank you for being in Novi with us. Enormous property and large parking lot. And I understand that in spite of all your 24 -hour security, you had somebody came and stole some equipment. It's amazing.

Anyway. But and all this thing is along $\mathrm{M}-5$, really from what you are showing here and that's what I thought it probably was. I understand your need for the fence here to secure your vehicles that you don't want them to be stolen. And it's a pretty secluded place at night so it's not hard for anybody to come and do something mischievous. So I can understand your need and I support it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member

Sanghvi.
Any other board member, please?
Member Linda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. I understand as well. M-5 connects right to every -- all the expressways. So you pick something up and off it goes. So I understand your need. And because it has sensitive material in there, then you need to protect it. So I agree and would be able to support your request.

MR. MICHALSKI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, member.
Any other board member?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Just looking at the drawings, what is the height of the fence going to be?

MS. UTTER: It's eight foot. We're within whatever the code is. I believe it's eight foot.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Some of the drawing says standard height, seven, eight, nine and ten.

MS. UTTER: It's eight foot.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Eight feet all the way around?

MS. UTTER: Yes. It matches the existing fencing that's on part of the property from original
build.
MEMBER THOMPSON: And that there will be how many, like, entrances and exits from there?

MS. UTTER: There will be one entrance and exit here and one here at this end.

MEMBER THOMPSON: That's --

MS. UTTER: One at each end.
MR. MICHALSKI: That's where you can actually -- it will be one on each end for the cars to enter through card readers, every employee has a badge to enter and exit. And in the mid-point, like in here, there's a crosswalk. There will actually be man gates with card readers to get, you know, employees in and out of the area. So there's, like, a four foot wide man gate there and at the ends it will be, you know, vehicle entries.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other board member?

So it looks like none.
MEMBER THOMPSON: I guess I got one more question.

Did you guys look at putting it on the back
of the building where it might not be visible from M-5?
MS. UTTER: Well, technically, this is
considered the back of the building. The main entrance is on the east side that faces Cabot Drive.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Oh, is it really. Okay.
MS. UTTER: Yeah. Yeah, that's the main entrance to the building. So this is technically the back of the building.

We looked at other locations, but the test labs for this building are located here. So the cars are pretty close to where they would be going in and out of the building for the test labs. And there's also a 20-foot berm here along M-5. So it does hide quite a bit of that parking lot from there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MEMBER THOMPSON: That's it for me.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Okay. Yeah. You mentioned that use card access for the door -- I mean, the sliding whatever it is.

MS. UTTER: Correct. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: How many doors?
We've mentioned two of them. Two of them are the
access?
MS. UTTER: Two for vehicles and one additional for pedestrian.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
I have no objection.
And it's time to motion.
MEMBER KRIEGER: I just thought of something.
For emergency vehicles, they would have something -- to be able to access your facility quickly if they needed to?

MS. UTTER: Yes. We will have the correct access in the back spots for the emergency vehicles as requested by the City of Novi fire department.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
It's time to motion. Somebody can make a motion, please.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Me.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. Yeah. Go ahead, sir.

MEMBER THOMPSON: I motion that we grant the variance in case PZ21-0055, sought by Harman International for the additional fencing. Without the
variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property due to some recent theft.

The property is unique because of the location and where they want to put it being in the back. The building looks so good that even the back looks good. I thought the back was the front.

The petitioner did not create the condition because of the way the variance is wrote. Relief granted would not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because of the size of the lot that they're on. The relief is constant with the spirit and the intent of the ordinance because they are trying to put the fence in the back of the facility to limit theft.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Somebody can make a second?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
This is time, Katherine, for roll call, please.

MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly.
Chairperson Peddiboyina?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Best wishes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Good
luck. Congratulations.
MS. UTTER: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Case number
PZ21-0056, Joseph Yono, 1401 East Lake Drive, West of Novi Road and South of Fourteen Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-02-329-027. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.32-10.II.a for the building of a proposed 392 square foot shed on the waterfront, 100 square feet allowed by code, variance of 292 square feet. The variance would accommodate the building of a new lakefront accessory
structure. This property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-4.

Okay. Please tell your first and last name clearly for our court record and secretary will take the oath of each guest. Thank you.

MR. SCHAUER: I'm the architect on the property. My first name is Raymond, $R-a-y-m-o-n-d$, and my last name is Schauer, S-c-h-a-u-e-r.

MR. YONO: Joseph Yono, J-o-s-e-p-h Y-o-n-o. I'm the property owner.

MEMBER KRIEGER: And you both swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. SCHAUER: I do.
MR. YONO: I do.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
Okay. Please go ahead and how we can help tonight on this case, please.

MR. SCHAUER: So the way that this accessory structure or shed, if we want to call it, is going to be situated, it would allow the Yono family to utilize Walled Lake and not have to cross the East Lake Drive that's, you know, increasing in traffic every day. If
we were to comply fully with the ten by ten, we really can't have the facilities that we want to have in there with, like, a little powder room and almost a kitchenette and then, more importantly, like, a storage for safety and security for stuff that we're going to be having on the lake, like kayaks, paddle boards, things that could potentially be stolen. So we want to have the ability to secure that, but then more importantly, if they're enjoying the lake throughout the summer, they don't want to be crossing the road multiple times a day. Especially if they have a large amount of people, you're just -- you're potentially asking for trouble if someone comes zipping down the road.

The way that the building is located on the property, it really only impacts the Yono residents' view. It's tucked along a tree line. And I'll pull up some pictures on my phone to illustrate that.
(Cell phone photos displayed.)
MR. SCHAUER: If we were to put it on the opposite side of the property, first of all, there's a public access that's used very infrequently, but it's technically a boat launch at the end of Herman Street.

So we would for privacy purposes for the Yonos, the way it's located, it kind of is away from that, so there's no potential of someone, like, backing up a trailer into it or dealing with that. But then also, the side of the property that it's located on is already kind of wooded. There's some trees along there that really mask this building. They're not -- you know, there's already an obstruction of a view there potentially from anybody else. So this -- it's a perfect location, in essence, what we're proposing.

And I'll pull up some photographs here to kind of illustrate that as well.

I don't know if that will show up here.
(Photos displayed.)
MR. SCHAUER: So that blue -- the blue tape -- so this blue tape here would signify where the building would be located. And like I said, you can kind of just barely see the tree line here, but it's kind of tucked along the side of the property, you know, to order -- to basically mask the fact that it's there.
(Photos displayed.)
MR. SCHAUER: Yeah. So this one kind of
illustrates what I'm referring to. It's the best place on the property for it to be located to kind of not feel like it's just stuck in the middle or kind of out on its own.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you like to add anymore?

MR. YONO: Yes. I have younger children crossing back and forth for the bathroom --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you come to the mic, please? Thank you.

MR. YONO: I said I'm doing -- one of the reasons to have a bathroom where younger -- my kids don't have to cross back and forth. And like R.J. said, storing kayaks, jet skis. Getting it back and forth. I mean, we having tore down the house that we're building now and it was a headache every time we wanted to take the kayaks out from the garage all the way back to the house, bringing them back. Crossing the street was just very dangerous. We do put cones out when we're there. It does slow down some traffic, but not everybody. So that was our proposal of what we wanted to do there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. MR. SCHAUER: And I'd also like to note, just, you know, comparative to the other homes along East Lake Drive who have lots, you know, like the Yono family do, where it's across the road, there are other multiple, you know, cabana-type structures or sheds that are a little bit larger that function more as a quasi entertainment area for, you know, enjoying the lake. And more so, you know, having that security factor there where you don't need to cross the road multiple times in a given day or in a given summer. You can theoretically be crossing hundreds of times over the summer.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
From the City, Larry?
MR. BUTLER: Yeah. The only question is, is there a reason why it has to be as large, pretty much, as to they want to make it? I mean, normally we go, like, 100 square feet on there. But it's excessive in size. I was just questioning that.

MR. YONO: We have a double lot there which is double, like, any of the other lots going towards Thirteen Mile. And just made it to where -- I mean,
kayaks these days are 12 feet long. Jet skis are ten feet and with the trailer you're looking at about 18 feet.

And having, like, the entertaining area where he's got it described there really isn't that big. It's more -- it's 14 by 24, I believe, or 28. Part of it is going to be the garage and part of it is going to be, like, the bathroom and the kitchen area. Not really kitchen, just like an entertaining area.

MR. SCHAUER: And we would be -- to fit all this in a ten by ten envelope would be -- we would be hard pressed. We could probably do one. We could probably only do the shed standalone, but I don't think we could do a storage shed with, you know, a bathroom that's adequately sized and, you know, changing area. And definitely wouldn't be able to have any sort of kitchenette type of amenities like that. So it's more so just trying to have a little bit of an enclave away from the main house so that you can theoretically stay on that side of the road from sun up to sun down and you don't have to cross to use the restroom or grab a drink. That sort of thing.

MR. YONO: And there is other cabanas on East

Lake Drive that are a lot bigger than this.
MR. BUTLER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Larry.

Correspondence, Linda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: In case number -- this case, 32 were sent, zero returned, three approvals, three objections.

MEMBER THOMPSON: How many objections?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Three.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Three approvals, six objections. Sorry.

MS. OPPERMANN: Linda, is that the correct one because $I$ don't think their case had that many?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Oh.
MS. OPPERMANN: Sorry. I must have put them in the wrong order. My apologies.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Sorry.
Yep. 34 letters sent, seven returned, two approvals, zero objections.

Approval: "We approve this variance to prove that this form of notice is a waste of taxpayer time and money. Council's already proven that it doesn't
care what the neighbors approve or object to these notices. Council does whatever they want because they do not have to live with the neighbors whom request these variances. We know council will not approve this request because they will uphold the 100 square foot zoning ordinance even though they do not uphold zoning ordinance setbacks. Please do the city residents a favor and change the wording from zoning ordinance to zoning suggestions."

And that is from Wayne Tilmos on Paramount. MS. OPPERMANN: There's one more underneath that.

MEMBER KRIEGER: "Standing here with Yono and -- Joe Yono and just wanted to send a note that I am okay with what he proposed on the lake side. I am right next door at 1405 East Lake. I can't make the meeting so I just want you to be aware. Loren Pober, P-o-b-e-r."

That's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
MEMBER THOMPSON: There were zero objections?
MEMBER KRIEGER: No, I read it.
MS. OPPERMANN: I noted that that first one
they've circled approval, though the content reads more like an objection.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Right.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. I was confused.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
MEMBER THOMPSON: So there's one objection -one approval and one objection.

MEMBER KRIEGER: He wrote it as approval, but he meant negative because of everything that's written in it.

MR. SCHAUER: I sense a little sarcasm in that response.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. MR. BUTLER: It's considered an approval. MEMBER KRIEGER: From the public?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. We can do that.

Okay. Public, anybody would like to comment on this case, please? This is your time to speak.

Looks like none.
Okay. Mr. Yono and Mr. Schauer, I understood
the way you are requesting the carrying the stuff and, you know, the point and the crossing the road and all those things. And also, the City mentioned about the limitations and all those things, neighbors, also.

And so now open to the board to speak on this case. Thank you.

Member Sanghvi, please, go ahead.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I came and visited your site today. I just wondered, what is the size of your lot in front of the water?

MR. SCHAUER: 60 -- yeah, it's approximately 50 by 40.

MEMBER SANGHVI: And how much of that are you going to cover by this what you call cabana? Even though it looks like a house than a cabana.

MR. SCHAUER: Yeah. Bear with me. I can do some quick math here.

The lot coverage will be approximately 15 percent of that lot.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I'm sorry. I --
MR. SCHAUER: It would be 15 percent. MEMBER SANGHVI: 50 percent?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No, no. 1-5.

MR. SCHAUER: 15, 1-5.
MEMBER SANGHVI: No. I just wondered why you
would need three times the size -- four times the size that is accepted by the ordinance. Why do you need such a huge thing?

MR. SCHAUER: Well, I think the ordinance applies to the city of Novi in general for all, you know, residential parcels. This one is unique in that it's along East Lake Drive. And a lot of other parcels have this sort of cabana type entertainment structure that falls under the accessory shed structure rules. So if we were on a, you know, rural lot and it was only going to be for storing tools, $I$ think the ten by ten is definitely reasonable and we wouldn't even be applying for a variance. But to get what we're looking for here in terms of having the -- you know, a space that we can occupy and entertain at, it needs to be larger than ten by ten.

MEMBER SANGHVI: And you are going to use this new building you are building just for storing equipment and other things?

MR. SCHAUER: Yes. But, like, you know, if you drive along East Lake Drive in the summertime,
you're going to see people out, you know, sitting on a patio, entertaining guests, enjoying the waterfront that they live on.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I understand. Good. Thank you.

MR. SCHAUER: Um-hmm.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Member Sanghvi.

Okay. Member Clift?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I have a large problem with
the size. I understand where you're headed. I think you were here in April and you had a 336 square foot cabana over there and I was against that then and I'm against it -- I'm against getting it bigger to 392. So I can't support this.

MR. YONO: We weren't here.
MR. SCHAUER: The first one we did, yeah.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yeah, the first time you were.

MR. SCHAUER: Yeah.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Member

Linda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Previously, there was somebody that came to the city requesting -- asking about sheds on the water side and we wanted input from the City on that. Was there anything? Has there been any?

MS. SAARELA: What kind of input? Just about how many other ones there are?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Or the intent in size and the request that they want to use them as structures. Yeah.

MS. OPPERMANN: The ordinance just specifies that any lakefront property should only have an accessory structure or shed that is ten by ten or a hundred square feet.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Ten by ten. But the boards that they're making now are longer than that so they'd have to --

MS. OPPERMANN: That's how it's written in the ordinance.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
MS. OPPERMANN: So any other ones would have had to either have a variance or they were built in --

MEMBER KRIEGER: And then what's the height of this one you're asking for?

MR. SCHAUER: The mid-point of the roof would be about 12 feet. 12, 13 feet.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Because that would impact the viewing from the neighbors on the same -- on the street side. So -- and then as far as use for a shed usually of putting things away is the intent on the water side so that the neighbors along the street are not obstructed as well from the water, their viewpoint. So that has a definite impact.

So -- and then also the intent is for storage. So I guess if you have little kids running across, that is an issue. But this could also be used as housing and a future use if you were to sell and someone else was to buy. And I don't think that's the intent of the -- any structures along the water side. So I also would not be able to approve your request.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other board member would like to speak?

MEMBER THOMPSON: The last thing I would add -- so from the -- do you have, like, a more complete diagram of what it's going to look like at the
end? So where the entertaining is, you're just going to have it, like, dropping off? Is there going to be a deck out there? Is there going to be pavers?

MR. YONO: Brick pavers along the whole side. It's 20 feet from the water. Basically, brick pavers around the structure. We wanted to do, like, an outside barbecue to the left side of the building along -- if you're looking at it from the street, the left side.

MEMBER THOMPSON: So would the pavers or patio, would that then creep into another problem of being too close to the water? Would that have to have another variance?

MEMBER KRIEGER: I don't know.
MS. OPPERMANN: That work doesn't typically fall into the category of setbacks. Usually it's -MEMBER THOMPSON: It does not?

MS. OPPERMANN: Yeah. Typically --
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
MS. OPPERMANN: -- it's only a raised or a roofed structure.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Mr. Yono, would you like to -- you know, want to reduce the size
of this one or how you want? Is it you want to stick with the same size?

MR. SCHAUER: Well, I mean, we'd have the board vote and if it gets denied, we'll table it, come back next month with a reduced size.

MS. OPPERMANN: If I may, tabling is separate from a denial. So you can request to table it if you want to come back differently. A denial means you'd have to fully reapply and it would be too late for next month. It would be December at the earliest.

MR. SCHAUER: So if we table it, we can come back in November?

MS. SAARELA: If you table it, you won't get a decision on what they decide on this --

MR. SCHAUER: Yeah, we will table it. We're going to reduce the scope here a little bit. And I think we can meet in the middle. We definitely -- I think ten by ten is too small. What we presented is rather large. But $I$ think we can get it to a point where we're both satisfied with the final product. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. I'm not going to promise that. And, you know, ten by ten or ten by 15, doesn't matter. But $I$ want to give you an
opportunity for you to, you know, think on this and if you're okay, we can put it on the next case.

MEMBER KRIEGER: I would be willing to table as you requested for this case number PZ21-0056, 1401 East Lake Drive. I'm willing to table this to the next available meeting.

It would still be November 9?
MS. OPPERMANN: Correct.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. And then with the expectation of reduction that if there was to be an entertainment center, that it's open and not intrusive to -- obstructive to other neighbors along East Lake for a view to the lake and go from there. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And before that second, katherine, how many cases, approximately, are expecting on November 9th?

MS. OPPERMANN: There are currently four existing ones. So with this being tabled in addition to the Williams case, that would put us at six cases for that meeting.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Six cases. I'm okay. Otherwise, more than that cases I don't want to -- my board members to drain out on these cases too
many things. Because it's late night and November is like in the wintertime and everyone is thinking of Thanksgiving coming up.

Okay. Thank you so much.
MR. SCHAUER: Thank you.
MR. YONO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Member
Sanghvi, do you have the second?
MEMBER SANGHVI: I second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any roll
call?
MEMBER KRIEGER: I have a -- for the brief -CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: One second, Linda, please.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any roll call on this one or no?

MS. SAARELA: Roll call and motion.
MS. OPPERMANN: Would you like to just do a voice vote or do you want me to call roll? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Roll call. MEMBER KRIEGER: Roll call. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, please.

MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Secretary Linda, please, go ahead.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Regarding the brief recess, we only have three cases left. Can we finish the cases since it's 8:00 or do you feel you can finish?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Okay. I'm okay with that. And everybody?

Thank you, Linda.
MEMBER KRIEGER: No problem.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Let's move on this. And the case number PZ21- -- am I right? Do I have to read that one, the case was -- already we did
that one, Pz21-0022?
MS. OPPERMANN: Yes. That's been tabled. So the next one would be the 57 .

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. PZ21-0057, Veres Signs and Spring Apartments, 30995 Springlake Boulevard, East of Beck Road and South of West Pontiac Trail, parcel number 50-22-04-200-011. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinances Section 28-5(a), footnote (3), for a 30 square foot, 159 inches by 27.27 inches, illuminated entranceway sign. By code, the maximum height allowed is five feet and sign area no larger than 24 square feet, variance of an additional six feet of a sign area and one feet three inches in height. The property is zoned Low-Density Multiple Family, RM-1.

Okay. Is applicant -- please, go ahead and tell your first and last name clearly for our court record. And our secretary will take the oath.

MR. TOWNSEND: My name is Jonathan Townsend, J-o-n-a-t-h-a-n, Townsend, $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{d}$, with Veres Signs. And I'll let John introduce himself.

MR. TREGANOWAN: I am also John, J-o-h-n, Treganowan, $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{g}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{w}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}$, with Edward Rose and

Sons.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Secretary Linda, please, go ahead.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Do you both swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. TOWNSEND: I do.

MR. TREGANOWAN: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. You can go ahead and what we can help you tonight on this case.

MR. TOWNSEND: Very well. Basically, what we're asking for is an overall size variance of six square feet and then overall height variance, I think it's one foot and three inches to conform with the neighborhood sign ordinance. It does essentially conform with the typical residential sign ordinance in the RM-1 zoning. It's because this is a neighborhood entrance is why we're falling into the slightly smaller five foot high and 25 foot -- 25 square foot size requirement.

The reason why that we're asking for it in this case is the signage itself is not actually above the limit as far as height goes. As you can see, the
letters are -- I believe they're at four foot two. And then the panels, the architectural feature has created some background. And the interest in the background of the sign is what brings us over that, that six-foot mark. Or the five-foot mark, actually, I guess, for the neighborhood sign requirement.

As far as the actual area of the sign goes, the reason why we're running over the 25 square foot is because we're drawing a box around the Springs letters and the apartment homes letters. Let me see if $I$ can slide this over a little bit.

And essentially those two elements themselves, if you just look at them as two individual pieces, are only about 23 square feet. But because if you draw it from the left side of the $T$ all the way to the right side of the $S$ in homes, these are the offset, that's what's causing us to go over that 30 square foot. Or I'm sorry, the 25 square foot number when you add it up.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you like to add any other thing, please?

MR. TOWNSEND: (Nods.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Sounds good.
 illumination?

MR. TREGANOWAN: Correct.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
MR. TREGANOWAN: You're welcome.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you very much.
MR. TREGANOWAN: Thank you for visiting. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

Okay. Member Linda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes. I also drove on
Pontiac Trail. Coming from west to east, you couldn't really feel the impact of it, but coming west to east, you could definitely appreciate it. It's not too close to the road to be a visual impact or blockage. And you can tell that it's a different subdivision from the next door neighbor's subdivision. And so I understand your need. And for it's 45 miles per hour on the road, so for the speed and impact and the -- also the topography, the hilliness, that I would be able to approve your request.

MR. TOWNSEND: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Member Linda.

Any other board member?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Mr. Clift?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. I drove by it, too, and I think the scale is appropriate for where it is and the speed of the road. So I would be able to support it, too.

MR. TOWNSEND: Thank you.
MR. TREGANOWAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. I have a question on this sign board. Are you going to put any flashing, blinking on those or anything? Just it's just a standard, you know?

MR. TOWNSEND: No. Just -- it's a very standard nighttime illumination. Basically, it's just the letters will light up, the front of them, and then they'll be some nice lighting on the background panels.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: It's not colorful or anything?

MR. TOWNSEND: No color, just the light.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Just the one.

Because the --
MR. TREGANOWAN: No disco flash, no nothing.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No flashing.
That's what $I$ wanted to make sure.
MR. TOWNSEND: No. Just solid white.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yep. Thank you. I have no objection.

Any other board, anybody before I close the motion?

Okay. So motion time. Member Clift, can you take it?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yeah. Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Go ahead, Mr. Clift.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: All right. I will move that we grant the variance in case number PZ21-0057. Without the variance, the petitioner's unreasonably limited in the use of their property because they need the visibility of the sign to direct people to their apartment complex. The property is unique because the setback from Pontiac Trail and the speed of Pontiac Trail makes it important for public safety to be able to see that and not slam on your brakes when you get too close to see it.

Petitioner did not create the condition. The
sidewalk is there. The setback was already there. They're putting a sign in it.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with any surrounding properties because the lights will be controlled and not a full-time lighted sign. And the relief is consistent with the intent and spirit of the ordinance because it does protect public safety in allowing people to see that and navigate into their apartment complex.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Member -- Katherine, can you please roll call?

MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. OPPERMANN: Just a moment.
Member -- or excuse me, Chairperson
Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina.
Sorry.
Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Congratulations. Good luck.
MR. TOWNSEND: Thank you so much.
MR. TREGANOWAN: Thank you. Appreciate it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
And case number PZ21-0058, Matthew Bedikian,
43465 Ellesmere Circle, West of Old Novi Road and North
of Twelve Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-10-281-026.
The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 4.19.1.E(i) for a proposed 984 square feet of total accessory structure space, maximum of 850 square feet allowed by code, variance of 134 square feet. This variance would accommodate the building of a 360 square foot freestanding patio canopy. This property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-4.


MR. BEDIKIAN: So this is an artist rendering of what it would look like. In this area, it's a new building that is on the corner of Old Novi and Novi Road where it splits. Old Novi comes across and Novi Road is basically the back of our property. So our property faces east to west. It's a new build. They tore down all the trees. So there's really no trees. There's no shade back there. This would assist -because that area from sun up till about six, seven o'clock at night has sun, would assist in allowing us to have some shade in our backyard.

And the materials that are proposed are, you know, higher end, quality materials. This isn't something that is on the lower spectrum of just some building materials.

And this, obviously, doesn't represent what ours is going to look like, but it's similar to what is proposed. It's an aluminum based structure and it has some panels to let light and also provide shade.

I do have some photos that I recently took because what I have here is a rendering, but you can see that a portion of the construction has been completed. And this is our area right here. I don't
know if $I$ need to put this down.
(Cell phone photo displayed.)
MR. BEDIKIAN: Sorry. I'm not great with technology. But this is our patio area. The proposed canopy would cover what is this square area down here. But as you can see in the back here, this is the corner of Novi Road and Old Novi. You can see the light there. You can see the homes there. And this would just kind of create a small little space and area for where we can have privacy. It's obviously not going to do anything to block the noise, especially the ambulances that come down Novi Road frequently, but we're requesting this to basically allow us to have some privacy space in our backyard for our family and friends that come over.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you like to add any other question?

MR. BEDIKIAN: No, thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. The City?
From the City?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the City on this case.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.

Correspondence, secretary?
MEMBER KRIEGER: For this case, 22 letters were sent, two returns, one approval, zero objections.
"Approval from this does not effect us in any way. Cheong Choon Ng on Ellesmere Circle, Novi."
(Court reporter spelling clarification.)
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah. C-h-e-o-n-g, first
word. And then last name, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{o}-\mathrm{n}$ and then a separate word, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{g}$.

That's it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
Okay. Why do you need this more bigger canopy?

MR. BEDIKIAN: The main reason is to allow to have some shaded area on our property. Again, it faces east to west. And also, privacy from the road. While the builder did take into account and build a little, I guess it would be a brick kind of facade that says Bolingbrooke for the sub, the road is very visible. The noise is very visible. And there's nothing to put any shade on our property. So we just want this little kind of canopy area to help provide shade and to help create a little area of privacy. You can very visibly
see the back of our yard when you're walking along the sidewalk. And not to say that we're doing anything invasive or anything, but, you know, everyone I'm sure likes to have some sort of privacy in their home and in their backyard and would like to use their property, you know, and enjoy it in that manner.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. And it's open to the board. Member Sanghvi? MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I came and visited your property. I want to congratulate you on a beautiful home there.

MR. BEDIKIAN: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: My only concern is how many feet are you away from Old Novi Road? Because you are looking for some privacy, but how far are you from the road itself?

MR. BEDIKIAN: So, I mean, our lot -- I have our kind of --
(Document displayed.)
MR. BEDIKIAN: This is our site map and this is what's proposed. And, obviously, the canopy is being built kind of where these six little squares are. So, I mean, we're -- it's not going to ever encroach on

Old Novi Road, but, I mean, this plot was approved, I assume, by the City before the builder built it. So I can't tell you exactly how many feet away. I mean, it seems like maybe 15, 20 feet.

MS. OPPERMANN: It looks like it may be 25, if I may.

MR. BEDIKIAN: Yeah. So 25 feet away from the road.

MEMBER SANGHVI: From the main road. Yeah. And I don't know whether you will have any kind of soundproofing by putting this thing over there.

MR. BEDIKIAN: I doubt there will be soundproofing. I hope as the years come and the trees that the builder and I guess the new builder who took it over, I hope they're going to do something to perhaps ensure that there will be some growth with some of those trees that they planted and maybe in five, ten years we'll get some sort of sound barrier. But in the interim, this will allow us to have some sort of -- at least when we're sitting there we can hear everything. But we'll be surrounded by the arborvitaes so it'll make it feel like we're in a peaceful, tranquil area. MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. Well, I hope you do
some landscaping. Some trees which will mature in due course of time. Maybe five, ten years. And, I mean, I like your plan. I like your presentation. Everything you are planning to do is very beautiful and elegant and aesthetically very pleasing.

MR. BEDIKIAN: Thank you. Well, I'll have to tell that to my wife.

MEMBER SANGHVI: I don't mind supporting you, but I don't know that it will provide you any privacy. Thank you.

MR. BEDIKIAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanghvi.

Any other board member, please?
Okay. It's motion time.
Michael, do you want to make a motion?
MEMBER THOMPSON: The other one up here?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
MEMBER THOMPSON: I move that we grant the variance in case PZ21-0058 sought by Matthew --

MR. BEDIKIAN: Bedikian.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Bedikian.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. I was going to say
that wrong.
Okay. Because the petitioner has shown difficulty. Without the variance, the petitioner would unreasonably be prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property just because of the location of the new subdivision.

The property is unique because the way it backs up to Old Novi Road. The petitioner did not create the condition because of the builder taking down old trees to build the new houses. Yeah?

MR. BEDIKIAN: Yes.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because of all the new building going on there and none of the neighbors have called and complained about it or written in. And the relief is consistent with the spirit and the intent of the ordinance because it will be good for the homeowner.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Okay. Katherine, can you please roll call?
MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly.

Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Best wishes.
MR. BEDIKIAN: Thank you. Have a good night. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations. Good night.

Okay. And today's last case. PZ21-0060, Tapper's Fine Jewelry, 20800 Haggerty Road, East of Haggerty Road and North of Eight Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-36-476-003. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 5.4.2 to eliminate the requirement for a loading and unloading zone, a loading zone is required by code.

The variance would accommodate the building of a new jewelry store and a showroom at the site of the former Big Boy restaurant. This property is zoned Freeway Service, FS.

Thank you. Okay. Please spell your first and last name for the court record secretary and she'll take care of the oath. Thank you.

MS. STANDISH: Nancy Standish. N-a-n-c-y, Standish, S-t-a-n-d-i-s-h.

MR. TAPPER: Mark Tapper, M-a-r-k
$T-a-p-p-e-r$.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Are you a lawyer?
MR. TAPPER: No. I'm the property owner.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Do you swear or
affirm to tell the truth in this case?
MS. STANDISH: Yes.
MR. TAPPER: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Linda.

Please go ahead and present what we can help you on this case.

MS. STANDISH: Thank you and good evening.

Appreciate the opportunity to present today. This site, as mentioned, is a former Big Boy. It's at the very southeast corner of the township -- or of the city. We have Haggerty -- or I-275 on the east, we have Eight Mile on the south side, Haggerty Road on the west, and it's bordered by McDonald's on the north side.

Currently it's the Big Boy and parking.
There's not much green space in there. And what we are proposing is an 8,500 square foot jewelry store on the site. The -- we are requesting a loading zone variance for a few reasons. First of all, is the site is an odd shaped lot. It's wider at the top and kind of tapers down at the south side. And it also has three front yards. And in the ordinance, a loading zone is not allowed in the front yards. Along with all this, along the I-275 frontage there's a 40-foot MDOT easement that does not allow buildings within that easement. So we're kind of limited as to how we can place the building.

The use itself as a jewelry store does not require a loading zone. The largest vehicle to deliver items to the vehicle is going to be a small box truck.

So a large loading zone is not necessary for this type of use. And then as we designed the site, we wanted to lessen the impact on the site. Obviously, the Big Boy was all parking and building. For this site, not only did we want to reduce the amount of impervious or hard surfaces, but we also wanted to reuse what's there. So we're utilizing as much of the existing parking lot as we need, along with the storm sewer. And then any areas that we don't need for parking or the site we want to landscape. Try and get more green space. Have a little bit less environmental impact.

And so if we don't need a loading zone, we would prefer to use it as landscape space as opposed to just a concrete or an asphalt pad.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Mr. Tapper, would you like to speak on this case?

MR. TAPPER: I'm just here to offer support to my colleague, Nancy. And also, if you have any specific questions about, again, what our loading and unloading needs are throughout the day and just, you know, God willing one day we will have a big semi truck of diamonds to deliver. But at this point, it's just small boxes. So, you know, that's -- you know, the
operative -- day-to-day operations of the business. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Mr. Tapper, welcome to Novi. And do you own the store in Orchard Lake also, Orchard Lake Road?

MR. TAPPER: Yeah. So our family has three stores. Orchard Lake Road and Maple, and the Somerset Collection. And then we're relocating from the Twelve Oaks Mall to the standalone location on the southeast corner. We're really excited to, you know, really build a fabulous luxury store at the corridor and, you know, entrance to the city and we're very, very excited about this opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Welcome to the city and good luck for your new business.

MR. TAPPER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other thing you would like to add, Nancy?

MS. STANDISH: No, thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Sounds good. Any other audience would like to speak on this case?

Okay. Looks like none.
Okay. It's open to the board.

MR. TAPPER: Correct.
MR. BUTLER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, from City.

Okay. Secretary, correspondence, please, Linda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Approvals, none. Objections, none. Letters sent -- oh, I read it backwards -- ten; letters returned zero.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
Okay. I have -- yeah. I see that Big Boy restaurant has been long time it's open. Nobody is there I heard. Now, it's Tapper's is coming up and welcome to that. I have no objection to approve this.

Thank you. It's open to the board.
Yeah. Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Before I ask any
questions of the applicant, $I$ have a question for the attorney. This is a different kind of business for this particular area, which is supposed to be the freeway service zone. Is it a matter of rezoning or just giving a variance?

MS. SAARELA: This -- that's not the -- so that's not what's before us today. It's a permitted use under the zoning. It's already been with the Planning Commission.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. I have another question also. The business is a more expensive business, this one, and it's on the corner of the freeway access. How secure a is this?

MS. SAARELA: I --
MEMBER KRIEGER: You mean like the fence?
MS. SAARELA: Can you repeat the question?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: You know, like, how safe it is?

MS. SAARELA: How safe is the driveway or the

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Because -no, no. The business.

MS. SAARELA: The business itself. That would be something that you have to ask the applicant. I don't have any information on their security.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Mr. Tapper?
MR. TAPPER: It's a very fair question.
Obviously, when --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Because it's in the freeway access immediately --

MR. TAPPER: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: -- you know, it's more valuable things $I$ know in your Tapper's Jewelry. We don't want to be, you know, in our city and going in a crime rate. That's not good --

MR. TAPPER: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: -- for the city. I need to protect my city also.

MR. TAPPER: Of course. I understand a hundred percent. So a couple -- I have a couple of different things to say. First of all, in the freeway zoning or --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Access.
MR. TAPPER: Zoning, it does allow and permit for gift shops which, obviously, the Planning

Commission approved us for and, you know, thought that that was good. But in terms of the security, we always have full-time off duty or retired police officers on site. We're very, very proactive in terms of our security that we -- measures that we put in place. Some of the things that we talked about with the Planning Commission was even regarding the height of the trees and different things so our security could have proper sight lines and sight visuals to the outside of the building. We have bollards. Are they called bollards?

MS. STANDISH: Bollards.
MR. TAPPER: Bollards. Sorry. In the front of the building to ensure that no one can drive through and come through the glass. Security not only from -I appreciate what you're saying from a city perspective. I'm not just speaking about my own family's personal assets, but also the security of our guests and our associates is our number one concern and so we take that very seriously and we invest heavily in that.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { In addition to that, the one thing I would } \\
& \text { say which is not -- if anyone's ever been on the corner }
\end{aligned}
$$

of Eight Mile and Haggerty, whether you want to make a left turn or a right turn out of our property, I would say that that's actually probably the biggest limitation of the property is sometimes where the traffic backs up. So someone's ability to physically leave our location and to skirt out and make a quick left and get onto 275 very quickly, I find it to be somewhat unreasonable, but listen, anything is possible. And, you know, I just -- you know, I just -but I do find it to be -- I think it would be a small percentage chance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. I would like to -- I really appreciate. I know. Because it happen also you have your own incidents and all. But it's not the kind of thing you want to increase. But it's a good thing and a good explanation. I have no objection.

And it's open to the board. Anybody would like to speak on this case?

Member Sanghvi, go ahead.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I like your store.

MR. TAPPER: Well, thank you.

MEMBER SANGHVI: My only regret with your coming closer to my house and I don't know it's going to be good for my pocketbook.

MR. TAPPER: We might be on opposite sides of the table on that.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
MR. TAPPER: We can be in alignment with you on everything, but that we might be disagreeing on.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Otherwise, I have no problem. I think the entire need for loading and unloading zone is superfluous for your kind of business.

MR. TAPPER: Yes.
MEMBER SANGHVI: So I think there is no difficulty in supporting your request for the variance for this thing. I think it is totally unnecessary for your business. So I understand that.

MR. TAPPER: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanghvi.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Question for the City. For the business -- so for this business, like, if they
could be here for, like, for hopefully a very long time, that it would be toward them, this business or -MS. SAARELA: So if some other use came in and he had a loading zone --

MEMBER KRIEGER: Right.
MS. SAARELA: -- they would probably have another site plan where they would put one in if they needed it.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah. Okay. Good.
Yeah. Welcome to Novi. And the jewel at the -- the entrance to Novi. And then, yes, I like the idea of how you say to make a left turn at rush hour there it's impossible.

MR. TAPPER: It's quite challenging.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah. So welcome. And thank you.

MR. TAPPER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Linda.
Any other board member would like to speak before going to motion?

Seeing none. Okay. We are saving our time to not to go to Orchard Lake and the Twelve Oaks Mall. Okay. I appreciate. Thank you so much.

And, Member Sanghvi, please go ahead and make the motion.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move that we grant the variance requested in the case PZ21-0060 for Tapper's Fine Jewelry, located at 20800 Haggerty Road. And the required -- petitioner has requested showing practical difficulty because of the nature of their business being such they are not expecting any truckloads coming there for loading and unloading in their business so, obviously they don't need that. And I have no difficulty in understanding their need for this variance.

This property is unique because of the nature of the business is being such that they don't need the variance -- the loading and zoning requirement.

This is not a self-created situation and the relief granted will not unreasonably or in any way interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties. And the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance.

Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.

with the Big Boy?
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MR. TAPPER: I was -- honestly, I was optimistic because $I$ was going to play a little joke. I was going to take it and put it on my father's back porch, you know, so. But, unfortunately, the previous owners took it, so.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. That brings to our -- today's cases.

And I don't know whether I can ask the city and attorney, I would like to invite to our temple any -- all the board members if you're interested. I want to show in Taft Road and -- there's a temple. I would like to take a tour for that, if you're interested. I can schedule and I can ask the temple board members because I already -- the library board just did. So I would like to invite our board members too.

MR. SAARELA: So you can invite the board members, but unfortunately, you have to post it as a public meeting if you're going have a quorum of board members there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. If anybody is interested, $I$ can call them or no? They want to see.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. I understood totally. Thank you so much.

That brings our today meeting's adjourned.
And I would like to adjourn the meeting.
MEMBER SANGHVI: May I make a motion to adjourn the meeting for the day?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Second. Say all in favor aye.

THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Meeting adjourned. (At 8:42 p.m., meeting adjourned.)
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