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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Good
evening. Everybody's there. Welcome to Novi Zoning Board of Appeals of May 12th, 2020. And Kathy, you can call the roll. MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly. Member Krieger does not appear to be present as of yet.

Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Present.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Present.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker?
MEMBER SANKER: Here.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanghvi is absent, excused.

Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Here.
MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Verma?
 quorum.

Public hearing format rules and conduct: If we could have any of your phones to be turned off, if you're not the presenters. Other people turn off their phones. And if we have any questions or anything for the Zoom call, you can raise your hand for the orator, they can help you on that.

And we'll have a public hearing where, when each case is called up, anyone can make their remarks. It's on television at home and the people can come. There's no podium. Just speak on the Zoom and it will show up on the computer for the people at home as well.

And rather than people come to the podium, there's no podium, as I said, they can raise their hand according to the order and the orator can help you on that.

And please, once you come, please spell your name, first and last name, very slowly for our court record and be sworn by our secretary, if you're not an attorney.

And we have an agenda tonight. We have a total of three cases.

Am I right, Kathy?
MS. OPPERMAN: Yes, correct. Three cases
tonight.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: We have three cases.

And approval of agenda?
MS. OPPERMAN: There was one addition to the agenda wherein for other matters after discussion of the cases we will discuss PZ20-0005 from the April meeting for clarification purposes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yup. Thank you, Katherine. I appreciate it.

And somebody can you approve the agenda?
MEMBER VERMA: So moved.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. And anybody second?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. So approved.
And then minutes of April. Can somebody move the motion for the minutes of the meeting on April 2020?

MEMBER VERMA: So moved.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Somebody can second, please.

MEMBER SANKER: Second.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. And coming to the public hearing. Public remarks, anyone have anything regarding other than the case, have something for the ZBA, you can come and raise your hand on the Zoom, Zoom call. I'll wait for 30 to 45 seconds.

If anyone, come forward for any other reason apart from what Katherine mentioned, that PZ20-0005 on April meeting. Apart from that, anybody have any other comments on today's meeting?

MS. OPPERMAN: There are not currently any attendees raising their hands.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Do you want me to move, then, to the first case?

Okay. And thank you. And thank you for everybody. And I hope everybody is staying home and safe in this COVID-19 and this pandemic situation. And all of the ZBA and all the City of Novi people are working very hard, the police department, I thank you. And kudos to the frontline workers.

And I move to the first case: PZ20-0015, Acquira Realty Holdings, 44050 West Twelve Mile Road, west of Novi Road and north of Twelve Mile road, parcel
number 50-22-10-452-001. The applicant is requesting the variance from the City of Novi Zoning Code, Section 5.4.1 proposing to convert three of the seven existing loading zones into six parking spaces.

This will continue to allow ample space for loading slash unloading and would not adversely affect the flow of traffic and loading slash unloading of our deliveries. The property is zoned Office Service, OS-1.

Is the applicant is present, please?
MR. SCHIMIZZI: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. And for my court record and secretary, can you ...

Katherine, can you take care of this?
MS. OPPERMAN: We'll need you to state and spell your name for our court reporter.

MR. SCHIMIZZI: Sure. My legal first name is Gracian. It's G-r-a-c-i-a-n. Middle initial J, and my name is last name is S-c-h-i-m, like Mary, I-z, like zebra, z like zebra, I.

MS. OPPERMAN: Are you a legal
representation, Mr. Schimizzi?
MR. SCHIMIZZI: I'm sorry?

MS. OPPERMAN: Are you a lawyer?
MR. SCHIMIZZI: No, I am not.
MS. OPPERMAN: In that case, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in the matter before you?

MR. SCHIMIZZI: I do.
MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. We can move -- you can tell your case about what you want to, Mr. Schimizzi.

MR. SCHIMIZZI: Thank you.
Thank you, everybody. I am the principal and owner of Stoneridge Office Complex. It's a seven-building office complex of which I own and my company manages, fixes the buildings within. It's an overwhelming medical dense office complex. It's on Twelve Mile just west of Novi Road.

We have -- one of the first tenants at the complex was a general office tenant. Actually, it was LiveNation. They vacated back in December upon the termination of their lease, leaving approximately 4,300 square feet vacant.

We have had an overwhelming reason, since I purchased the unfinished development in 2012, for
medical. Site planning was approved for 75 percent medical office use.

The office park is under parked despite the high medical density. The currently vacant 4,300 square feet, we have an interested tenant who has been a long-standing chiropractor, a chiropractic office, for over two decades over in the Wixom area who is looking to occupy half of the 4,300 square feet approximately. And his ability to move into part of that vacant space is contingent upon this parking variance to accommodate some additional parking.

The site currently has seven load zones. We're requesting to convert three of the seven loads -- of which, by the way, not all seven of them get used. They're proposing three load zones to be converted to parking spaces for an additional six parking spaces.

We do not feel that this request is unreasonable. The parking, like I had indicated before, is very underparked despite the high medical density. The medical users within here, with the exception of one, which is Beaumont, is not a heavy medical -- despite their medical groups is not a heavy
medical user. So the request is converting for, like I had indicated, three of the seven load zones to parking.

So six to accommodate that new tenant and accommodate our ability to have that new tenant conform to the parking ratio per the site plan approval.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. You want to add anything more, sir?

MR. SCHIMIZZI: Not at this time.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Not at this time.
Thank you.
Okay. Is there anyone else in the audience who would like to speak on this case, please?

Katherine, are you able to see anybody raising their hands?

MS. OPPERMAN: There are currently no attendees. Would you like for me to -- for the mailings?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: In this case there were -CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,

Katherine, for taking care of the secretary role also in this pandemic.

MS. OPPERMAN: Of course. In this case there were 12 letters sent out. We have received no returns, no approvals and no objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. From the City?

MR. BUTLER: The only thing I have from the City is that they are at capacity on the property that they're on. There's no way they can expand their property to make their parking lot any bigger to get any more excessive loading/unloading areas and that changing those areas into parking areas does not impact the loading or unloading of any mandatory deliveries or affect the other clients that are in that area.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Larry. I appreciate it.

Okay. Correspondence, that's done. The correspondence. Very good.

And putting it on to the board. Board members, who would like to talk on this case. Anyone?

MEMBER SANKER: Yeah. I guess I just have a quick question.

Hey, Joe.
MR. SCHIMIZZI: Hey. How are you, Kevin?

MEMBER SANKER: Good. Real quick. Did you guys build the building on there?

MR. SCHIMIZZI: The complex is composed of seven buildings. When I purchased the property back in 2012, it was an unfinished development. There was only two buildings existing. All the pads were already there but nothing vertical. I then have since completed the development in conformance to the site plan approved that was already approved prior to my ownership of the development and have had tremendous opportunity in bringing medical use to this corridor.

Excuse me. To this development.
It developed the site. I didn't develop the pads. I finished five of the seven vertical.

MR. SANKER: Got you. I mean, I took a look at what you have submitted with that survey. The three highlighted areas, right, are the areas that are going to be converted, right?

MR. SCHIMIZZI: Right. That's what we're requesting to convert, that's correct.

MEMBER SANKER: I mean, I think that's a small request, frankly. And I don't see any reason why -- well, I certainly would approve it and I hope
the other board members agree.
MR. SCHIMIZZI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Mr. Sanker, thank you.

Anybody in the board members, please.
MEMBER LONGO: Yeah. This is Mike Longo.
I visited the site and I think that
converting those loading and unloading areas -- which is predominantly for people probably with wheelchairs, because there's a lot of medical there. He still has plenty of that. I saw people loading and unloading in regular parking places because nobody was next to them and they were closer to the door to the building today. But I see absolutely no problem here in reducing the number of loading zones. More importantly, getting more parking for the tenants.

I have no problem with this.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Mr. Longo.
Anybody in the board, please?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'd say the same thing. I went by and there's other handicapped parking. So it's truly a loading zone and I don't see there would be a
problem turning those into parking.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Mr. Thompson.
Okay. And it's my turn. I have no objection as for what my board member stated. And I also drove through the area with my car myself. And I know this is necessary for you, this situation. I have no objection on this.

And it's motion time, anybody would like to make a motion on this?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Sure. I'll make a motion. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: It's Clift Montague, sorry. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Clift. Sorry. MEMBER MONTAGUE: That's all right. No problem.

I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ20-0015. Without the variance, the petitioner will be unreasonably prevented with respect to their property use because he cannot expand his current medical uses.

The property is unique because it was a
development that he purchased and there's no room for expansion of the parking lot. He did not create the condition. Again, he purchased it and the site is what it is.

The relief granted will not unnecessarily interfere with any adjacent properties or the tenants and their use there. And the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it will make him meet the code for parking and I think that the unloading areas are still adequate.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Somebody
would make a second, please?
MEMBER LONGO: I'll second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
And Katherine, if you can, please call roll call.

MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly.
Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker?
MEMBER SANKER: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations.
MR. SCHIMIZZI: Thank you, guys, very much.
Appreciate it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Moving to
the second case for today's meeting. PZ20-0016,
Nicholas Kal- -- K-a-l-w-e-i-t. 23873 Heartwood Drive, west of Beck Road and south of 10 Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-29-127-008.

The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinances, Section 4.19.1.E.iii to allow for 458 square feet of garage space beyond the 1000 square feet allowed by code, a total of 1458 square feet of garage space on the property. This variance would accommodate the construction of a detached garage. This property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-1.

Is the applicant present, please?
MR. SCHIMIZZI: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Can you
spell your first and last name and swear in for the court record purpose and our acting secretary, Katherine, can take care of that.

MR. KALWEIT: My first name is Nicholas, N-i-c-h-o-l-a-s, and the last name is Kalweit, K-a-l-w-e-i-t.

MS. OPPERMAN: Thank you. Mr. Kalweit, do you swear or affirm to tell the truth in the case before you?

MR. KALWEIT: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Mr. Kalweit. And you can proceed to whatever you would like to say about your case on this today.

MR. KALWEIT: So I've lived in Novi for almost 15 years now. We bought the house about 10 years ago and the neighborhood treats us very well. We're on very good terms with our immediate neighbors, but as our family has grown and our vehicles have grown, we find ourselves needing more garage space.

Our existing garage space is just
insufficient and we have an existing shed as well that needs to, basically, be replaced. So what I would like to do is remove that shed and build a garage that can store all of our outdoor equipment and our vehicles then that will fit in our garage.

It's important not to -- for rodents and security, but with the installation of the walking path that is now in the field behind our property, we have a lot of people walking much closer to our property and even have people starting to cut through a lot. So I would really like to secure everything that we have rather than just keeping it in the backyard.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you like to add anymore, Mr. Kalweit?

MR. KALWEIT: Nothing else at this time.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Sounds good. And thank you so much.

And from the City?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the City. Standing by for questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And sorry. Before that, anyone in the audience?

MS. OPPERMAN: There are no attendees for
this case.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Nothing.
Any correspondence, please?
MS. OPPERMAN: Yes. For this case there were 24 letters sent out, zero returned, two approvals.

We have one approval from Mr. Robert B. Weaver, W-e-a-v-e-r, at 23851 Heartwood. They just note that they approve of the request.

We have another approval from Gail Schroeder S-c-h-r-o-e-d-e-r of 23750 Heartwood. And they have just circled their approval.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Sounds good. Thank you, Katherine. I appreciate it.

And I see their difficulty. And let me check with my fellow board members also on what they say. I'll put it on the board.

Board members, anybody wants to say anything on this case, please, you can talk on this right now.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Sure. I will. I see that you got an approval from your condo association and agreed that it would match the character of the house, correct?

MR. KALWEIT: Correct.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: And there's nobody -you've got some pretty vacant land back behind you so you're not in anybody else's backyard either. I went by there earlier and saw it.

MR. KALWEIT: Yeah.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: So I think those things are in your favor and I favor this approval.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Clift.

And also I saw your property and the properties around. And also, as you mentioned, both of the board members, also the association, matching the same and everything and your property's on a common lot, also. And let's see how things will go from my other board members.

And anybody would like to speak on this case, please.

MR. SANKER: I'll just say something. It's not really a question or anything. It looks like it's a relatively small variance and I think you guys do a good job of making it look like -- or fit in well with the property. So I don't see a reason why we would disprove it. So I would be in favor of the variance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Mr. Sanker.

Anybody else on the board, please?
Okay. Seeing none, I believe. It's a motion
time. Somebody can make a motion, please.
MEMBER LONGO: I can make a motion.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Michael.
MEMBER LONGO: Joe, you're welcome.
I, too, drove by the property. I think it's
a good idea. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ20-0016, brought by Nicholas Kalweit for his property at 23873 Heartwood Drive because the petitioner has shown a difficulty in storing all of his valuables and I think it's really important that the security is there for the walkway behind his home.

Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented from -- or limited from storing all his things. And, again, the security issue, I think, is important. The property is not unique.

The petitioner did not create the condition because he purchased the home. It had kind of a standard size garage and he needs the additional space.

The relief granted will not unnecessarily
interfere with adjacent and surrounding properties because in that neighborhood there are a number of additional buildings behind homes. So it's pretty similar in his particular neighborhood.

I would add a variance granted subject to the architecturally compliance that your homeowner association wants. So you need to do that as well.

MR. KALWEIT: Definitely.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Longo.

MEMBER SANKER: I second it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you very much.

Katherine, please call the roll, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: All right. Let's see. Member Longo?

MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker?
MEMBER SANKER: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MR. KALWEIT: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I wish you good luck and stay safe. Thank you so much.

And let's move to the last case on our agenda, case number three. The final -- I mean, the end of the day for the planning case and we have another matter also.

PZ20-0017, Ron and Roman, Inc. slash Guernsey Farms Dairy, 21300 Novi Road, east of Novi Road and north of Eight Mile Road, parcel number 50-22-35-301-001. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance, Section 28-5(a) for six additional signs, five additional wall signs and one awning/canopy sign. The awning/canopy sign is also oversized, 72 square feet requested, 24 square feet allowed by code.

28-14(b) (1), upgrade existing nonconforming pole sign to maintain compliance. A nonconforming sign shall not be structurally altered as to prolong the life of the sign, or in any way that would increase the degree or extent of nonconformity of such sign. This property is zoned General Business ( $B-3$ ).

If the applicant is present, please?
MR. BONISLAWSKI: Yes, I am.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Can you slowly spell your first and last name, please, for my court records and our secretary will get you sworn.

MR. BONISLAWSKI: Sure. Roman Bonislawski, as shown on my window. Last name is spelled $B-0-n$ as in Nancy, I-s-l-a-w-s-k-i. Ron and Roman.

Do I give an address, too?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MR. BONISLAWSKI: 275 East Frank Street,
Birmingham, Michigan 48009.
MS. OPPERMAN: Were you a lawyer, Mr.
Bonislawski?
MR. BONISLAWSKI: No thank you.
MS. OPPERMAN: Then do you swear or affirm to
tell the truth in the case before you?
MR. BONISLAWSKI: Yes, I do.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you very much, sir. You may present your case for today. What we can help you.

MR. BONISLAWSKI: I have with me Jeremiah Armstrong, who is an employee of ours and has been responsible for this project from the get-go. And I saw Mr. Joe Kinville, one of the owners, is also an attendee in this meeting. Not as a participant unless you may have specific questions of him.

Two things, upfront. First of all, thank you
very much for holding this as the last meting.
Obviously, the most complex one to try to get around all the moving bits and pieces on this and appreciate all of your time. And this Zoom stuff has become very normal for us working from home these last four or five or six weeks. I don't even remember how many it is. That's the first thing I wanted to thank the board for.

Secondly, we're thrilled for this process which we began a year -- I don't even know how long ago. This was a very, very complex process and we actually had a very good working relationship with the

City of Novi. At each phase, so that this board understands, they cautioned us about things we were proposing that we would have to go through and justify and stand up to these boards to see what the outcomes will be and we understand that as a group.

This project, as it started, had so many issues associated with it throughout that multi -- I think it was a four-month process that we worked with planning before submitting. We had everything down to just a couple of issues and it passed through both Planning and City very, very nicely for us and we're thrilled to be working in the City.

I hope the members of this board have had the opportunity to do a drive-by. The interior is spectacular and I'm so happy for Joe and Greg and the whole Guernsey team that their original wish -- which was slightly thwarted by the COVID-19 -- of celebrating their 80th anniversary this year, which would have been at the beginning of April and, of course, the shutdown has, unfortunately, affected the festivities that were associated with it. But it's been a process that they felt it was time to reinvest in the physical property and, as such, we've had a blast doing it.

Now, with regards to the signage. Thanks for allowing me that.

With regards to the signage. If you've driven by the building and if you look at our exhibits which consists of numerous versions of sheet 8201, in each case identifying the square footages and the placement of all of the various sign components, I think the board would agree that -- that -- even with the fact that this signage package that we're proposing to you right now is --
(Court reporter pause.)
MR. BONISLAWSKI: The proposed signage has the appearance of being extremely diminutive on the entire building, I said.

We've been sensitive to signage. It's not common for us to appear in front of a board for signage variances because justifying signage variances are very difficult. And as you approach it from the standpoint of a designer and as experts in the hospitality industry, we're trying to make this the friendliest place we can.

I would go about describing to you these sign components in this way, first, sir, with regards to
that existing Guernsey sign, we actually brought it back into compliance. Because somehow over the 20 years that it's been up, it gained a small component on the underside of it which was never part of the original, permissible package. That little rectangle below is what we propose to remove to just bring the sign back to its original standing.

So that sign is a wonderful sign that there's so many unique aspects about this property being at the southernmost border entry to Novi. We're working with the city to relocate the City of Novi sign because our renovations have impacted that. Having that sign there to clearly identify both from the north and the south and keeping it in place was a very important feature for us. And we've tailored our renovation to reflect and sympathize with the simplicity in the stainless steel that's involved in that, which is part of the image of Guernsey being this very sanitary and wonderful place.

Next, the actual wall sign is not at issue because we met the signage area requirements and we're committed to one wall sign. With regards to the five other wall signs that are called out, four on the
facade on sheet 8021, underneath the Guernsey logo and wrapping around the corner would just state dairy store, dining and drinks, soda fountain and since 1968, 1960 -- whatever that number is. My eyes are not that good.

Those components are there truly just to -as an announcement for the public because Guernsey Farms Dairy conveys the dairy operation. For sure there's a load of clientele that are very familiar with that project and understand what it was and we have taken great pains not to mess up the restaurant part of it and to improve on the soda fountain part of it in order to just make everybody happy.

It's not intended to shock or do anything that's going to upset their existing clientele, but the goal is to introduce an entirely new clientele to this project. So we believe that the inclusion of this helps announce that this is not just Guernsey Farms Dairy, which is the primary dairy producer. But, in fact, that there's an eating component here. There's a soda fountain component here and that you could dine and that -- again, because this entire renovation was based on the anniversary of the project, the
established ended up being a nice tag line to add to it. That takes care of those pieces.

We originally, when we started the process, were proposing many more graphics on the building, but we opted to, again, diminish the amount of ask that we have for this board. And it's the specificity of the strange conditions associated with that property.

Right now I would like to address, on the south end of the building, we've introduced a new carryout entrance. Previously, the way carryout was handled through the main entrance of the dairy, there was quite a congestive component that happened right in the building. They do have a very strong carryout business and it was important for us to accommodate the patronage, again, to be able to simply come up to the side. As such, we provided a small enclosure, a canvas enclosure, which we have recently gone through and gotten administratively approved for signage areas. And, again, we have all of our section waivers for the materials that are on the building.

We've decided to then introduce the graphic -- that graphic component, the second most famous thing that Guernsey is famous for is their
chocolate milk, blue ribbon awarded on a regular basis. And it truly is magnificent.

And so, at that, the carryout entry would include the small tag line on the front of the awning. Which, in fact, the dominion or the size of the lettering there, actually, I think, makes it a permissible piece.

But it says, "Take a chick home tonight," which is the implication of taking home their wonderful chicken dinner. Which, by the way, because of their involvement with their new food consultant, too, Guernsey Farms will now be offering their chicken, which will be better than ever, with a buttermilk coating. As a dairy, that only makes sense, too.

So all of this is -- is -- the opportunity to look at this unique piece of property that isn't in a strip center. That isn't a commonality that you find everywhere. That doesn't necessarily establish precedent and that the final outcome not only is tastefully done, but looks as if it was meant to begin with.

And I'm sorry that took so long and I'll stop there and I would love to respond to any questions you
have.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Mr. Roman. I appreciate your time and your presentation.

And is there anyone else in the audience who would like to speak regarding this case, please? You can raise your hand.

Anyone in the audience on the Zoom?
MS. OPPERMAN: There are no audience
attendees for this case.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.
Then I can move for the City, Mr. Larry?
(No audio response.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Larry, are you there?

MR. BUTLER: No comments from the City. Standing by for questions.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you very much.

And chairperson -- acting chairperson, Katherine, is there any correspondence for this case, please? You can move on that.

MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. OPPERMAN: There were 37 letters sent out for this case. We received no returns, no approvals and no objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much. And I appreciate your time. And very good.

Yeah. Everybody likes, you know, Novi
Guernsey Farm. And they have the restaurant and everything. The project started a couple months back. I know that it's something you mentioned the celebration to start in April. My kids also were planning to go there, but $I$ know the situation with the COVID-19.

And I saw this property, you know, several times and we dined in several times. So we love this restaurant. I have no objections on this.

And I can put it on the board. Anybody would like to speak on this case, please?

MEMBER LONGO: Mike Longo. I would like to mention that Roman didn't mention, but if you go -it's a very long building. I've been there many times. So if you have never been there before, that large sign over the new main entrance is important because that's
where I want to go. I don't want to go down to the north end -- well, I might. But they're down there and that's the dairy where they're processing milk and milk products.

So I think that sign is really important. It is large, but it's a large facility. And, you know, they've got signage on the south side now that $I$ think is imperative. I have absolutely no problem with this variance.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Mr. Longo. I appreciate it.
Anyone else on the board would like to speak on this case?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I would like to say I think the signage is appropriate for the building.

I did want to ask, what were you talking about, I was looking at the elevations. The chick sign, where is that going to be at? The carryout area?

MR. BONISLAWSKI: On the south elevation at the -- if you look at the sheet 201, there's two sheets 201 that show a blue ribbon. If you look at both of those, they relate to the same exact elevation and the same device. This is a vinylized --

Is it a vinylized, Jeremiah? Nod your head if it is.

MR. ARMSTRONG: No. It's painted on
lettering on the top.
MR. BONISLAWSKI: So it's silk screen actually painted in the field because we had to jump to get the canopy on the building. So we have an artist that would actually hand paint on to the canvas that blue ribbon and the line of verbiage right there "Take a chick home."

MEMBER MONTAGUE: So it's a little canvas portico kind of type?

MR. BONISLAWSKI: That's correct. As you see in New York, Chicago and all over the place on the sidewalk.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Okay. All right.
MR. BONISLAWSKI: It's very friendly and soft.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: No. It's nice. I like it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr. Clift.

And anybody would like to speak on this case,
please?
Okay. Looks like seeing none. Anybody would like to make a motion on this case, please?

MEMBER LONGO: I can do that.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Go ahead.

MEMBER LONGO: I move that we grant the variance in the case number PZ20-0017, Ron and Roman, Inc. along with Guernsey Farms and Dairy for the address of 21300 north -- I'm sorry. 21300 Novi Road.

Because the petitioner has shown a practical difficulty requiring people to see where they're going and where they want to be and get the appropriate feeling, as Roman mentions, for the facility.

Without the variance the petitioner would be unnecessarily prevented or limited in respect of fully showing the property because of the physical size and the appropriate -- I think, appropriate doors that need to be paying attention to.

The property is unique because it is a very -- it's not extremely large, but it's very, very long and it's part dairy and it's part restaurant.

The petitioner has not created the condition because of the size of the building. And the relief --

I'm sorry. This does not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties. There is nothing south across the street and north. Both of those are commercial businesses.

The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it appropriately uses signage to -- for the size of the facility and the purpose of the building.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,
Mr. Longo. And can somebody second?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I would second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. And the motion, okay. Katherine, can you roll call, please?

MS. OPPERMAN: Certainly.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Longo?

MEMBER LONGO: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Member Sanker?
MEMBER SANKER: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.

MS. OPPERMAN: And Member Verma?
MEMBER VERMA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMAN: Motion passes.
MR. BONISLAWSKI: Thank you very much. We can't wait to open.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good luck.
Congratulations.
Okay. I think we have one more on other matters as Katherine mentioned and also I mentioned. It looks like I forwarded the E-mail from Beth she sent to us and I hope everybody got the E-mail also.

And apart from that, $I$ don't want to read that E-mail, what she mentioned. I hope you all have gone through that E-mail. If anybody did not go through the E-mail, I have a printed copy I can read.

Seeing none, $I$ can move for that. This is the case, you know, the clarification what they're asking for of case number PZ20-0005 from the April 2020 meeting.

Katherine, are you there?
Oh, Beth is here.

MS. SAARELA: I can -- I can -- so what happen -- so last month when we had -- we were talking about the case. It was the case of the commercial vehicle that was being converted to a recreational vehicle. And as $I$ was listening to all the board members' questions and then resulting variance, a question came into my head as to what the intent of the board was on that as far as the granting of the variance. That vehicle, the way I read the motion, is the board granting a variance for the commercial vehicle to be parked in the front yard with the thought that once it's a recreational vehicle that it can be parked there?

So the motion didn't really mention granting a variance for a recreational vehicle to be parked in the front yard. So I was concerned that we needed an additional motion to be made on that at a future meeting because of the way -- the writeup didn't say it was asking for both a variance for a commercial and a recreational vehicle to be parked in the front yard. Because I guess, what I didn't know if everybody understood, was that once it's recreational, it's still also not a permitted use to be parked in the front
yard. It would also still require a variance to park a recreational vehicle in the front yard.

So I guess that's what I'm trying to conclude was whether everybody was -- what was everybody's understanding of the variance that they were agreeing to?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. And anybody on the board?

MEMBER SANKER: I'll chime in real quick. It was my understanding that it was just for the time that it was a commercial vehicle is what we were approving and we approved it only for that.

MS. SAARELA: Okay. That's what I thought. So what I think we need to do is have this applicant come back to a future ZBA meeting for an additional variance to park a recreational vehicle in his front yard, if that's what he intends to do, because that is also not a permitted use.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Would it be a good idea to wait until after the Secretary of State is open again?

MS. SAARELA: It wouldn't matter. I mean, he would have both variances, then, for when it gets switched over. Right now he's authorized to park it as
a commercial vehicle.
You know, if we wanted to give him both variances now, great. It's whatever I guess is convenient for everybody. You know, it's going to have to be renoticed at this point.

MEMBER VERMA: This is Ramesh Verma. Do we allow recreational vehicles in front of our homes.

MS. SAARELA: No. That's why he would need a second variance for that. I think he may have thought he was getting that variance, but in reality, if you read the motion, he's only getting a variance to park the commercial vehicle. So if he's also requesting a variance to allow the recreational vehicle, he's going to need something more than he got.

MEMBER VERMA: Are you suggesting he come here to come back when he change it to a recreational vehicle then we will discuss on that?

MS. SAARELA: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. That is right.

Kathy, can you make a note on this and can you put some time when they come for the next zoning. And we have to make this one very strongly and we need
to discuss at the time and we need to bring whatever discussions what we had currently.

And Beth also, as she mentioned, it is inappropriate and we need to finish that for the upcoming -- anytime in the future they come for the ZBA meeting.

MS. OPPERMAN: So my understanding is that the motion that was made was correct in regard to the way that the case was adverted. I think Beth was concerned that there may be some misunderstanding on the applicant's part. So I can certainly reach out to him just so he's aware that this variance that he was granted is strictly for while it remains a commercial vehicle and he's working on getting it converted over. And if he intends on then having that recreational vehicle continuously parked, again, in the front of the property -- yet I think it's a week that's, like, allowed during a certain amount of time -- that it would have to get another variance and it would be his volition to apply for that for a time that's going to be convenient for him.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I think we give the permission until the end of this year, I believe.
 to that effect, though.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr. Longo.

Anybody would like to speak on this case, please?

MR. BUTLER: Mr. Chair, this is the City. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, Larry, go ahead, please.

MR. BUTLER: Yeah. We did ask him how long he thought it would take him before he would have that conversion done to that vehicle and I believe he expressed a few months or as soon as possible that shops were opening that he could get in and get that repaired or get that changed over. So it looks like he's going to move on that as fast as he can once the businesses are open so he can get his vehicle in. It'll probably even be before December.

So it probably is important to reach out to him so he has an understanding that he has to have that registered as a recreational vehicle so he can park it there. The sooner probably the better.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Larry, I have a real quick question on this. Do we have to send any letter from the City of our side, Novi?

MR. BUTLER: Do we have to send a letter? I think we can just reach out to him. Unless Beth thinks that we have to send a letter.

And Beth, I would like to defer to you. Do we need to send an official letter or can we just reach out to him?

MS. SAARELA: I think you can give him a call. I think stopping by or giving him a call.

MR. BUTLER: Sounds good.
Yeah. Between me and Katherine we'll reach out to him.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Sounds good And you can coordinate on this, Katherine, and you.

MR. BUTLER: Excellent. Thank you, sir.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I appreciate that.
Any other matters apart from this today?
We have nothing. I would like to say any of the board members who would like to speak anything apart from that or $I$ can motion to adjourn for the day.

Okay. The meeting is adjourned.
MEMBER THOMPSON: I have one question about the garage case earlier. It's probably assumed that, like, everything should be built to code, but we don't
have to say that during this meeting?
MS. SAARELA: No. Because he has to come back for a building permit.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. Just making sure.
MR. BUTLER: He still has to come back here to the Building Department and our building inspectors make sure he's building per code.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: And before I
adjourn the meeting, would you like to say any other matters on the agenda?

Anybody all in favor say "Aye."
MEMBER VERMA: Just want to wish Mav Sanghvi for his recovery.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr. Ramesh. And I spoke -- communicated, through the E-mail. He is not taking the call, but $I$ communicated through the E-mail on that and we wish him well on behalf of all board members. And, hopefully, he'll come up in the future meetings. We expect him.

MEMBER VERMA: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: The meeting is adjourned and say all in favor.

MEMBER VERMA: Motion to adjourn.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Say all
in favor.
MEMBER LONGO: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you for the motion. The meeting is adjourned.

And this is not recorded.
(Pause in the record.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you so much everybody. Have a good day and blessed day.

MS. SAARELA: Was there an approval on the motion to adjourn?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MS. SAARELA: Did everybody say "Aye"?
THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Beth. I appreciate that.

MEMBER LONGO: Be safe.
(At 7:55 p.m., matter adjourned.)
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