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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good evening. Welcome to the City of Novi Zoning Board of Appeals. Today is February 8th, 7:00 p.m. Call to the order and Pledge of Allegiance. Please, everybody stand up for the Pledge of Allegiance by Member Longo.
(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please be seated.

I'd request the applicants and audience, please, make your phones on silence mode. Whenever you have time, public hearing time, we have only three minutes of time allowed. And whenever you're called, please come to the podium. First tell your first and last name clearly for our secretary for the court record. And if you have anything, you can address when we call. Thank you for the cooperation.

And also, we'll have a public hearing when you're called and there is televisions also in the rooms and the outside. We have a total of four cases,

I believe.
Okay. Can you call roll call, please?
MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly.
Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Present.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?
MEMBER McLEOD: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague is absent, excused.

Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Copes is also absent, excused.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Katherine.
And public hearing and format and rules and conduct. Anything to be added or changes, please, now
is the time to -- you can come to the podium and you can see today's agenda.

Okay. Looks like none.
Approval of agenda, any changes?
MS. OPPERMANN: There are no changes to the agenda.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. And minutes of January 2022 meeting approval. Can you -- make somebody a motion for the approval of January meeting minutes?

MEMBER THOMPSON: I make a motion that we approve the minutes for January.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. All the members, can you keep your mics on? Can you turn on your mic, please? Everybody. Thank you.

Okay. Go ahead.
MEMBER THOMPSON: Sorry. I approve the -- I motion that we approve the minutes for January. MEMBER LONGO: I second. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Say aye. So it looks like there's no changes. Thank you.

MS. OPPERMANN: Pardon me. Chairperson Peddiboyina, did you have an all in favor of approving the agenda?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. All in favor of the January agenda, approval of agenda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Aye.
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Any nays?
Okay. Looks like none.
MR. SCHULTZ: I guess, could I just get a clarification? I know you approved the January minutes. Could it just get an approval of the actual agenda for tonight's meeting?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, sure. Thank you, counsel.

Okay. As per our attorney, let's go for the approval of agenda. Anybody have any changes, or any adding, any deletions tonight? Can somebody make objections?

Say all in favor aye.
THE BOARD: Aye.
MR. SCHULTZ: We're good.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any nos?

Okay. Looks like none. Thank you.
Okay. Public remarks?
Anyone have anything to -- any public
remarks, this is the time to speak.
Thank you. Looks like none. Okay.
Today we have the first case, PZ21-0081, Joseph Yono, 1401 East Lake Drive, West of Novi Road and South of Fourteen Mile Road, Parcel

50-22-02-329-027. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.32-10.ii.a, for the building of a proposed 240 square foot shed on the waterfront, 100 square feet allowed by code, variance of 140 square feet. The variance would accommodate the building of a new lakefront accessory structure. This property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-4.

Is the applicant -- okay. Please spell your first and last name clearly for our secretary.

And our secretary, Linda, Member Linda, can you please take it?

MR. YONO: Joseph Yono, Y-o-n-o.
MR. SCHAUER: Raymond Schauer, S-c-h-a-u-e-r.

(Document displayed.)
MR. SCHAUER: If you look throughout pretty much the entire greater Walled Lake area, if a property has a lot like this, they're granted -- you're going to see many pool cabanas or accessory structures of similar size used for similar purposes.

Hang on one second, I have a color version here.
(Document displayed.)
MR. SCHAUER: So essentially what we've done here is tucked the building itself right up against the tree line where this 40 is here, so that the views from the people on this side as well as behind the Yono's are not impacted negatively at all. There's already a tree line here that would, you know, mitigate any of those views. So that's kind of why we put that there. As far as the metrics go, we are at 8.38 lot coverage. So we're definitely well below what we originally submitted. We were at 13.69 back in October when we submitted that.

I have a couple of exterior renderings here, too. So the Yonos are also about to break ground on their main residence across the street. So this house -- or their house across the street will kind of match in tone with this. It will be one sort of aesthetic package. As you drive down the road, it's not going to be, you know, out of the ordinary or something that doesn't belong. It's going to be kind of like an extremely smaller version of the house itself.

Our biggest, you know, goal here is to provide a safe place for the Yonos to be able to enjoy the waterfront all summer, all year essentially and be able to not have to cross the road to use the restroom or to go grab a drink, move kayaks in and out. I mean, that just gets dangerous to do that repetitively multiple times per day.
(Document displayed.)
MR. SCHAUER: I have another view here. This is the left side. Just a kind of a walk-up drink ledge or opening the door, you know, to be able to see out and grab stuff as you have -- you're entertaining guests and that sort of thing.
'll just leave this up here.
Anything to add, Joe?
MR. YONO: Again, like I said, last time we're just -- have younger kids crossing back and forth, family members, older mom. One of the board members last time said he didn't want this to be livable. It's not going to be livable. It's not --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you speak near the mic, please?

MR. YONO: I'm sorry. I said last time one of the board members said he doesn't want this to be like a livable space. It's not. It's really intended for storage, really, the bathroom and entertaining.

And if you drive down East Lake Drive, there is maybe five or six of these on the water side. Some are bigger, some are smaller.

It kind of fits in that area. I sit on a corner lot, which is a double lot so my front is bigger than a lot of those lots that are on the water side and even on the residential side. And we're just trying to make something nice that sticks out right there. I talked to a couple of neighbors, they don't mind it. It is far from the water. It's basically, I want to
say, 20 -- is it 25 feet from the water?
MR. SCHAUER: It's just under 20 feet.
MR. YONO: From the water. We're putting a seawall up along that water to basically -- the property now takes a dip where all the water runs off the road and my neighbor's side into my property, so that lake part is always flooded. So we want to raise it to make it level like everybody else's. The neighbor to the left, if you're looking at the water, sits higher than me and her property is all concrete. So I, basically, get all that washed down, and from the road it drops also.

So we're trying to basically level it with the road like the other properties and put this cabana. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anything you would like to add?

MR. SCHAUER: Nope.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Okay. From the city?
MR. BUTLER: Yes. Just one quick question.
I just wanted to confirm that the shed will be six feet off the property line on the right side there. You are six feet, that's correct?

MR. YONO: Yes. Yes. I think it's even more. I think it's like seven.

MR. BUTLER: Okay. Perfect.
MR. SCHAUER: I believe we show it as two feet currently, but if we need to make it ...

MR. BUTLER: The code calls for it has to be six feet off the property line.

MR. SCHAUER: Okay. We can make that adjustment.

MR. BUTLER: And it's already far enough from the water so that's okay. But it has to move that six feet.

MR. SCHAUER: Okay.
MR. BUTLER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Larry.

Okay. And correspondence, our secretary.
MEMBER KRIEGER: For this case, 31 letters were sent, zero returned, one approval, two objections.

The first is objection from Wayne and Maria Tilmos on Paramount and no comments.

The next one is objection. "With the limited amount of lake frontage, the shed of that size would be
disproportional to the lot size." From Brent Beshears, I think I said that right. 1395 East Lake.

And the -- "Just wanted to send a note to let you know I'm okay with the proposed variance request for Mr. Yono. Please let me know if you have any questions." From Lauren Pober on East Lake.

That's it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Linda.

Okay. From the public, anybody -- audience who would like to speak on this case?

I would request anybody in the audience that would like to speak on this case, this is the time to speak on this.

Thank you. Looks like none.
Okay. Thank you for the good presentation. And let me open to my board and let them speak on this case.

It's open to the board, anybody can speak on this.

Okay. Dr. Sanghvi, please go ahead.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you.
Good evening.

MR. YONO: Good evening.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I think I have been -- we have met here before a couple of times.

MR. SCHAUER: Yup.
MEMBER SANGHVI: I got only two questions.
Number one, what is the total size of your house? How many square feet?

MR. YONO: 4,300 plus, almost 4,400 square feet.

MEMBER SANGHVI: As compared to that, how big is this shed?

MR. YONO: 240 square feet.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Because I see more -- it's more than 100 percent you are requiring a variance, that's why I'm asking.

MR. SCHAUER: Yes. The house has already been approved and everything. We're just waiting for the permits to knock down the old house that's existing so we can start building on the new one.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay. Thank you.
I am done, sir.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Sanghvi.

Okay. Please go ahead.
MEMBER McLEOD: Good evening. Quick question. You mentioned as you drive down the road you can see similar variances that were provided. Are these your immediate neighbors or are these, like, within view of where you are on the lake?

MR. YONO: It's on East Lake Drive. They're probably -- one is about, I want to say, ten houses down and the other one is about maybe eight houses down from the other one. One is a shipping container with a second level. The second one I want to say is like a 15 by 15. Literally looks like a house that sits a little lower on East Lake Drive. Kind of the same design with an open front where you can enjoy the water by being inside or on the outside.

MEMBER McLEOD: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Member Linda?

MEMBER KRIEGER: The bathroom, the sewage, where do you -- having that drained to?

MR. YONO: We're planning on putting sewer and water on the lake side also and electricity. MEMBER KRIEGER: I worry about that because
in the last 30 plus years that I've been driving up and down that south -- that East Lake Road, is that at times in the summer the water will have an odor of sewage. It hasn't recently, but just to -- I don't know how that is.

MR. YONO: It's going to be city sewer and water, not septic.

MR. SCHAUER: Yeah. We'll be connecting to the city infrastructure.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. So that will be under the road?

MR. YONO: Correct.
MR. SCHAUER: Correct.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. And then the -- it's all going to be enclosed?

MR. YONO: Yes.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Because the ones that are neighboring in the more southern area are smaller and -- or if they're bigger, they're open all year. There's no walls. They have pillars to hold the roof up. And then the ones that are bigger that are surrounded have the berm as you go up the road and see more on the east side of the lake. So if you could
address -- I'm still concerned about the size. Because people driving on the road when the building is built, how -- there's -- when you're looking at the lake and driving, it's going to be there versus the other ones that are there they're not as obtrusive. So this one you'll see it as soon as you're driving by. So can you explain a little bit more or if you could -MR. SCHAUER: In terms of the site, the most -- the property that will be impacted the most by this view is the Yono's personal view themselves. Because of the tree line directly to the right between 161 and 162 and then the location of that, we're not necessarily detracting views from any other property necessarily, except for the Yono's own view which he's happy to sacrifice for this because he can obviously go utilize this property. One of the main factors with him purchasing both lots and then also the waterfront lot was to ultimately have a structure like this so that it can be something that they utilize safely and not have it be this kind of distant thing that they get to go to. And it's inconvenient to be there and they have to, you know, truck a cooler, all that stuff daily. That would kind of get old as a property
owner.
MEMBER KRIEGER: So you wouldn't be storing a boat in there?

MR. YONO: No. It's too small.
MEMBER KRIEGER: You wouldn't be able to?

MR. SCHAUER: No. Miscellaneous like kayaks and daily use stuff.

MR. YONO: Life jackets.
MR. SCHAUER: Yeah. Things that you would prefer not to have to leave in the house and then every time bring that over in a wagon or something like that.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Well, the kayaks aren't anywhere near 20 feet. Would you be able to reduce it to 18?

MR. SCHAUER: Reduce the ...
MEMBER KRIEGER: The length. And go 10 by 18.

MR. SCHAUER: Let me double-check the actual current size here real quick.

Yeah. So we are 12 by 20 right now.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Right.
MR. SCHAUER: I mean, how about 12 by 18? We
would like to keep the 12 width only so that, number one, we can fit a doorwall off the rear adequately size and then also the -- we're thinking about the door facing the garage or the street side as well to be able to, you know, pull in let's say multiple kayaks or if you're backing something in on a trailer to be able to, you know, easily --

MEMBER KRIEGER: The storage door in the front facing the road?

MR. SCHAUER: Correct. Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: I'm not familiar with the sizes of the doors. Those kind of doors.

MR. SCHAUER: So we have an eight -- if you -- right here. We have an eight foot by eight foot door facing the side of the road. If we were to reduce that in width it would get down to, you know, like a six foot door and I think that would kind of look a little odd.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. I'd like to listen to the other members. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Linda.

Any other board member?

MEMBER THOMPSON: The asphalt -- it's going to be an asphalt driveway and then an asphalt patio around it?

MR. SCHAUER: It will be brick pavers. Probably asphalt to the building itself and then around that will be like an impervious paver type material that water can pass through.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. And is there a city code of how close that can be to the water?

MR. BUTLER: It has to be at least six feet from the water, but he's farther than that.

MR. YONO: We're 20 something from the water.

MR. SCHAUER: Yeah. And our --
MEMBER THOMPSON: It's only six feet?
MR. SCHAUER: And the flat work is --

MR. BUTLER: That's the closest he can be -well, to his property line.

MR. SCHAUER: Yeah. The flat work is about eight feet off the water's edge, too, right now. I mean, we can pull that back. That's just for diagrammatic purposes.

MR. YONO: And the seawall we're doing is
also a brick -- let me pull up a picture here.
It's kind of like our neighbors' boulder size brick which is going to be level with when we level the ground. And those bricks are basically like you were saying, is going to be brick pavers from there coming around throughout the whole thing, grass on the other side.

MR. SCHAUER: So I think -- and I can probably speak for Joe here for -- you know, for purposes of making you guys happy and making us happy, we would entertain reducing the length by two feet. So 12 by 18 instead of 12 by 20.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Member
Thompson, you want to continue or anything you want to ask?

MEMBER THOMPSON: That is it for me.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other board member?

Yeah, please.
MEMBER McLEOD: Sorry. To confirm, they mentioned the -- they're raising the barrier to the water a couple of times. Is that actually in question or is that just the --

MR. SCHAUER: No, that's not a question. MEMBER McLEOD: Okay. Just wanted -MR. YONO: This is kind of what we're going to do for the retaining wall along the wall of the sea. Now we just have like stones that are just thrown there.
(Cell phone photos displayed.)
MR. YONO: This is what we currently have there now. So all these rocks, boulders, just thrown along there acting as a seawall.

It's basically cleaning up really that corner. We sit next to the boat launch right here that nobody really uses. I've been -- I took care of it all summer, cut the grass, made it look nice.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Where were the -- the offer of giving up two feet, would that come from the storage part or the cabana part?

MR. SCHAUER: We would take the wall facing the road and bring it closer to the water. MEMBER THOMPSON: So less storage? MR. SCHAUER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Member Thompson, any other questions for you?

MEMBER THOMPSON: That is it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other board before I close?

Okay. Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yeah. I could support the 12 by 18 foot. Also, I think we would put in there just for your security that you need to be six feet off that property line. That should be in a variance.

MR. SCHAUER: We're already planning on doing that.

MEMBER LONGO: Well, it's not in the drawing and I don't want you to build it and then --

MR. SCHAUER: Yeah. No. We'll -- it's
noted.
(Parties talking simultaneously)
MR. SCHAUER: Okay.
MEMBER LONGO: I don't want you to build it and then be, you know, subject to moving it. We don't want to do that. So ...

MR. SCHAUER: Sure.

MEMBER LONGO: That's why I said that.
That's all I have. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Before we go
to motion, any other questions on the board?
Looks like none.
Yeah, as you said, you want to reduce to 12
by 18. And also, Member Longo mentioned about the last question, you agree on that, too?

MR. YONO: Yes.
MR. SCHAUER: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. It's time for the motion for. Member Thompson?

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. I move that we would grant the variance in case PZ21-0081 sought by Joseph Yono for a 12 by 18 square foot accessory building because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring a variance -- getting a variance from the city. Without the variance the -- probably need to turn that on.

Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with the use of his property because the variance does not allow something that big so he needs the help getting it.

The property is unique because it's on Walled Lake and has neighbors with similar structures. The petitioner did not create the situation because it is
an older zoning code.
The relief granted would not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because they have similar structures on their property also. The relief is consistent with the spirit and the intent of the ordinance, again, because of similar structures on close by lake houses.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Go ahead, Member Linda.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Just to -- the amendment for the six feet on the property line. For what you were concerned about ...

MR. BUTLER: You can write that in there saying that they have to remember to keep that six feet off this side of the property line.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. One second. Member --

MR. SCHULTZ: So we just confirmed -- sorry. Just confirmed that two conditions, one is the reduction to the 18 feet in length and the second one is six feet off the south property line?

MR. BUTLER: If you're facing the back, it would be on the right-hand side.

MR. SCHULTZ: Six feet off the property line.
MR. BUTLER: Facing the back of the house, yeah

MR. SCHULTZ: Those would be two conditions to the motion to approve.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, attorney. And Member Thompson, can you add those two points before going to motion again.

MEMBER KRIEGER: You accept those?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Accept those two points.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. I said the first time the 12 by 18. So now I move that we grant the variance in case PZ21-0081 sought by Joseph Yono for a 12 by 18 square foot accessory building that is six feet off of the property line because the petitioner has shown difficulty requiring getting a variance from the city.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay. Still second it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, both of you.

MEMBER THOMPSON: I accept the amendment.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Katherine.
MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly. Chairperson
Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?

MEMBER McLEOD: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Thompson?

MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good luck.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Good luck.
MR. YONO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Take care.
Congratulations.
Okay. Today, let's go to the case number
two. PZ22-0001, Metro Detroit Signs/Aspen Dental, 26222 Novi Road, East of Novi Road and North of Grand River Avenue, Parcel 50-22-14-352-002. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance Section 28-5(d) (2) and 28-5(b) (1)a, for the installation two wall signs exceeding the permitted size of signs. A 61.34 square foot illuminated wall sign on the east elevation of the building, 24 square feet allowed, variance of 37.34 square feet, and a 61.34 square foot illuminated wall sign on the west elevation of the building, 55 square feet allowed, variance of 6.34 square feet. The property is zoned Town Center, TC.

Okay. Please spell your first and last name clearly for our court record and for the secretary
taking the oath.
MR. DETERS: Good evening everyone. My name is Paul Deters, $D$ as in David, e-t-e-r-s. And I'm with Metro Signs \& Lighting, 11444 Kaltz Avenue in Warren.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Linda, can you take the oath?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Are you a lawyer?
MR. DETERS: No, ma'am, I'm not.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Raise your right
hand.
Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. DETERS: Yes, I do.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Please go ahead any way we can help you tonight on this case, please.

MR. DETERS: Very good. Thank you. Well, if many of you may recall, $I$ was before this very board last month for the adjacent property which had the exact same circumstance as this one.

Last month -- and where I'm pointing here, that was the Sleep Number location that has the
identical circumstance that the Aspen Dental has. And so what we're hoping, as you can see, this is Novi Road here. The way the parking is set here and the entrance into the site, the east elevation is really the front and that's where everybody is going to be viewing and coming into the Aspen Dental location. So very few people will use this front elevation or what would be considered the front elevation along Novi Road.

And here is, I could just see what they're hoping to do is the building is very symmetrical. They have three identical arches that they -- they put a lot of design into these buildings. And what we're hoping to do is get the same sign that has been approved for the south elevation, for the east which is going to be where their main door is. As well as the west elevation that faces Novi Road.

And you can see relative to the span and the architectural features that are on these buildings, the signs are very much to scale and we believe it's appropriate, they're just slightly small -- slightly larger than what the code would normally allow.

And one thing is that we, too, and ask that the board might consider is that just the way the Aspen

Dental -- and that's what their signs look like. The letters are -- like the capital letter D is 26 inches tall. So about half of the area or a third of the area of the entire sign is this open space that's created by the ascender on the capital letter $A$, which is a part of their logo, and the descender of the letter P which creates a real large dead area that's considered signage just the way the code calculates that. So I think -- they're really, the actual signage is less than 40 square feet in each case.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any other things you would like to add?

MR. DETERS: No, sir.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Came to your property also. And the next door is Sleeping -MR. DETERS: Sleep Number is what it's going to be.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Sleep Number. I remember, yeah. That's what I remember vaguely. Okay. Let's see. Open to the board. Before going to the board, I would like to ask the audience, anybody would like to speak on this case?

Looks like none.

Okay. From the city, Larry?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city.
Standing by for questions.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Linda, secretary?

MEMBER KRIEGER: For this case, 32 letters were sent, zero returned, zero approvals, zero objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Good. Thank you.

Okay. It's open to the board and anyone can speak on this case.

Dr. Sanghvi. Please go ahead, Dr. Sanghvi.
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. Just a couple of questions. This is going to be a dental office only?

MR. DETERS: That is correct.
MEMBER SANGHVI: And how many people are you -- how many people will be working there?

MR. DETERS: You know what, I don't know. It's a fairly typical size office. I think they have three or four dentists that work there. They're fairly large operations. They get quite a bit of traffic.

MEMBER SANGHVI: And my second is, does it
open into the mall of the Town Center on the other side?

MR. DETERS: To the development behind it to the east.

MEMBER SANGHVI: That would be to the east of
you?
MR. DETERS: No. There is not an exit out the east. There's --

MEMBER SANGHVI: All right. Only through
Novi Road only?
MR. DETERS: And the side street there which is -- well, there's a side -- there's a small entry drive road --

MEMBER SANGHVI: Yeah. I know. That's why I'm asking you. That is what is very critical that it's in that corner. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Dr. Sanghvi, thank you.

Any other board member who would like to speak on this case?

Okay, Member Linda?
MEMBER KRIEGER: I drove by. I would have appreciated a mockup sign to get a proportion picture.

And -- but I see the need for it. I mean, according to what you've given us in the document, it looks proportional, so and I know -- understand the need and then the speed of the road or just the multiple elements dealing with that corner that you have and then plus -- would it be lit -- the question would be for Aspen Dental. Is it going to be lit 24 ?

MR. DETERS: No, it will not.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay.
MR. DETERS: They'll turn it off. Usually around 11 o'clock, midnight they'll turn that off. MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. That's my question. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Linda.

Any other board member?
Okay. Looks like none. And it's motion time. Dr. Sanghvi?

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ22-0001, sought by Metro Detroit Signs for the location of 26222 Novi Road for Aspen Dental. Because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring the need for this
variance as described in the application.
This property is very different than most of the properties around Novi Road at that place and it doesn't open onto the Town Center mall and this requires this size of sign. And without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or restricted in the use of this property.

The property is unique because of its location off Novi Road.

Petitioner did not create the condition and the relief granted will not unnecessarily interfere with any adjacent or surrounding properties. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.

Can somebody can make a second?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.

MEMBER LONGO: Second.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Any other discussions?
Okay. Looks like none.

Okay, Katherine.
MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?
MEMBER McLEOD: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Member Sanghvi?
Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes. Thank you.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Congratulations. Thank you.
MR. DETERS: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. The case number, today's number three, PZ22-0002, Catholic

Central High School, 27225 Wixom Road, West of Wixom Road and South of Grand River Avenue, Parcel number

50-22-18-200-027. The applicant is requesting variance from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.2.D to allow increase of building height to 44 feet at the west entry and 38.45 feet for the robotics room on the east side of a proposed addition to the school building. The remainder of the addition is less than the 35 foot maximum height required by code. This property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-1.

Okay. Please go ahead. State your first and last name clearly for our secretary and court record purpose. Thank you.

MR. TUREK: Good evening. Ed Turek, T-u-r-e-k. President of Catholic Central High School. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Katherine -Linda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Will you raise your right hand. Thank you.

And do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. TUREK: I do.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Mr. Turek, go ahead. And what can we help you tonight?

MR. TUREK: Thank you. Good evening. We thank you for hearing us this evening. As we continue to try to attract new students to our school, our private school, it is important that we continue to build out our campus.

When we moved here 16 years ago, STEM and robotics were not very important to the education of a high school student, but now, as most of you know, it is very important. And we do not have a facility to operate in. Our robotics team is currently off site, off campus functioning and our STEM curriculum is spread out throughout the building. So this is a huge step for us to again attract students just to maintain our enrollment. It's becoming very competitive in the Catholic schools as we draw from students in a wide variety of zip codes.

So we're here looking at talking about our new STEM addition which would be very -- again, very important. It's also very important that we build a structure that identifies who we are, a Catholic college prep school. I want to thank the city staff, including the Planning Commission for their support and their guidance. Our CC supporters who wrote letters.

And some are in the audience this evening, including our neighbors from Berkshire Point who we continue to cooperate with. And I know -- I understand they sent a letter of support as well. They're our closest neighbors.

Finally, I want to thank our team for all their hard work and we have some of them here to answer any questions. And I would like to introduce one of our lead architects from IDS who will go over briefly what the building will look like and why. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Mr. Turek, I have a question. Do you have any pictures to show?

MR. TUREK: I'm sorry?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Do you have any pictures, photos?

MR. TUREK: Yes. We're going to show you that right now.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. Please go ahead and present. Thank you.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Could you swear in as well, sir?

MR. LA TONA: Of course.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Please go ahead and tell your first and last name clearly. MR. SCHAUER: Adrian La Tona. A-d-r-i-a-n. Last name La Tona, L-a space $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{o-n-a}$.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Raise your right -- thank you.

Would you -- in this case, would you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MR. LA TONA: I swear.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please go ahead.

MR. LA TONA: Thank you. Good evening everyone.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you come closer to the mic so that everybody can speak?

MR. LA TONA: Okay. Can you guys hear me?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.

MR. LA TONA: Okay. Good evening everyone. Like Ed mentioned, I'm an architect on the project. And we've been working with the school here to start developing this new vision for the campus. And what we're really trying to do is create a Catholic college
preparatory campus that speaks to the history of the institution, what they're about and where they're going. And this STEM addition is really the first piece of that. Beginning with the robotics wing that you see on the screen here, it's about creating a new jewel when you first walk into the campus. That moment that grabs --
(Clarification by the court reporter.)
MR. LA TONA: Yeah. Maybe it will help too if I --

MEMBER KRIEGER: Oh, yeah.
MR. LA TONA: -- remove my mask a bit.
That's better? Okay. Thank you.
It's really about creating that first welcoming moment when you walk into campus capturing the aspirations of students and prospective parents coming here. The robotics wing itself is that glass jewel piece. As we move down the rest of the building, as you can see here, is creating more of that collegiate gothic style, speaking to the history and the religious aspects of the institution. And like Ed mentioned, the majority of this addition is under that existing zoning requirement and that's not an easy feat
given that this is a STEM addition.
There's a lot of mechanical systems required. There's a lot of structural steel in here. So we're very cognizant of that and lending a lot of credence to the existing requirements while still trying to connect to the school.

When we get over to the west end, this is the west entry piece more central to the campus. This is about wayfinding. This building is over a thousand feet long. It's difficult to navigate when you first approach. Creating this new entrance here on the west end gives visitors a clear identity, a clear destination to go to when they first come in on campus.

I also want to touch on the materiality. The building materials that we're using, they're timeless. It's brick, it's limestone, it's slate roofs, and it's glass. It's about creating something that's going to last the next hundred years just like Catholic Central has.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Adrian.

Any other people?

Okay. Please go ahead.
MR. RYAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good evening, ladies and gentleman. My name is Tom Ryan. I'm an attorney for Detroit Catholic Central. I have offices at 2055 Orchard Lake Road in Sylvan Lake, Michigan. Good evening. Thank you for your time tonight.

As indicated earlier -- I just want to go through the standards briefly if I could. The campus is evolving. And it's a long narrow lot that's -- a number of lots, if you will, with multiple zone districts on it which has been, you know, existing very well with the woodlands and wetlands in the city of Novi since it was first brought here in the early 2000s. So it's a very unique lot. It's got a lot of woodlands and wetlands as indicated.

And the neighbors, the Berkshire neighborhood, is really the closest neighborhood as indicated. And they really will not be able to see any of this. They might see the peak of the east end, but otherwise, they won't see this building at all.

And I would indicate just since part of our zoning is light industrial which is not actually where
the school is, but it's just to the north of that is light industrial which the zone height there is 40 feet. So really, we're -- you know, 35 feet is what the areas for our two, but really we're just a little below on the -- on the west end and we're a little bit higher -- I should say, we're a little bit lower on the eastern end closest to the homes and we're a little bit higher on the west end which is away from the homes. So the property is very unique in that regard.

Secondly, it's not -- this is not a
self-created hardship, respectfully, because the functionality of the STEM program and the robotic which is ever evolving. And I'm not a science person. Fortunately, I'm a social scientist so don't ask me any addition or anything. I know history and sociology, but I don't know anything about -- you know, $I$ was in a lost generation of science, if you will. But the science requirements are ever evolving and they need to have that space. They need that height which is a minimal height. They need to make sure that as the robotics teaching and education continues, that it's going to be functional and it's going to meet the needs of the future. So it's a, you know, de minimis
deviation, if you will, from the requirements, but this is necessary because of the functionality of the building and the architectural, which we think unique architectural detail of the zoning ordinance in this area --

Strict compliance is really not appropriate. It is not conducive to this type of project, at least at the two ends. You know, the one end is a little bit over. The other one is 38 feet. So it's three feet over. So it's really marginally over your limits. But the reason for that is, is that they tried to keep everything down to the 35 feet area and most of the building isn't that high so it's not overwhelming. It's just the two ends.

So this is again, Section 4, the minimum variance necessary to seek compliance for this. And lastly, no one -- we've got support from our neighbors. Nobody will see this. It will be a really -- like the front on Novi Road when people come in, when the school works with the Planning Commission and the City Council, nobody knows what's behind there, but once you get into the community, into the school, you know that you're seeing something special. And this is a 21st

Century use, with a 21 st Century building which we think is timeless and is appropriate under the circumstances.

So I'll be happy to answer any questions. But thank you very much for your time. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr. Attorney.

Any other person would like to speak on this case?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Would that be in public? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Okay. From the audience, anybody would like to speak on this case before we move?

MR. WILLIAMS: Good evening. You need my name?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Go ahead.
MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. My name is Chuck
Williams, W-i-l-l-i-a-m-s. A parent of students at Catholic Central. And I'm just here to support the proposed expansion. They do a great job there. You know, we've had a -- our kids have had a wonderful education and I trust that any of the enhancements that they want to make are ones that are -- they're for the
good of the students. Agree with all -- I won't repeat the comments, although I agree with them. STEM is very, very important to parents of students and I think that this is an excellent step towards providing an excellent education for future students. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. MR. FADOOL: Good evening. I'm George Fadool, F-a-d-o-o-l. I'm a Novi resident. I live not too far from Catholic Central. I did send a letter of support, but I'd like to add a couple of things. First off, I think it's terrific as a resident of Novi and a homeowner in Novi that we have a very commendable $\mathrm{K}-12$ community in the Novi community schools. I think Catholic Central is an asset that is very unique. And not very many communities can enjoy an educational facility like this. It reminds me of the saying, "All ships rise with the tide."

And I think it benefits all the residents in Novi to have an attraction and an educational attraction like Catholic Central.

Second of all, I just -- we all read Intel selected Columbus, Ohio for their next two facilities. One of the reasons they selected Ohio and Columbus
specifically was the quality of education in that community. So I think this isn't just a Novi positive, it's a positive for Michigan. And I encourage you to support the request tonight. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.

Any other audience before I move?
Okay. Looks like none.
Yeah. It's a great presentation. The
architect and the president did an excellent job. And coming to attorney point of view. And nowadays, the school education, you know, robotics is the key thing in every aspect. I know I came the summertime to your school also. And my cousin's daughter is studying in your school. So these are all the -- nowadays, even the restaurants, the robotics are serving the food and all. People have to learn robotics more and more. There is a lot of competition in state and national, people are participating and those are the things.

Before I go on to that, I have a question. City, what is the issue on 35 feet is the maximum?

MR. BUTLER: Normally, it's 35.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Normally is the 35?

Is it because of the residential zone? This is an $R-1$. MR. BUTLER: Right.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Larry, do you have any other questions?

MR. BUTLER: Yeah. The only question I have is, are you really going to put a Blue Angels jet in there or is that just a mockup for a demonstration?

MR. TUREK: That's actually part of the plan is to do that and put that in. We'll also have a flight simulator in there. So we feel it's another tool to inspire our students. So, yes, it's being donated.

MR. BUTLER: I'm probably going to have to come and test that.

MR. TUREK: You're welcome to.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Larry. Thank you very much.

And correspondence, secretary?
MEMBER KRIEGER: In this case, 116 letters
were sent, one returned, six approvals, zero objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: That's great.
MEMBER KRIEGER: So, there you go.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, go ahead.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Should I read the names in?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Please go ahead and briefly. Just go briefly.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. The first one is from
Mr. George Fadool for approval.
Next one is Richard and Wende Boerema, B-o-e-r-e-m-a, on Equestrian Trail.

The next one is from Chuck Childress on Island Lake.

The next one is from Chuck and Lisa Williams on White Pines.

Next one is from Ellen Marsh on Cheltenham Drive.

Harish Siddappa, $H-a-r-i-s-h ~ S-i-d-d-a-p-p-a$, last name. Is the president of Berkshire Condominium Homeowners Association. "We are not raising any objections."

I think that's it. Is that six? Yeah. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. MEMBER KRIEGER: That's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you very much, secretary.

Okay. I have question for the president of Catholic school. Can you please come to the podium? Do you have a current robotic center in your school? MR. TUREK: No, we do not. We're renting space off site across 96.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. So you need it really badly on this thing. That's all I have. I have no objections on this thing. I love to help on this.

And it's open to the board.
Dr. Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. I have known this campus before it started. I think Mr. Ryan used to come with Father Elmer, if my memory serves me right.

MR. RYAN: That's correct.
MEMBER SANGHVI: And I drove around yesterday again to see, visualize what it is. My only -- I have only one question, this glass house you are building is a little incongruous with the rest of the building and it doesn't blend as well as otherwise one would have expected. So is there a specific reason why you are making it a little different than the rest of the
building?
MR. TUREK: The idea was for it to stand out and to try to combine a modern but yet traditional addition. It was a very delicate -- it's a great question. And it was debated for weeks and months and almost a year and this is what we came up with the best conclusion. But it was designed specifically for that.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Okay.
MR. TUREK: I'm not an architect either.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. You can explain.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Because it doesn't fit in with the rest of the campus. That's why I ask you.

MR. LA TONA: Sure. And that's actually part of the reason for the design, in order to show this off and create that moment when you first walk in. You know, we hear a lot about standout buildings and background buildings and part of this long facade that you see stretching across the north end of Catholic Central is intended to create a backdrop for that key robotics piece because for the institution it's a differentiator. It's a game changer for them and how
they operate. And it's something that's an asset. MEMBER SANGHVI: Well, I have no problem. It's just I'm more like a traditionalist and I would have liked it to blended better than it does with the rest of the campus. Anyway, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Dr. Sanghvi.

Any other board member?
Please go ahead.
MEMBER McLEOD: Thank you. So I actually
have a question on the images. And I think it's the bottom one that it'll have my answer the most. I'm trying to understand the materiality of the request. When I look at the bottom picture, all the buildings are uniform except one little point at the entrance on the right.

I think it's the one -- yeah. So that one kind of has it there. The brownish, lightish, tanish color. So that's one point that I see. And I believe it's one point where you're asking for the exception for. But where is the other? I can't identify on the rendering. Everything else is really uniform.

MR. LA TONA: So I can field that question.

The variances are being requested for this piece like you mentioned here, and the robotics wing. The way the ordinance is currently stated, height is measured to I think it's the two-thirds of a sloped roof. So if you follow that ordinance, the majority of our sloped building is under the existing zoning ordinance. It's when we get to the flat roof of the robotics wing because it's not sloped but flat on top, that it gets above that 35 foot ordinance.

MEMBER McLEOD: And I'm not sure if you can actually point on there. But when you say the sloped roof, you're talking about the one on the far left? MR. LA TONA: These sloped roof elements here.

MEMBER McLEOD: Oh, okay.
MR. LA TONA: And then this is the peak -this is the high point, the 44 foot mark here. And that was about -- if I pulled back here, you're only seeing half of the existing building here. There's an entire second half that continues at this very consistent height. And the creation of that peak is very intentional so that visitors coming to the STEM addition, coming to view robotics competitions and that
sort of thing know where to go. It's about wayfinding and creating a sense of entry on campus, and there's also very much a religious element to that entrance piece as well.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Ready?

MEMBER McLEOD: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Any other board member?
Okay, Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yeah. I have two things.
First of all, I disagree with Mav. I understand that the building doesn't look like the other buildings. I have a technical background and saw that building and it immediately turned the light on. So you guys that argued that, that happens to people like me. And if I had a student, which I don't, but if I had a student, I'd say, you know, you got to look at this if you're interested in technical. And STEM and robotics is hot stuff right now. Both in education, after high school, and in the workforce, our governor talked about that, that we need that kind of stuff in the workforce in the state of Michigan. I totally support this.

MR. TUREK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Longo.

Any other board member before closing?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Question.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, go ahead, Member Linda.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Sorry. For the glass building, it's going to house the educational pieces like what you were talking about displays?

MR. TUREK: So the glass is housed for the robotics arena, which you need the tall height for because they do a lot of competitions where you have to sling a -- a robot slings a ball into a basket or hoop. So that's the purpose of the height. There's also some connecting labs in that area for engineering as well.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. And then I drove through there and $I$-- and it's just like driving through the Felician Sisters property in Livonia. I got the same feel. Like, I went to Madonna and saw -I totally enjoyed driving around the property. And I can understand where you want the height for the -being a Catholic institution, the height draws -- is a draw and has meaning. So $I$ can understand that and
agree with that.
And then the glass, it is different, but then it will be your -- it is the draw. And then also, like, driving through the Felician Sisters property, they have different pieces. So they're similar but different, so I can understand and agree with that as well.

MR. TUREK: Thank you.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Linda.

Any other board member before moving to the motion?

Okay. Member Longo, it's time for the motion.

MEMBER LONGO: Yes. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ22-0002 sought by Catholic Central High School for the building height variances because the petitioner has shown practical difficulty requiring the space to support STEM, robotics and, frankly, I think future things that you're going to need to do.

Without the variance, petitioner will be
unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because the entrance offers an obvious place to enter the building and the tall building makes the technical effort attractive to those students that may be interested in that.

The property is unique because it is a large high school campus in a residential zone. The petitioner did not create the condition because the educational structure is evolving and to keep with the current educational needs.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with the adjacent or surrounding properties because people can't see it. It doesn't block the view of anyone. It's completely way off of Twelve Mile and Wixom. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of it because of the location.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Any other discussion before moving to the roll call?

Okay. Looks like none. Katherine?
MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?

MEMBER McLEOD: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Best wishes.
MR. TUREK: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations to
all of you. Thank you.
And today going to the final case tonight.
Give me one minute. Let them go slowly.
Okay. Thank you so much.
And now is the final case for tonight, PZ22-0003, Pro Image Design/IEP Urgent Care, 27204 Beck Road A3, East of Beck Road and North of Grand River Avenue, Parcel 50-22-16-176-033. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Code of

Ordinance Section 28-5(b)(1)a, for the installation of a 33.37 square foot illuminated wall sign on the west elevation of the building, 32.5 square feet allowed, variance of .87 square feet. This property is zoned Office Service Technology, OST.

Okay. Please tell your first and last name clearly for our record.

MS. DURGAN: Hi. I'm Susan Durgan, D-u-r-g-a-n, with Independent Emergency Physicians.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Susan, can you say the oath for madame secretary?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Are you an attorney?
MS. DURGAN: I am not.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. Raise your right hand

Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in this case?

MS. DURGAN: Yes.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Thank you.
MS. DURGAN: Sorry. Can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. You can lift your mic a little.

MS. DURGAN: Okay.

MS. DURGAN: So one thing I noticed in the description, we actually submitted the paperwork for the sign is 33.58 square feet. So the request for the variance is 1.08 square feet, but it says in here it's 33.37. Just want to make sure that -- you know, that it's actually 33.58. And I think that's actually what was in the variance paperwork.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 33.58 square feet?
MS. DURGAN: Yes. So it's not the 33 --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: . 37 ?
MS. DURGAN: Yes. So -- so I think it says that we're requesting a variance of 0.87 , and it would actually be 1.08. So it's a little bit over a square foot.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 1.8?

MS. DURGAN: 1.08. So it's just a little --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 1.08. Not 0.87?

MS. DURGAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Katherine?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Do they have to renotify?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: What would happen
in a case like this?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Do you want to read the case again?
(Pause.)
MS. DURGAN: I mean, I'm looking at the description that we have.
(Document displayed.)
MR. SCHULTZ: See, unlike Mr. Ryan, I'm not good at math for a lawyer. So it would appear that the notice is not -- if the notice were for a larger variance than she actually wanted, you would be okay. But it sounds like the notice is for a smaller variance than what she actually needed, am I reading that correctly?

MS. DURGAN: What -- I think the notice would have said that it's smaller than it actually is.

MR. SCHULTZ: Right. So if she needs a 1.08 square foot variance, then this would need to be renoticed.

MS. DURGAN: Okay.
MR. SCHULTZ: Just doing the math here. Trying to.

MEMBER KRIEGER: The sign that's up there now, is that -- what do you call it, a mockup sign?

MS. DURGAN: No. It's the actual sign. So we fabricated a sign based on the 27 lineal footage which we had confirmed with the city and then -- we were actually taking down a sign. We were taking down the Healthy Urgent Care sign. We had purchased the property back in 2019 and so we were in the process of rebranding the exterior signage. So we fabricated the sign based on the permit of -- based on the 27 lineal footage that Healthy Urgent Care was granted and then there was discussion with the city that the measurement was different. The measurement was 26 . We actually went out and measured it at 28 based on just the frontage of -- I can show you. Based on the frontage of --

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah, yeah.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Before you go, Susan --

MEMBER KRIEGER: You don't have to keep going because it sounds like we have to readvertise.

MS. DURGAN: Okay. So it is up. That was our error. We put it up thinking that we were --

MEMBER KRIEGER: That's okay.
MS. DURGAN: -- correct.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MS. DURGAN: So then we were working with Deborah to reconcile this and just to make it right. And the easiest thing was to apply for the variance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Susan. One second. I have a question for the city attorney and city. What do you want us to do on this thing before going to this?

MR. SCHULTZ: You need to postpone to the next meeting so we can renotice.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. That's what I'm thinking. Yeah. Because we don't have the record proper.

MS. DURGAN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: We are postponing and somebody can make a motion to the -- and somebody like, Linda, can you please --

MEMBER KRIEGER: I move to -- for case number PZ22-0003, for Pro Image Design/IEP Urgent Care, to the next meeting which is March ...

I don't know the date.

MS. OPPERMANN: March 8th.
MEMBER KRIEGER: March 8th.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And with the corrections of new whatever it is.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Okay. So that's the motion.
MEMBER LONGO: Second.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Do we need a second or a -MEMBER LONGO: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Make a
second somebody. Okay. Somebody can make a second on this?

MEMBER LONGO: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And before moving on, he has a question. Please go ahead.

MEMBER McLEOD: I do have a question. If we are to postpone this discussion to next month, are we then asking the urgent care facility to then take down the sign until next month or are they allowed to keep it?

MR. BUTLER: No.
MEMBER McLEOD: Okay. I'd like to confirm that. Thank you.

MR. BUTLER: They keep it up. Yeah.

MS. DURGAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much. Okay. Anybody have any other questions on this case before moving?

Okay. Do you need the roll call for this one?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yep.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please.

MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger?
MEMBER KRIEGER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?
MEMBER McLEOD: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi?
MEMBER SANGHVI: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MEMBER KRIEGER: See you next month.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MS. DURGAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: See you, Susan, one more time.

Okay. And any other matters before we -okay. Member Sanghvi, please go.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Well, first of all, I want to congratulate you all the new member -- officers of the zoning board. Well done. And I really admire you, Joe --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MEMBER SANGHVI: -- for continuing as you are. You are a glutton for punishment.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.
MEMBER SANGHVI: And I also want to welcome Mr. Schultz here. I haven't seen him for ages.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah, for ages.
MEMBER SANGHVI: It's so wonderful to have you here. Thank you.

MR. SCHULTZ: Nice to see you all.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, I know him. Thank you. Good to see you, sir. Thank you. Welcome.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Thank you. May I make a motion to adjourn?

MEMBER KRIEGER: Wait. Before --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Before -- before adjourn -- yeah. Go ahead.

MEMBER KRIEGER: I overheard the -- or I was watching on the Zoom the meetings, there's going to be a joint meeting for learning with planning. Is that what your --

MR. BUTLER: Yes. There's a joint meeting coming up. You should have already gotten that E-mail.

MEMBER KRIEGER: E-mail? Okay.
MR. SCHULTZ: February, March, sometime I think.

MEMBER KRIEGER: Yeah. All right.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MR. SCHULTZ: So I think it's going to be a joint. We'll start out with some joint presentation and then break out into two separate groups.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Two separate groups.
MEMBER KRIEGER: Okey-doke.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Sounds good. Any other members who would like to speak before?

Okay. Looks like none. I don't know whether

I can ask or not, our chief, David Molloy is retiring. I don't know. When is the date on this, February 20th? MS. OPPERMANN: I believe he'll be retiring officially on the 18th.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: 18th. Okay. On behalf of zoning board, I wish him good luck and congratulations on a wonderful job he did. He is an amazing person. I seen several events and he did an excellent job on behalf of my City of Novi Zoning Ordinance. And anybody would like to see on that day, there's a $\$ 24$ ticket, I believe.

MR. BUTLER: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And any donations also. I don't know about that, but $I$ got in the E-mail so I would like to recall on that and see some of you. It's not mandatory.

Thank you so much and the meeting is adjourn -- before I adjourn, any -MEMBER KRIEGER: Whatever Mav says. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Mav. MEMBER KRIEGER: Mav was going to say -CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Go ahead,

Mav. Go ahead, sir.
MEMBER SANGHVI: All right. Nothing else. MEMBER KRIEGER: Move to adjourn then. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Move to adjourn.

MEMBER SANGHVI: Well, let's make a motion to adjourn the meeting for the night. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Somebody make a second say, "Aye." MEMBER KRIEGER: Aye. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anybody says no? Okay. Meeting adjourned. (At 8:11 p.m., meeting adjourned.)
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