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CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good evening.
Today is January 11, 2022. Welcome to the Novi Zoning Board of Appeals. And a Happy New Year to all, board members and all the staff.

Can you hear me? I'm sorry.
Welcome to the Novi City Zoning Board of Appeals. Yeah 2022, January 11, 7:00 p.m. And Happy New Year to everybody. And please, all of you stand up for the Pledge of Allegiance followed by Member Longo. (Pledge of Allegiance recited.)

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please be seated. I would like to please make silence and turn off your cell phones. And when we call the case, each person please come up to the podium, state your first and last name very clearly so that our record, court record can record the information. And also, we can see the monitors on the inside and outside also anything.

And now is time. We have enough quorum,

Katherine? We have four people?
MS. OPPERMANN: We do have a quorum, though anything would have to be unanimous to be passed.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
And please roll call.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Krieger is absent excused.

Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Here.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Here.
MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. Thank you.

MS. OPPERMANN: Member Sanghvi is absent, excused. Member Thompson is absent and Member Copes is absent, excused.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. As our
secretary said, we have to -- we have only four
members. All the four members has to say yes for any cases. If anybody says no, it will be disqualified. I
mean, if that case is not approved, denied.
And also, if you want to withdraw voluntarily because of the quorum is not much more, it is time to volunteer. Now is the time for that. You know, more people, more opportunities. You understand what I'm saying? So if anybody?

And we have enough quorum. Thank you.
And once we have done the case, anything, any discussions or anything, please talk outside, not inside of the chamber hall. Once we take the decision on anything, we don't want to hear anything, any kind of any issues. Please come to the -- once we call, that's your time. That's all. We don't give any more time to see in the past couple of cases.

And also, any, you know, audience coming, you cannot talk more than three minutes. That's the time limit. Thank you for the rules and regulations. If you have anything, you can check the cases on the printed paper on the backside.

Thank you.
Okay. Approval of agenda, December 2021. Can somebody make a motion for that? Any changes?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Second?
MEMBER LONGO: Second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Longo and Member Montague. Thank you.

Approval of agenda is unanimous. Anybody says no? Any yes or no? Thank you.

Okay. As I said, public remarks. Anybody have any public remarks apart from the four cases we have now is the time to speak on the public remarks. MS. OPPERMANN: We do also need to have an approval of the minutes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Approval?
MS. OPPERMANN: From December.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: This is what we --
MEMBER MONTAGUE: You said the agenda.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Agenda. Oh, sorry.
Approval of meeting minutes on December 2022 -- '21, somebody can make a motion on that, any changes?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: No changes. I move that we approve the minutes from the December meeting.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Can you turn on your mic, please?

Okay. Can somebody make a second?
MEMBER LONGO: I second that.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Anybody have any questions or any objections? It looks like none. Thank you.

As I said, public remarks. This is the time to speak. Anything apart from all four cases for today for our agenda?

It looks like none. Thank you.
Okay. And we'll start the first case today. PZ21-0077, Metro Detroit Signs / Land Rover Jaguar, 25245 Meadowbrook Road, West of Meadowbrook Road and South of Grand River Avenue, Parcel 50-22-23-251-025. The applicant is requesting a variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance Section 28-5(a) and 28-5(b)(1)(b) for number of signs, oversized signs and over height signs. Five additional wall signs and five additional ground signs, a combination of three signs is permitted. Two wall signs are oversized by 40.44 square feet and two wall signs are oversized by 12.53 square feet. One ground sign is over height by two
feet and three ground signs are over height by 1.22 feet. Six-foot height is permitted. The property is zoned Gateway East, GE.

Thank you. Is the applicant present?
Okay. Thank you. Can you please spell your first and last name clearly for our record. If you're attorney or not.

Our acting secretary is Montague, Member
Montague, can you take the oath?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'm sorry?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you take the oath?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Oh, I'm sorry. Are you an attorney?

MR. DETERS: No, I am not.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: And do you swear to tell
the truth in this case?

MR. DETERS: Yes, I do.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Please go ahead.

MR. DETERS: Good evening, everyone. My name is Paul Deters, D as in David, e-t-e-r-s. And I'm with

Metro Signs \& Lighting, 11444 Kaltz Avenue in Warren. And with me here this evening as well is Mr . Ken Widerstedt. He is from the dealership. And if it's okay with the folks -- with you folks, I'll probably introduce our case and go through the technical aspects of the signs. And if you have questions particular to the dealership operation and things like that, Mr. Widerstedt would be happy to address those with you.
(Spelling request by court reporter.)
MR. DETERS: I sure can. W-e-i- -- or excuse me, $W-i-d-e-r-s-t-e-d-t . ~ I f ~ I ~ g o t ~ t h a t ~ c o r r e c t l y . ~$

Well, thank you for considering our case this evening. I just wanted -- may I go through a brief summary of that, sir? Is that what you would like me to do now?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. Please go ahead.

MR. DETERS: Okay. Terrific. So I think as you know, there's a beautiful new facility being built at Meadowbrook and Grand River and the dealership is going to be relocating from their current location in Farmington Hills just down the road. And I know it may
appear that we're asking for quite a bit of signage, but I think hopefully you take into consideration it's a very large building and $I$ think the signage that we're proposing particularly on the building is certainly to size and to scale for that building.

And then a number of the ground signs that we have, just due to the nature of this lot and the berm, particularly that runs along Meadowbrook, and all of the parking is going to be behind the dealership. So there's no cars out in front or anything. It's sort of a unique circumstance for a car dealer. Most of the people who will be viewing any of the signage that's proposed inside the lot are going to be just the customers that are there. And most of those are for directional in nature; how to identify where customer parking is, where the service area might be, where you would enter for different services within the dealership and then also just to convey some of the -there's a little bit of the promise that they have.

Obviously, there's nice vehicles that are sold at this facility and it sort of fits in with the standard branding that Jaguar and Land Rover have. So in terms of the main ground sign that
we're proposing, too, just from a practical matter is it's a relatively new sign that is sitting at their dealership right now and we're hoping to just relocate that from the existing facility since it's still in really good condition. And I think, once again, it's just slightly larger or taller than -- really taller than what might be allowed in Novi and we're hoping for some consideration for that sign.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anything you want to add?

MR. DETERS: So I don't know -- I think that's it. Or maybe if there are comments from the board that maybe Mr. Widerstedt would like to address, too, but that's all $I$ have for now.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Do you have the diagrams so maybe you can present to the board? MR. DETERS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please go ahead.
MR. DETERS: I'm sorry. Do I have the diagram in front of me of the signs?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah.
MR. DETERS: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you present on
the screen?
MR. DETERS: Oh. Well, let's see. What would you like to see? The overhead or the elevation drawings?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All the signs.
MR. DETERS: All the signs?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please.
MR. DETERS: Okay. Well, here. I'll tell
you what, I'll start off with the ...
(Document displayed.)
MR. DETERS: Okay. That's better.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Can you point where the signs are going on the diagram?

MR. DETERS: Okay. So here you can see their main -- the main signs that we have. I apologize. This doesn't come through. And hopefully you have packets as well. There's a main Jaguar and Land Rover signs facing Meadowbrook Road, and identical signs Jaguar and Land Rover facing Grand River Avenue. Those are the two main identification wall signs that they'll be having, one on each side.

And you can see the facility at, you know -both elevations are well over a hundred feet long. The
signs are really done to size or to scale and I think are appropriate for that length of a facade. And it will be legible for the traffic along both Meadowbrook and Grand River there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: You mean all the five signs going on the wall on the top?

MR. DETERS: So there's --
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Can you point where
the --
MR. DETERS: There's the two Jaguar signs and two Land Rover signs. And then on the elevation facing Meadowbrook, there's one sign that just says Novi. That would typically be where the dealer name is. That's how the building is set up. So we're going to have a very small sign. They're only 11-inch letters that will say Novi to just brand or identify the brand or the name of the dealership.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And what about the remaining on the ground signs?

MR. DETERS: Okay. The ground signs -- and I think maybe it would almost be easier to go through.

I can show you at the entrances both along Grand River and on Meadowbrook, these are
nonilluminated ground signs that are just directionals, entry directionals that would direct traffic as to where the customer parking would be, where the service operation would be and where the reception area is as you enter the dealership. One of those is along each of the two main entrances. One on Novi -- one on Meadowbrook and one on Grand River.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MR. DETERS: The other ground signs that we're mentioning here.
(Document displayed.)
MR. DETERS: This is the main ground sign that is going to be relocated from the dealership down the street. So if you can see that, that's where that is. That would be moved over.

MEMBER LONGO: Excuse me. That's right on the corner, then, of Grand River and Meadowbrook; is that correct?

MR. DETERS: It's actually along Meadowbrook. Let's see, I have a plan that would show. Forgive me. I hope I'm holding this correctly here and that you can read this. So this area here where I'm using my pen on Meadowbrook Road
where the entrance is there.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes.
MR. DETERS: This is where that sign that is at the other dealership will be relocated to.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MR. DETERS: All right. Then as you're inside this area here.
(Document displayed.)
MR. DETERS: And it may be easier to show this, too. Where my pens are here circling at this number 22 is at both, that area which is inside behind the berm will not be visible from the road, are going to be these signs which are just designate. These are nonilluminated as well, just identifying people -customer parking areas.

And then the final ground signs that you would have are within the parking lot. And I hope this can come in okay.
(Document displayed.)
MR. DETERS: These are just one for Jaguar and one for Land Rover. And it just has to do with the type of vehicles that are sold there, that they're approved and gone through inspections and it's got --
just speaks to the standard and the quality of the vehicles that are sold there.

Once again, this is -- we're in the middle of the parking lot in the back of the building. Nobody from the road will even see these.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MR. DETERS: And the other signs that have not been shown yet are the signs that say "Service" and that's -- once again, that's on an area of the building that will not be visible from the road. So people entering into the facility, they'll have an idea of where that would go. So this would be more on -- so on the south end of the building, this area in here, these will identify -- this sign says Novi once again and this sign says service.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MR. DETERS: So those other signs that I mentioned, the promise signs are back here. Once again, they're a long way and not even visible from the road.

But it just helps identify and brand the facility and provide direction for the guests who do enter within the confines of that.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Would you like to add anymore thing before we go? MR. DETERS: No.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you very much. And good presentation. Appreciate. And I visited your location today. I met Ken. He's the manager I believe, the construction manager. I met you. And he showed me. And why I ask this questions and all because my board members also would like to know where exactly the signs is going. And physically I came and visited your location. And, yeah, it's a good business for us, the Novi city, and we welcome you all. And $I$ have no objection on the signs. And I'm opening to my board members to speak on this case. Thank you.

Okay. And coming to Larry from the city. MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Correspondence secretary, Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. There were 25 letters
sent, six returned, one approval and two objections.
Objection from Jacob Common (ph) and Sheila

Jacob. "I object because it will reduce the price of our home. Also, it will reduce attraction to our subdivision."

Objection from Harbor One Singh (ph). "This is a residential area and will spoil our landscaping." And then just an approval. And those are the three that were returned.
(Member Thompson joined the meeting.)
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
And it's open to the board. Anybody would
like to comment on this case, please go ahead.
Yeah. Go ahead, Member Montague.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I agree with the wall signs being appropriate to the scale of the building. It is a big building for sure. And directional signage along the -- in the site is, obviously, helpful in finding service and knowing where the entrances are also. I guess I'm in favor of this proposal.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Any other board member?
No comment? Any?
Okay. It's time for the motion. Member
Montague, please go ahead --

MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MS. OPPERMANN: I don't believe you opened this one for public comment yet.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And public -- anybody would like to speak on this case before going to the motion?

No comments on the public. Thank you.
Okay. Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: All righty. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ21-0077, sought by Metro Detroit Signs/Land Rover Jaguar, for the number of signs and oversized signs.

Without the variance, $I$ think the petitioner is unreasonably prevented because it is a busy corner. The signs are appropriate for the size of the building. Directional signage is important when you're running vehicles through there.

The property is unique because of its location on the corner, its use and the speed of Grand River and the confusion of that intersection.

Petitioner did not create the condition because they purchased the property. I don't believe
the relief granted will unnecessarily interfere with any adjacent or surrounding properties in that location. And the relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because it allows a business that will be good for the community to operate safely and properly. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. If somebody can make a second? MEMBER LONGO: I second. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Katherine, please roll call.

MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly. Chairperson Peddiboyina?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please. MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?

MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?

MEMBER MCLEOD: I have to abstain because I work for a competitor.

MS. SAARELA: Unless you have a direct financial interest, $I$ don't think you need to abstain. MEMBER MCLEOD: They write my paycheck. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's no big deal.

MEMBER MCLEOD: Oh, it isn't? Okay. In that case, I approve.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: All right. And I assume Member Thompson will be recusing as he wasn't present for the majority of the case?

MEMBER THOMPSON: I looked through it. But you're right.

MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes four to zero. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.

Congratulations.
MR. DETER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good luck. Congratulations.

And moving to case number two, PZ21-0079, Metro Detroit Signs/Sleep Number, 26222 Novi Road 100, East of Novi Road and North of Grand River Avenue, Parcel 50-22-14-352-002. The applicant is requesting the variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance Section 28-5(d)(2) for the installation of a 52.87 square foot illuminated wall sign on the east elevation

Is the applicant -- okay.
Can you please spell your first and last name clearly for our court record?

MR. DETERS: Sure. Paul Deters, $D$ as in David, e-t-e-r-s. I'm with Metro Signs and Lighting, 11444 Kaltz Avenue in Warren.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Our acting secretary Montague, can you take the oath on this, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: You're not an attorney, correct?

MR. DETERS: Correct.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Do you swear to tell the truth in this case?

MR. DETERS: Yes, I do.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Please go ahead, Paul, where we can help you tonight on this case.

MR. DETERS: Terrific. Well, thank you for
your consideration of this case as well. It's sort of a unique circumstance here. As you know, this a new building. It replaced where the bank was located. And it changed the ingress for this location such as now that the parking for the facility is only available on the south and the east side. You know, however, the west side of the building which faces Novi Road along here is considered the front of the building.

But everyone who enters this business is going to either come in off of Crowe Drive here from the south or more likely they'll come around here from Crescent Drive and Ingersol and come back in this way. And in all likely, this is where they're going to park. This half of the building here is going to be an Aspen Dental location. So the Sleep Number's door, an entry door is going to be over here. So everybody who enters this building is going to come in the door here where the proposed sign will be located. Otherwise, to get in what they consider the front door, you would have to walk entirely around the building and pass the other business. And I just don't think -- that's not going to be practical.

So based on this and the size of the facade,
they're allowed a 55 square foot sign. They proposed a sign on the west elevation facing Novi Road which we have been approved for. The variance for this evening is to have an identical sign on the east side which is really what they would consider for all intents and purposes the way the lot is laid out from a really unique way. That's going to be their front door. And they're hoping especially there that they'll have some adequate identification there for folks to be able to see that, not only as they enter in there, but for people who are driving along Ingersol to the east to be able to look because that -- the building is going to sit quite a bit back from there as well. There's quite a significant parking lot there. And I think for the size of the building, that you could see here the Sleep Number lettering is once again, it's not overpowering. It's to size and really to scale of the building and I think it will be a nice enhancement for that.

Another thing is it will provide a consistency. The opposite side of the building is the exact same size and configuration and that sign fits within it as well. Just, there is a quirk in this particular
space in that the reason it doesn't have frontage along Ingersol is -- and we're not quite sure what the rationale was for this.

This is the Boston Market that's next door. This is -- when it was the bank, here's what the layout looked like. The line around the perimeter here, instead of stopping here at this entry drive to get into the back where the Boston Market is, their property extends all the way through here, through some sort of easement agreement. So as a result, there's this sliver of land here that prevents this facility from having frontage back here. And so really that's -- that can't developed. I'm not sure what happened or how that came to be, but it's creating this issue of sort of a unique circumstance where really this is going to be the front of this business, but they don't have frontage because of this unusual easement that took place many years ago we think. An irregular configuration for that lot.

So what they're just hoping for here is just that they'd be able to have a sign that will serve the needs and be comparable to the one that's already allowed on the other side of the building.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anything you
would like to add?
MR. DETERS: No, sir. Not at this time.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And thank you.

From the city?
MEMBER LONGO: You have to ask the audience. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Any public remarks?

Looks like none.
Larry?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
Correspondence?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Correspondence. There were 40 letters sent, no letters returned, three approvals and no objections.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you.
Yeah, Paul, thank you and very good
presentation. Also, I visited your location. I met your Keith, he's the superintendent. And he showed there are two businesses in the same building. One is the Sleep and one is the dental, as you explained. And

I see the difficulties on the signs and all you needed, what your business thing. I have no objection. And it's open to the board.

MS. OPPERMANN: Pardon me. Member Montague, could you confirm that the one your read corresponds with the accurate case, because I believe that may be --

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Oops. Did I get the wrong one?

MS. OPPERMANN: -- the one for Coy Construction that had the three approvals.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: The case number is 0079.

MS. OPPERMANN: It should be 79.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Oh. They're in a different order -- yeah. They were in a different order here. I'm sorry.

MS. OPPERMANN: Not a problem.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'm a rookie here.
All right. Let's go back to this one. All right. 32 -- correction here. 32 letters sent, six letters returned, no approvals, no objections. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you,

Montague.
Okay. It's opened to the board. Anybody would like to speak on this case?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All right. Member Montague, please go ahead.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. I think on the east side there your setback from the road that they will be entering from and the distance and the obstruction $I$ think warrants a sign there, so. And I think the sign is appropriately sized for the distance from the road. So I support this request.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Montague.

Any other board member would like to speak on this?

Okay. Looks like none.
Member Longo, can you make a motion on this case?

MEMBER LONGO: I can. I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ21-0079, sought by Metro Detroit Signs/Sleep Number, for a 52.87 square foot wall sign variance because the petitioner has
shown particular difficulty requiring visibility for customers to see the business.

Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because it would be difficult to find the business.

The property is unique because the building disposition on that lot was -- creates a bit of an issue in terms of visibility.

The petitioner did not create the condition because the lot size and the location of the building on the lot is similar to the previous building.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because the sign size is commensurate with the building size and the distance from the street. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because the sign does not obstruct any visibility.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Longo.

Okay. Katherine, can you please roll call. MS. OPPERMANN: Do we have a second? CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Sorry. Second on
the motion?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'll second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member
Longo. Member Montague and Member Longo.
And, Katherine, can you please roll call.
MS. OPPERMANN: Certainly. Chairperson
Peddiboyina?
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?
MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes five to zero.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Congratulations.
MR. DETERS: Thank you so much.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. And case number three for tonight, PZ21-0078, Coy Construction, 22230 Meridian Lane, East of Meadowbrook Road and South
of Nine Mile Road, Parcel 50-22-36-102-014. The applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance Section 3.1.4 for a proposed rear yard setback of 30 feet, 35 feet minimum required, variance of five feet. This variance would accommodate the building of a screen porch on the existing deck. The property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-3.

Okay. The applicant is here? Okay.
Yeah. Please go ahead and spell your first and last name clearly for our record.

MR. MCCOY: Yes. My name is Mike McCoy. I'm the owner of Coy Construction. And we specialize in designing and building decks and two and three seasons. I've been before this board a number -- probably 30 times in the past doing exactly what we're asking for here and that is to build a --

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Hang on a second.
MR. MCCOY: I'm sorry.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Are you an attorney?
MR. MCCOY: No.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Okay. Do you swear to tell the truth in this case?

MR. MCCOY: Of course. Yes, I do.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please proceed.
Thank you, Member Longo -- Montague.
MR. MCCOY: And so the -- what we're asking for is a five-foot variance. We want to -- we always build these porches on a deck floor for the foundation. The existing deck is coming out 35 -- 30 feet from the house which is fine for the deck, but the requirement for the screened-in porch, you want a 35 foot variance. 35 feet from the lot line. So in order for us to build this 12 foot by 16 foot modestly sized screen porch on this existing deck, we would need to get a five-foot variance.

When this job is done, it will be shingled to match. It will look like the builder built it on the house when the house was built. The homeowners now will be able to use their backyard. Because mosquitoes and stuff, they just can't sit out there at night. And we've probably done about -- probably been before you guys 20 times in the past for this exact same kind of situation and you've allowed us to build these rooms on decks and we certainly hope we can get this five-foot variance tonight for these folks.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anything you would like to show?

MR. MCCOY: Well, I can show you. That's the back of the house and you can see what's the existing deck and where that porch would be sitting on top of that existing deck.

Now, this is not a great picture here. But that's kind of how the -- that porch would look shingled to match the house. The exterior painted a solid color to match the trim. The knee wall from the deck floor up would be tempered glass and then from that point up removable screens. They're beautiful rooms. They're trimmed inside with cedar tongue and groove in the ceiling. And the neighbors would -- will enjoy looking at this beautiful new room on this deck. And hopefully we'll be able to build a few more because their neighbors are going to want one, too.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Anything you would like to add?

MR. MCCOY: Oh, I'm sorry. No. I think I've said it all right there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
MR. MCCOY: It will be a nice improvement for
that subdivision. And we've done a number of these in Novi and they're beautiful rooms. In fact, if any of you would like a free design and estimate, I'll build one for you guys, too.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mr. McCoy.

Okay. Anyone in the audience? Anybody in the audience to speak on this case?

Looks like none.
Okay. From the city, Larry?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city at this time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Correspondent acting secretary, Member Montague?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. There were 40 letters sent, none returned, three approvals. First approval is from Charles Bovair, B-o-v-a-i-r.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Remember Member Montague, can you speak on the mic, please so she can record all that. Thank you.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I'm sorry, B-o-v-a-i-r. Indicates that he lives behind the property and
approves the request for variance.
And then just an approval.
And then from Lauren and Sherry Konkus, K-o-n-k-u-s. "We live back of the property and are most likely impacted by the variance. We have no problem with the five-foot variance."

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: That's it? MEMBER MONTAGUE: That's it.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Montague.

Okay. Yeah. I visited this place and rang the bell. The owner did not open the door. And I went back. I saw the small deck. Three, four feet height. It's new. I saw that. And there's a back, there are houses also there. And looks good. I have no objection on this case. I'm opening to the board to speak on this case.

Anybody would like to speak?
Okay. Go ahead, sir.
MEMBER THOMPSON: So I have a question. I don't know if it's more for the applicant or for the city. So the deck that you're showing, this is from the house?

MR. MCCOY: The deck. That's an existing deck.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. So that doesn't look level in the picture.

MR. MCCOY: It is level. It's all one level.

MEMBER THOMPSON: The deck itself doesn't look level.

MR. MCCOY: Oh, it is level, but when we do this -- when we redeck the surface, we're going to be redecking the surface and we're going to be modifying the substructure underneath. It will be perfectly level. But the picture might be deceiving. It's level.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Okay. So then are there permits that need to -- does the city have to issue permits to build that?

MR. BUTLER: Yes. They would require permits. Yes.

MR. MCCOY: And we have a permit to do the -to redeck the surface and to modify that substructure underneath that existing deck surface. We already have that permit. We just don't have the permit to build
the room on top of it.
MEMBER THOMPSON: So it looks like it's going to turn out real nice so $I$ can get behind that.

MR. MCCOY: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anything you would like to add?

Okay. Anybody would like to speak on this case? Any board member?

Looks like none.
Okay. Member Michael, can you please make a motion?

MEMBER LONGO: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please go ahead.
MEMBER LONGO: I move that we grant the variance in case number PZ21-0078, Coy Construction, for a five-foot rear setback variance. Otherwise they couldn't fit this particular porch on the back of the house without that variance.

Without the variance, the petitioner would be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to the use of the property because it cannot -- they could not add this porch.

The property is unique because the home is
situated on the lot the way it is and that's the way it was purchased. The petitioner did not create the condition, again, because they purchased the home with the lot -- with the home situated on the lot as it is.

The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because it does not interfere with the neighborhood visibility. The relief is consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because the five-foot -the additional five foot does not compromise the neighborhood visibility in the backyards.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Longo.

> Anybody -- somebody can make a second? MEMBER THOMPSON: I would second that. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Katherine, can you please roll call. MS. OPPERMANN: Um-hmm. Chairperson Peddiboyina?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes, please.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Longo?
MEMBER LONGO: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member McLeod?

MEMBER MCLEOD: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Member Montague?
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes.

MS. OPPERMANN: And Member Thompson?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Yes.
MS. OPPERMANN: Motion passes five to zero. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Congratulations. Michael, congratulations. Thank you. MR. MCCOY: Thank you, board. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Good luck. Okay. And today for the final case, PZ21-0080, Cambridge of Novi LLC / Terra, West of Beck Road and North of Eight Mile Road, Parcel number 50-22-32-402-036. The applicant is requesting an extension to a variance from the City of Novi Code of Ordinance Section 28-6 for a temporary sign beyond 64 days. The sign is located at the northwest corner of the intersection at Eight Mile Road and Beck Road. The maximum display time of freestanding temporary sign is 64 days. The board previously approved this and one other, since removed sign, on June 11, 2019, in case PZ19-0020 "for the duration of two years or, if sooner, until 75 percent of Certificates of Occupancy have been
issued." This property is zoned Single Family Residential, R-1.

Is the applicant present?
Okay. Please spell your first and last name clearly for our record purposes.

MR. ROTT: My name is Sheldon Rott, R-o-t-t. I'm the sales and marketing manager for Cambridge Homes.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Please, can you hold for our secretary -- acting secretary.

Member Montague, can you take the oath, please?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Are you an attorney?
MR. ROTT: No.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Do you swear to tell the truth in this case?

MR. ROTT: Yes.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Please proceed, sir.

MR. ROTT: We have a sign on -- a directional sign on Eight Mile and -- I'm sorry. On Eight Mile and Beck. It's for our development called Terra which
was -- which is in a very beautiful section of Novi. However, the hardship is, we have no marketing window. The only visibility we have from any kind of a major road is Nine Mile Road which is gravel that doesn't get a lot of traffic. And the hardship now is that the road's been closed for approximately two years. The -so in order to generate some type of traffic there, we have that sign at Eight Mile that directs people to Garfield and then to Nine Mile.

The -- to complicate things even more, since we started, the -- with our permission, of course, the city has been dumping and pumping water onto our site, flooding the site, which is also causing a negative as far as the sales. We anticipated being 75 percent sold by this time. We're less than 50 percent sold.

So we'd like to have the sign extended. We do have permission from the county because it's in their right-of-way. And we'd like the city to grant us another two years to leave the temporary sign there until -- for two years or until we're 75 percent sold.

So we're -- we just don't have -- and I've been selling new construction for over 35 years. It's one of the prettiest sites I've ever had, but it's also
one of the worst marketing windows I've ever had. So we're just requesting that this variance be carried on for another two years.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay.
MR. ROTT: I could add that when I -- I personally am on site selling and when I tell people we're on Garfield and Nine Mile, they actually sometimes think we're on the East Side in Macomb Township. So ...

Or Macomb County, rather. But this is -- we have such a beautiful site and beautiful product.

And Mark Guidobono, the owner of Cambridge Homes has done a nice job for the city of Novi and for the residents. And I think he's taken -- with Bellagio, he's taken Novi to a new level. And I think with Terra, it's a very unique development. It's taking Novi to the next level. So we just like to have the sign that's there.

It's not an offensive sign. It's 45 inches wide by 42 inches tall, plus the overall height is six feet, but -- I'm sorry. Five feet. It's 60 inches. And it's been there for two years. I don't find it objectionable. Other people might, but this is the
situation we're in right now.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Would you like to add anymore thing?

MR. ROTT: Oh, I'm sorry. No.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: All done?
MR. ROTT: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Audience, anybody would like to speak on this case, please? Yeah. Please come to the podium. Please tell your first and last name clearly. And we have three minutes of each audience. Thank you.

MR. RANCOUR: Good evening. My name is Tom Rancour. It's spelled $R-a-n-c-o-u-r$. And I'm representing a homeowner's association. So I would please ask the board for ten minutes to address this issue as it's in the procedure.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Oh, you want 10 minutes?

MR. RANCOUR: Yes, please. I'll be brief. It will probably be less than that, but that is --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No. We have only audience is maximum is three minutes limit.

MR. RANCOUR: Okay. When you're representing
multiple people, $I$ understood the rules to say you could have more time.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yes. My thing, I can go for the max is five minutes. As per my board members has to approve on the two more minutes.

MR. RANCOUR: Okay. Thank you. I'll do the best I can.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anything else anybody wants to?

MS. SAARELA: Let me check the rules of procedure on that.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, please. Go ahead.

MR. RANCOUR: So as I mentioned, we would like to strongly object to this application filed by Cambridge Homes. And I'll explain in great detail why they failed to meet standards one, two and three of the Zoning Board of Appeals requirements.

Before I start, I want to highlight a few things. The percentage of Dunhill Park residents that have filed and taken the time to file a written objective is 62 percent. And those are in your E-packet and I would hope that the board would look at
each and every one of those homeowner comments because this sign as small as it's represented to be does impact our property, our property value, and the value of that whole corner which is across from Maybury State Park.

A couple of things. It has been as mentioned been in place for two years. It's actually two years and seven months. And the board did approve a two-year variance previously. So we are seven months out of compliance, okay? And that's 846 days. That's 13 times. 13 times the normal 64 day temporary sign allowance.

This is not a temporary sign. This is a permanent sign and it has to go.

Standard one for granting sign variance talks about extraordinary circumstances or conditions. And the applicant has mentioned about Nine Mile Road in their application. They basically said there's limited exposure to the project, and the sewer project is either closed or limited traffic on Nine Mile.

Well, that alleged special condition no longer applies. Let me repeat that. That special condition no longer applies. And the reason is that
posted on the website for the City of Novi where as of December 28th -- I have a handout for you if you'd like, the city engineers indicated that the sewer project is 100 percent complete. There are some cleanup type things that have to be done. But I talked to the city engineer and he actually provided an E-mail to the effect that pipe installation has been complete and that the road, the remaining work will be done as long as there's no frozen conditions continuing, we expect fully to open later this months. Talking January.

So your own city engineer saying that that Nine Mile Road will open to traffic and therefore the hardship that was in the application no longer exists. That sign could be relocated to Nine Mile and to the Beck area. It's one mile away from Terra. The sign that currently exists is two miles away.

So that is a lot closer and we feel that that condition does not exist anymore.

When we look at the -- looking at -- moving on with the association. One of the things that was in the application was that the Terra sign was granted approval by Toll Brothers. There's a letter. It's
undated in the application that says, "go ahead. We consent to it." Well, we're here to tell you that Toll Brothers does not represent the homeowner's association in any way, shape or form. And we object to that letter and we object to the concept of putting it into an application.

Standard two talks about limited use of property. And Cambridge states it meets the standard. There's a remote location without any traffic exposure and signage is one of the most important elements to attract customers.

Let's review some facts. Terra already the sign at Eight Mile and Garfield, one mile away for the project. They can move the existing sign at Eight and Beck down to Nine and Beck, okay? And they also have vacant land over there to do it with.

Right now they're two miles away with their sign. They have plenty of exposure. And we note that Cambridge has an extensive marketing campaign. Terra website, routine open houses, realtor networking, print ads and other communications. So the sign in front of our neighborhood at Eight Mile and Beck is very distant from the project and it actually limits our use of our
property, not the limitation of their property.
So one of the things I want to point out is, we have a green belt that we spent tens of thousands of dollars at Eight Mile and Beck. Deciduous trees, evergreens, all kinds of installed sod, sprinkler systems, ornamental apple trees. That is getting spoiled by this one sign. It's the only sign. It's a commercial sign in the right-of-way, which is a whole other point.

We feel that right-of-ways should be for public use. Utilities, bike paths, turn lanes, that type of thing for public use. Here we have in the right-of-way a private sign for a commercial enterprise. It just doesn't -- it's just not right. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Excuse me, Tom. MR. RANCOUR: Yeah. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Beth?

MS. SAARELA: It's not in our Rules of
Procedure. It's in the Rules of Conduct that were adopted by City Council for conducting public hearings. It does allow for ten minutes when they're speaking for multiple people.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah, please.

Okay.
MR. RANCOUR: So just to continue. I'll wind this up. In terms of standard number three, which is granting the sign if there's an adverse impact on a surrounding area. In the application, Cambridge says that, quote unquote, "Terra is an empty nester gated community and Dunhill Park is a single family sub." That's what they say. That does not address the issue of adverse impact on a surrounding area. In fact, that statement is really nonsensical and it's unfair. It's unfair to us in that, you know, the statement is implying that some developer of a empty nester community has the right to post a sign in front of a residential $\mathrm{R}-1$ area. It impacts their business. Their business is being impacting us for our residential appearance.

So it's an unbelievable kind of injustice here. So we mentioned the impact on the green belt. There's also impact in front of our subdivision. The appearance of Maybury State Park where people are trying to go to recreate, use the entrance across the street for bikes and walking, the first thing they see is a sign.

We also feel a sign presents a distraction to drivers. That Eight Mile and Beck corner is already called a collision crash reduction area by public safety. So I think if you ask them, they wouldn't have a problem with that sign going away. It's a distraction when people see that kind of sign. It's the only sign that's in that area. The only sign in that area. So special consideration. Again, it's been there for two years and seven months.

So in summary, I think that Cambridge has failed to meet Zoning Board Appeal standards one, two and three for granting of this sign variance. And Dunhill Park is really a truly a residential area. It's not a commercial district, wide open for putting up signs. We think that you should summarily take that sign down, reject this request and encourage Terra to go ahead and post something at Nine Mile and Beck instead.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you very much, Tom. I appreciate.

Okay. Anybody who would like to speak on this case in the audience, please?

Please go ahead. Spell your first and last name clearly.

MR. HILTZ: My name is Peter Hiltz, H-i-l-t-z. Yes, I am an attorney. Do you want my P number or not?

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No. Thank you, Peter.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: He's already sworn to tell the truth.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Yeah. Thank you, Peter. And appreciate if you can limit your time. MR. HILTZ: Yeah. I will be very quick. CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you so much.

MR. HILTZ: First, as Tom said, 62 percent of the residents at Dunhill Park have objected. And they all feel that, you know, there is an adverse impact on the beauty of the subdivision and, you know, the life there. The fact that the application merely said with respect, you know, to impact, that Terra is an empty nester and Dunhill Park is single family residents. I have absolutely no idea what they're saying. And seriously, I think that a reason like that put on the application is not taking this board seriously.

Second, as Tom said, you know, the city engineers have said that the problem with the roads is going to be done -- you know, completed at the end of this month. They do not need another two-year extension.

Third, with respect to the safety issues that the traffic safety authorities have put cameras and designated the intersection as a safety issue. It does not need any additional visual distractions. Either the sign is big enough to actually make a difference from a business perspective marking in which case it is a visual distraction, or it's too small to be a visual distraction and therefore has no impact on their marketing.

I will comment that, yes, the sign is one foot inside the Oakland County right-of-way, but it is on the inside of the sidewalk, not on the outside of the sidewalk. So unless you, like Tom and I did, went out and took a tape measure to Eight Mile, it does look like it's on, you know, the HOA's property.

These days, you know, the way that marketing runs with an Internet, et al, I don't think the signage is as critical. And as Tom said, the most appropriate
place for this sign is not two miles away catty-corner. It is at Nine Mile and Beck instead of Eight Mile and Beck.

And finally, this is a bad precedent for just continuing to roll over variances for billboards, which let's be honest, this is a billboard.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Peter.
I appreciate.
Anybody in the -- please go ahead, sir.
MR. LAURA: Good evening. My name is Joe
Laura. I'm a resident of Dunhill Park. Do you need my address or?

No? Okay.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Go ahead, sir.
MR. LAURA: I'll be very brief. The zoning board is constituted and the primary thing that they look at is one thing from their petitioners, is there a hardship? There is no hardship on this. I've done some investigation on this. Those properties are averaging about 2.3 to 2.4 million. They are sought out. One, because of the location in Novi and the five subdivisions that kind of corner there. The second one is Mr. Guidobono who has an excellent reputation.

Empty nesters, absolutely. They're looking for one for seniors pretty much that are retiring. When I went up there and talked extensively to the individuals that work there, they said we stopped phase two. We're just stopping it. Right now we're delaying it. And they had five lots. This is about 30 days ago in phase one.

So the fact that the gentleman said, hey, we have a lot more to go. That's their own conclusion.

Mr. Guidobono has done two excellent subdivisions right where we are. One's Bellagio and one's Tuscany. If he really needs a sign or wants a sign -- and certainly, signs are -- they're super. If he could, he would probably put a sign on every lot going up to his subdivision east and west and north and south. Why doesn't he put one at Bellagio where he lives and he developed the subdivision? That's right on Beck Road. The second sign he could put is right at Tuscany. Again, he developed the subdivision. You take a little bit road down there and you turn right and you go up to Garfield.

So you'll see from our -- there are no
approvals from any of our residents there. And I -- I
would stress, really stress, basis for ZBA, no hardship. No hardship.

And this has been -- it blows my mind and I'm very familiar with city government, that this variance -- I'm not even sure you can call it a variance, lasted two plus years. I've never heard of that before.

And I really appreciate your time. Thank you for listening to us. And I would end up with one question.

If you find it that you -- there is no
hardship and you deny this, what I would like for you to say in the remarks is when this sign is to be removed. How long does it have to be removed. Appreciate your time. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, very much, Joe. Appreciate your time.

Anybody board -- any audience?
Looks like none.
Okay. City?
MR. BUTLER: No comments from the city.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Correspondence secretary, Member Montague?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yes. This is going to be long.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Please go ahead. MEMBER MONTAGUE: There were 52 letters sent, one returned, and 18 objections.

MS. SAARELA: You don't have to read them all word for word. You can summarize them.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Could be a long night if I did. Let's see who this one's from.

MS. OPPERMANN: The first one is from the HOA.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: That's from the HOA?
MS. OPPERMANN: Yes.
MEMBER MONTAGUE: They point out the sign directly abuts their condominium association. Additional variance would set danger precedent. Favors special commercial entrance.

The Terra sign has significant adverse impact on Dunhill Park and the surrounding.

That's the three major categories. I think you've heard a lot of that from the homeowner's association representative there.

Robbie Amuthan. Sorry about pronouncing the
words. I'm writing to object --
(The Reporter asked for clarification.)
MEMBER MONTAGUE: A-m-u-t-h-a-n. In summary, the sign has been there for two years which I believe is sufficient duration. Locating it around Garfield and Nine Mile would be more useful. The sign is a distraction to drivers and it will set a bad precedent if it's allowed to remain.

From Ashley Lambrix, L-a-m-b-r-i-x.
Objection. Specifically distracts from the visual appeal of the community. It is a residential neighborhood, not a commercial district.

Daniel Hodor, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{r}$. Just an objection.
Palava and Arjun (ph) Khullar, K-h-u-l-l-a-r. Unacceptable and effects the aesthetics of the property.

Timothy and Bridgette Smith. A distraction to a very busy intersection. It's been there far too long.

Sadarshin (ph) Mhatre, M-h-a-t-r-e. Objection. Already have an advertising sign for two years. And its adverse effect on the aesthetics of their community.

Jim Sutton for Kramer-Triad Property Management. Again, been in for two years. Takes away from the beauty of Dunhill Park community. And a safety issue for the drivers.

Thomas and Nancy Rancour, $R-a-n-c-o-u-r$. Just an objection.

Fred and Judy (ph) Timpner, T-i-m-p-n-e-r. Takes away from the natural setting of the area. Maybury State Park is directly across. And creates a traffic hazard.

Lesh and Kasan (ph) Angle, A-n-g-l-e. Terra is located on Nine Mile, not Eight Mile so the location is inappropriate. Been in place for two years. Concerned about the prime spot and setting a precedent for other builders to want to make that a billboard area. Busy intersection.

I've already read this one because it's the same name as I said before.

Jarred and Beth Reepmeyer, R-e-e-p-m-e-y-e-r. Does not fit with the appearance of our subdivision. Gives precedent to free advertisement. Does not fit the ambiance with Maybury State Park. Negatively impacts pedestrian and traffic safety.

Shirley Worth (ph) and Peter Hiltz, H-i-l-t-z. Detracts from the appearance of our property. Distraction for drivers at a busy intersection. We do not want our property to become a kiosk for advertising signs.

Anthony and Jennifer Beal, B-e-a-l. We've been forced to see this sign for over two years. It is unsightly and detracts from the appearance of our sub.

Adam Boucher, B-o-u-c-h-e-r. Visual clutter. Detracts from the appearance of the overall property. Dangerous precedent. Safety concern. Distraction from Maybury Park.

Darius and Farrell (ph) Gilvydis, G-i-l-v-y-d-i-s. Eight Mile and Beck are busy and increased accidents they feel because of this sign. Intersection is on the way to Maybury Park.

I think that's it.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: I know, Member
Montague. Thank you so much for today, you know, acting secretary. I really appreciate for that.

And it's the time for the board to speak on this case. Anybody would like to speak on this case?

MEMBER MCLEOD: Am I allowed to ask a
question?
Who owns the -- or manages the property that the sign is on? Is that managed by the homeowner's association or the city?

MR. ROTT: It's my understanding the -- it's county property.

MR. RANCOUR: I can address that? The sign is on the right-of-way. Our property line is right up as far as it can be to be on the right-of-way but right on our property line, as best as we can determine. So it's essentially as Peter was saying, the sign is actually on the inside of the public sidewalk which we installed at our costs. A five-foot wide sidewalk. It's a beautiful sidewalk. A lot of people use it. It's right on the corner. When people make that corner on the sidewalk, too, they have to go around the sign. And, you know, there might be some obstruction there.

The other point I want to mention is that we mow the grass and trim around that sign at our costs --

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay, Tom. I appreciate. Thank you so much.

Okay. Any other board member would like to speak on this case?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I sure would.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Member Montague, please go ahead, sir.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Yeah. I guess I'm afraid I feel that, yeah, two years seven months is long enough. It's wanting to become a permanent sign. And we must remember that economics is not a variance reason.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Montague.

Any other board member?
Please, Michael Longo.
MEMBER LONGO: Yeah. I agree with what was just said. An interesting thing that was presented though is for us to put if we -- if we deny, the date that that sign should come down. And since the city has said your -- Nine Mile is an issue. Nine Mile will be open by the end of the month. We could put in that the sign has to come down by February 1st, 2022.

MEMBER MONTAGUE: Is that for us to do, Larry, or is that a city enforcement thing?

MS. SAARELA: I would think that's code enforcement. They're going to look at when the permit to have it there expires and then they can enforce
after that expiration.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. That is going to take the city, I mean, commission, enforcement?

MR. BUTLER: When the permit expires or can we do that before? It's up to the board basically.

MS. OPPERMANN: It's a temporary sign. It's not issued a sign permit the way that a permanent sign would be.

MS. SAARELA: So if the variance is expired, then the code enforcement can enforce against that as soon as the variance is lapsed.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you, Beth.

Okay. You want to continue, Member Longo? Anything you'd like to speak?

MEMBER LONGO: No. That answered my question. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you very much. Anybody would like to speak on this? Mike, you want to speak? Go ahead.

MEMBER THOMPSON: Yeah. So I understand where the 18 people and everyone is coming from. Just
a side note them a little. We've been down -- we take our kids down that bike trail, okay, and that -- over the last two years. I get that they've needed some help with their sign only from the fact of there's equipment and material and stuff that's been in the way of getting in their sub. So I do understand that you've had some hard times getting that up, but I think we should give them -- if we're able to give them a slight extension, like a month or two, then I think the board should maybe put that into -- also, they've had a pretty complicated site with the equipment and material that have been in the way of getting in a subdivision that nice.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Mike.
Anybody would like to speak?
Okay. Looks like none. And it's my turn.
And, yeah. I seen this case, you know, several times. And also, on the public hearings and other people and my fellow board members so what the council and what the -- you know, talking. I don't know where it's coming. And I'm not happy on this.

Okay. Somebody can make a motion. Member Montague?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I move that we deny the variance in case number PZ21-0080, sought by Cambridge of Novi for time extension of the temporary sign.

The failure to grant relief is a mere inconvenience. Financial is not an acceptable variance reason. The variance would result in interference with the adjacent and surrounding properties. It is detrimental to the appearance of the neighborhood. And probably have some effect on safety on that corner. Granting a variance would be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance due to the intrusion on other properties.

I think two years and seven months to this date is long enough and it's in danger of becoming a permanent sign and that's not something that we want there.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Thank you. Somebody can make a second?

MEMBER LONGO: I second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you, Member Michael Longo.

And it's time for the roll call, Katherine. MS. OPPERMANN: Chairperson Peddiboyina?


And we have other matters. Officer
elections. It's chair and secretary. And I would like to continue. Somebody can make a motion on this. If anybody is interested, please let me know. Montague?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I would like to nominate Mr. Peddiboyina for continuing to be chairman.

MEMBER LONGO: I second that.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Anybody else? No?
Okay. Thank you. And secretary, who wants to be secretary?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: She's not here anymore.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: No, no. Who wants to be out of us? Do you want to continue as the secretary?

MEMBER MONTAGUE: I can, if you like. Sure.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Member Montague wants to continue. I propose.

MEMBER LONGO: I second.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you.
Anybody? Any objections? No? Thank you.
MS. OPPERMANN: Oh. Vice chair.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Vice chair. Yeah, vice chair. Who wants to be? Mike, you want to be
vice chair if $I$ am absent? You want to be vice chair?
MEMBER THOMPSON: Vice chair.

CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Okay. Go ahead.
Thank you.
I propose vice chair Mike vice chair.
MEMBER LONGO: I second.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Thank you. Okay.
And I would like to welcome our new board member. What's his name?

MR. BUTLER: Jay McLeod.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Jay McLeod. And I welcome you and thank you so much for, you know, volunteering for this board and we'll work together and I appreciate it.

Anybody have any other things, any other matters?

Seeing none. Okay. Motion is adjourned. Everybody say aye everybody.

THE BOARD: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON PEDDIBOYINA: Say no.
Okay. Motion is adjourned. Thank you.
(At 8:20 p.m., meeting adjourned.)
/s/Darlene K. May
Darlene K. May, Notary Public
Oakland County, Michigan
My commission expires: 01-13-2024

January 20, 2022
(Date)

