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# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS STAFF REPORT 

FOR: City of Novi Zoning Board of Appeals
ZONING BOARD APPEALS DATE: March 14, 2023

## REGARDING: 27225 Wixom Road, Parcel \# 50-22-18-200-026 \& 027 (PZ23-0001)

BY: Larry Butler, Deputy Director Community Development

## I. GENERAL INFORMATION:

## Applicant

Catholic Central High School

## Variance Type

Dimensional Variance
Property Characteristics
Zoning District:
This property is zoned Residential Acreage (RA) and One-Family Residential ( $\mathrm{R}-4$ )

Location: south of Twelve Mile Road, west of Wixom Road
Parcel \#:
$50-22-18-200-026 \& 50-22-18-200-027$

## Request

The applicant is requesting variances from the City of Novi Zoning Ordinance from: Section 3.1.1.E to allow the maximum height of the parking deck to be 42 feet ( 35 feet permitted, variance of 7 feet) at the stairwells and for the light poles; Section 5.3 .12 to eliminate painted end islands within the parking structure; and Section 5.7.3.A to allow the height of the field light poles of 80 feet ( 25 -feet permitted, variance of 55 feet). This property is zoned Residential Acreage (RA) and One-Family Residential (R-4).

## II. STAFF COMMENTS:

## II. RECOMMENDATION:

The Zoning Board of Appeals may take one of the following actions:

1. I move that we grant the variance in Case No. PZ23-0001, sought by
$\qquad$
difficulty requiring _.
(a) Without the variance Petitioner will be unreasonably prevented or limited with respect to use of the property because $\qquad$
(b) The property is unique because $\qquad$
$\qquad$
(c) Petitioner did not create the condition because $\qquad$
(d) The relief granted will not unreasonably interfere with adjacent or surrounding properties because
$\qquad$ .
(e) The relief if consistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance because
$\qquad$
(f) The variance granted is subject to:
$\qquad$ .
2. .
3. .
4. I move that we deny the variance in Case No. Pz23-0001, sought by for__ because Petitioner has not shown
practical difficulty requiring __
(a) The circumstances and features of the property including___ are not unique because they exist generally throughout the City.
(b) The circumstances and features of the property relating to the variance request are self-created because $\qquad$ _
$\qquad$
(c) The failure to grant relief will result in mere inconvenience or inability to attain higher economic or financial return based on Petitioners statements that
$\qquad$
(d) The variance would result in interference with the adjacent and surrounding properties by $\qquad$ .
(e) Granting the variance would be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of the ordinance to $\qquad$
Should you have any further questions with regards to the matter please feel free to contact me at (248) 347-0417.

Larry Butler - Deputy Director Community Development - City of Novi

# ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION 

## APPLICATION MUST BE FILLED OUT COMPLETELY

| 1. PROPERTY INFORMATION (Address of subject ZBA Case) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROJECTNAME/ SUBDIVIIION Catholic Central High School North Campus |  |  |  |  |
| ADDRESS 27225 Wixom Rd. No |  |  |  | LOT/SIUTE/SPACE \# |
| SIDWELL . $50-22-18$ | -230 | $-026 \text { and }-027$ |  | ain from Assessing <br> (248) 347-0485 |

## Application Fee:

$\qquad$
Meeting Date: $\qquad$
ZBA Case \#: PZ

CROSS ROADS OF PROPERTY
Wixam and Grand River

| IS THE PROPERTY WITHIN A HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION JURISDICTION? | REQUESTIS FOR: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ YES | $\square$ RO | $\square$ RESIDENTIAL $\square$ COMMERCIAL $\square$ VACANT PROPERTY $\square$ SIGNAGE | DOES YOUR APPEAL RESULT FROM A NOTICE OF VIOLATION OR CITATION ISSUED? $\square$ YES $\square$ NO

II. APPLICANT INFORMATION

| A. APPLICANT | EMAIL ADDRESS elurek@catholiccentral.net | CELL PHONE NO. 248-318-9690 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAME Edward Turek |  | TELEPHONE NO. 248-596-3899 |  |
| ORGANIZATION/COMPANY Catholic Central High School |  | FAXNO. |  |
| ADDRESS 27225 Wixom Rd. | CITY <br> Novi | STATE MI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZIP CODE } \\ & 48374 \end{aligned}$ |

B. PROPERTY OWNER CHECK HERE IF APPLICANT IS ALSO THE PROPERTY OWNER

| Identify the person or organization that <br> owns the subject property: | EMAlL. ADDRESS | CELL PHONE NO. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NAME | CITY | TELEPHONE NO. |
| ORGANIZATION/COMPANY |  | FAXNO. |
| ADDRESS | STATE CODE |  |

## III. ZONING INFORMATION

A. ZONING DISTRICT
$\square$ R-A
(7) R-1
$\square \mathrm{R}-2$
$\square$ R-3
( R-4
$\square$
RM-1
$\square$ RM-2
$\square \mathrm{MH}$
(1)-1
$\square 1-2$
$\square \mathrm{RC}$
口тс
$\square$ TC-1
(7) OTHER B-1
B. VARIANCE REQUESTED

INDICATE ORDINANCE SECTION (S) AND VARIANCE REQUESTED:

1. Section 3.1.1.E Variance requested
2. Section $5.3 .12 \quad$ Variance requested
3. Section 5.7.3.A Variance requested

Increase the height of the parking deck to a max 42 feet at the stairwells and for the light poles
Eliminate painted end islands within the parking structure
Increase the height of the field light poles to 80 feet
4. Section $\qquad$ Variance requested $\qquad$
IV. FEES AND DRAWNINGS
A. FEES
$\square$ Single Family Residential (Existing) \$200 $\square$ (With Violation) \$250 $\square$ Single Family Residential (New) \$250
$\square$ Multiple/Commercial/Industrial $\$ 300 \square$ (With Violation) $\$ 400 \square$ Signs $\$ 300 \square$ (With Violation) $\$ 400$
$\square$ House Moves $\$ 300 \square$ special Meetings (At discretion of Board) $\$ 600$
B. DRAWINGS 1-COPY \& 1 DIGITAL COPY SUBMITTED AS A PDF

- Dimensioned Drawings and Plans - Existing \& proposed distance to adjacent property lines
- Site/Plot Plan
- Existing or proposed buildings or addition on the property
- Location of existing \& proposed signs, if applicable
- Number \& location of all on-site parking, if applicable
- Floor plans \& elevations
- Number \& location of all on-site parking, if applicable. Any other information relevant to the Variance application


## ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION

## V. VARIANCE

## A. VARIANCE (S) REQUESTED

$\square$ DIMENSIONAL $\square$ USE $\square$ SIGN
There is a five-(5) hold period before work/action can be taken on variance approvals.

## B. SIGN CASES (ONLY)

Your signature on this application indicates that you agree to install a Mock-Up Sign ten-(10) days before the schedule 2BA meeting. Failure to install a mock-up sign may result in your case not being heard by the Board, postponed to the next schedule ZBA meeting, or cancelled. A mock-up sign is NOT to be actual sign. Upon approval, the mock-up sign must be removed within five-(5) days of the meeting. If the case is denied, the applicant is responsible for all costs involved in the removal of the mock-up or actual sign (if erected under violation) within five-(5) days of the meeting.

## C. ORDINANCE

## City of Novi Ordinance, Section 3107 - Miscellaneous

No order of the Board permitting the erection of a building shall be valid for a period longer than one-(1) year, unless a building permit for such erection or alteration is obtained within such period and such erection or alteration is started and proceeds to completion in accordance with the terms of such permit.

No order of the Board permitting a use of a building or premises shall be valid for a period longer than one-hundred and eighty-(180) days unless such use is establish within such a period; provided, however, where such use permitted is dependent upon the erection or alteration or a building such order shall continue in force and effect if a building permit for such erection or alteration is obtained within one-(1) year and such erection or alteration is started and proceeds to completion in accordance with the terms of such permit.
D. APPEAL THE DETERMINATION OF THE BUILDING OFFICIAL

## PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

The undersigned hereby appeals the determination of the Building Official / Inspector or Ordinance made $\square$ CONSTRUCT NEW HOME/BUILDING $\square$ ADDITION TO EXISTING HOME/BUILDING $\square$ SIGNAGE
$\square$ ACCESSORY BUILDINGUSE $\square$ OTHER $\qquad$

## VI. APPLICANT \& PROPERTY SIGNATURES



## B. PROPERTY OWNER

If the applicant is not the owner, the property owner must read and sign below:
The undersigned affirms and acknowledges that he, she or they are the owner(s) of the property described in this application, and is/are aware of the contents of this application and related enclosures.


## VII. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

## DECISION ON APPEAL:

## GRANTED

The Building Inspector is hereby directed to issue a permit to the Applicant upon the following and conditions:

Community Development Department
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375
(248) 347-0415 Phone
(248) 735-5600 Fac simile

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS VARIANCE APPUCATION CHECKUST
www.cityofnovi.org

The following items are required for a complete Variance application. Incomplete a pplic ations will be retumed.

## Signed Application Form

Complete the Zoning Board of Appeals applic ation form. Applic ation must be signed by the applic ant and the property owner (if different).
Response to Variance Review Standards - Dimensional, Use, or Sign
Select the applicable Review Standardsfor the requested Variance and complete in full. Use additional paper if needed. If you don't know which Review Standards to complete, call the Community Development Department at 248.347.0415 for guidance.
Dimensioned Site Plan (1 copy \& 1 digital copy submitted as a PDF)

- Existing or proposed buildings or additions on the property.
- Number and location of allon-site parking spaces.
- Existing and proposed distances to adjacent property lines.
- Location of existing and proposed signs, if applicable.
- Any other information relevant to the Variance application.


## Dimensioned Drawings and Plans (1 copy \& 1 digital copy submitted as a PDF)

- Floor plans and elevations with all proposed build ings and additions.
- All existing and proposed signs on the property (photographsmay be used).
- For use variances, include floor plan showing the existing and proposed layout and functions of each area.
- For multi-fa mily residential struc tures or projects, a summary showing the existing and proposed number of dwelling units by type (effic iency, one-bedroom, two-bedroom, etc.)


## Other Helpful Information - Optional (1 copy \& 1 digital copy submitted as a PDF)

- Photographs of the lot or structure that shows the special conditions or circ umstances described in the application.
- Photographsormaps that show how other properties in the area enjoy the same type of property rights related to the Variance.
- Letters of support from the neighbors who would be most affec ted by your request.

Fee (make check payable to the City of Novi)
Single Fa mily Residential (Existing) \$200 (With Violation) \$250
Single Family Residential (New) \$250
Multiple/Commerc ial/Industrial \$300 (With Violation) \$400
Signs $\$ 300$ (With Violation) $\$ 400$
House Moves $\$ 300$
Special Meetings (At disc retion of Board) $\$ 600$

## Additional Information

In the course of reviewing the application, the Planning Department staff may request additional information from the applicant.

Community Development Department
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375
(248) 347-0415 Phone
(248) 735-5600 Facsimile
www.cityofnovi.org

REVIEW STANDARDS<br>DIMENSIONAL VARIANCE

The Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) will review the application package and determine if the proposed Dimensional Variance meets the required standards for approval. In the space below, and on additional paper if necessary, explain how the proposed project meets each of the following standards. (Increased costs associated with complying with the Zoning Ordinance will not be considered a basis for granting a Dimensional Variance.)

## Standard \#1. Circumstances or Physical Conditions.

Explain the circumstances or physical conditions that apply to the property that do not apply generally to other properties in the same zoning district or in the general vicinity. Circumstances or physical conditions may include:
a. Shape of Lot. Exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of a specific property in existence on the effective date of the Zoning Ordinance or amendment. Describe below:
The shape of the property is inefficient for the proposed use.

## OR

b. Environmental Conditions. Exceptional topographic or environmental conditions or other extraordinary situations on the land, building or structure. Describe below:

Approximately $20 \%$ of the property includes regulated wetlands as a major constraint.

## OR

c. Abutting Property. The use or development of the property immediately adjacent to the subject property would prohibit the literal enforcement of the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance or would involve significant practical difficulties. Describe below:
The abutting property is unique.
Adjoining Zoning to
North: City of Wixom north of 12mile road. (RM-1 Low Density Multifamily)
East: RM-1 Low Density Multiple Family
West, RA Residential Acreage \& R4 One-Family Residential
South: R-1 One-Family Residential

Section 3.1.1.E
Increase the height of the parking deck to a maximum

## Standard \#2. Not Self-Created. <br> 42 feet at the stairwells and for the light poles.

Describe the immediate practical difficulty causing the need for the Dimensional Variance, that the need for the requested variance is not the result of actions of the property owner or previous property owners (i.e., is not self-created).

Due to limited space, a parking structure is required to meet the parking needs of the campus. The architectural features of the proposed parking structure are consistent with the development goals of the campus and the recent STEM Addition.

## Standard \#3. Strict Compliance.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance in strict compliance with regulations governing area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome.
A three level parking structure is an efficient use of land as it reduces the area of hard surface by $75 \%$. The required stairwells and light poles are not possible below the allowable height of 35 feet.

## Standard \#4. Minimum Variance Necessary.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance requested is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district.

The height variance for the stairwells allow the entire building to have architectural variety which is a characteristic of similar campuses. Aside from these three stairwells and light poles, the bulk of the parking structure will stay below the allowable height of 35 '.

## Standard \#5. Adverse Impact on Surrounding Area.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values, or the use and enjoyment of property in the neighborhood or zoning district.

The proposed building setbacks far exceed the required setbacks:
front setback: proposed $=146^{\prime} /$ minimum $=75$ ' Wixom Rd.'
rear setback $=671^{\prime} /$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$
side setback $=515^{\prime}$ (east) and 760' (west) $/$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$
The proposed building lot coverage is far below the allowable site coverage:
$5.6 \%$ proposed lot coverage vs. $25 \%$ allowable.
The parking structure is designed and has been reviewed as a building. The architectural design and materials are of the highest quality. As noted above, the height variance for the stairwells allow the entire building to have architectural variety.

## Standard \#2. Not Self-Created.

## islands within the parking structure

Describe the immediate practical difficulty causing the need for the Dimensional Variance, that the need for the requested variance is not the result of actions of the property owner or previous property owners (i.e., is not self-created).

Due to limited space, a parking structure is required to meet the parking needs of the campus. The architectural features of the proposed parking structure are consistent with the development goals of the campus and the recent STEM Addition.

## Standard \#3. Strict Compliance.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance in strict compliance with regulations governing area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome.
A three level parking structure is an efficient use of land as it reduces the area of hard surface by $75 \%$. The required stairwells and light poles are not possible below the allowable height of 35 feet.

## Standard \#4. Minimum Variance Necessary.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance requested is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district.

The height variance for the stairwells allow the entire building to have architectural variety which is a characteristic of similar campuses. Aside from these three stairwells and light poles, the bulk of the parking structure will stay below the allowable height of 35 '.

## Standard \#5. Adverse Impact on Surrounding Area.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values, or the use and enjoyment of property in the neighborhood or zoning district.

The proposed building setbacks far exceed the required setbacks:
front setback: proposed $=146^{\prime} /$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$ Wixom Rd.'
rear setback $=671^{\prime} /$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$
side setback $=515^{\prime}$ (east) and 760' (west) $/$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$
The proposed building lot coverage is far below the allowable site coverage:
$5.6 \%$ proposed lot coverage vs. $25 \%$ allowable.
The parking structure is designed and has been reviewed as a building. The architectural design and materials are of the highest quality. As noted above, the height variance for the stairwells allow the entire building to have architectural variety.

## Standard \#2. Not Self-Created.

Describe the immediate practical difficulty causing the need for the Dimensional Variance, that the need for the requested variance is not the result of actions of the property owner or previous property owners (i.e., is not self-created).

Due to limited space, a parking structure is required to meet the parking needs of the campus. The architectural features of the proposed parking structure are consistent with the development goals of the campus and the recent STEM Addition.

## Standard \#3. Strict Compliance.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance in strict compliance with regulations governing area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome.

A three level parking structure is an efficient use of land as it reduces the area of hard surface by $75 \%$. The required stairwells and light poles are not possible below the allowable height of 35 feet.

## Standard \#4. Minimum Variance Necessary.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance requested is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district.

The height variance for the stairwells allow the entire building to have architectural variety which is a characteristic of similar campuses. Aside from these three stairwells and light poles, the bulk of the parking structure will stay below the allowable height of 35 '.

## Standard \#5. Adverse Impact on Surrounding Area.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values, or the use and enjoyment of property in the neighborhood or zoning district.

The proposed building setbacks far exceed the required setbacks:
front setback: proposed $=146^{\prime} /$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$ Wixom Rd.'
rear setback $=671^{\prime} /$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$
side setback $=515^{\prime}$ (east) and 760' (west) $/$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$
The proposed building lot coverage is far below the allowable site coverage:
$5.6 \%$ proposed lot coverage vs. $25 \%$ allowable.
The parking structure is designed and has been reviewed as a building. The architectural design and materials are of the highest quality. As noted above, the height variance for the stairwells allow the entire building to have architectural variety.

## Attachments for Section 3.1.1.E Variance Request
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North Campus Athletics

27225 Wixon Rd.
Novi, M1 48374 Key Pan
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## Attachments for Section 5.3.12 Variance Request

## RICH\&ASSOCIATES

PARKING CONSULTANTS
December 21, 2022
Lindsay Bell - Senior Planner AICP
City of Novi
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, Michigan 48375
Re: Catholic Central North Campus- Parking Structure Novi Planning Review Center Report (JSP 22-37)
End Islands (Sec. 3.3.12)

## Lindsay

This letter is in specific reference to the need for painted end islands along cross aisles in the referenced parking structure. In Rich \& Associates' professional opinion, we do not believe that the islands should be required inside of the proposed structure based on the following comments:

1. Rich \& Associates has not in the last 30 years been required by any municipality to add end striping islands inside of parking structures. We have added landscaped islands in surface lots. This requirement is typically considered an undo financial burden by most since the cost per sq. ft . of structured parking is significantly higher than surface parking.
2. Parking Industry associations such as National Parking Association (NPA) and International Parking \& Mobility Institute (IPMI) make no reference to the need for including end island striping in their recommended design standards for parking structures.
3. Rich \& Associates' standard is to make end cross aisles in 90 degree two way traffic a minimum of $26^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}\left(26^{\prime}-88^{\prime \prime}\right.$ provided on current plans). We believe this is a more effective way to minimize vehicular conflicts at the cross aisles in a parking structure.


The nation's foremost parking consultants, planning \& designing great places to park since 1963

Lindsay Bell - Senior Planner AICP
December 21, 2022
Page 2
4. End striping islands will not stop people from parking in the spots so a wider cross aisle we believe is a better solution.
5. In the case of this parking structure, this requirement will result in the loss of 20 to 24 spaces. These spaces are desperately needed as the other building programs at this campus are creating a greater need for additional parking while at the same time reducing the count of existing spaces.

Should you have any questions about the information provided, please do not hesitate to contact me at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

RICH \& ASSOCIATES, INC.
PARKING CONSULTANTS


Matthew J. Jobin R.A.
Senior Project Manager/Associate
cc: Andy Wozniak - Zeimet Wozniak \& Associates
Bruce Snyder - Integrated Design Solutions
Rick Kinnell - Rich \& Associates

## Parking Structure at ITC Headquarters

No painted end islands




## Attachments for Section 5.7.3.A Variance Request




## Pole Height

Pole height impacts aiming angles, which directly affect the evenness of light distribution across the field and the potential for spill light pollution. Normally, taller poles allow fixtures to be aimed more directly down onto the playing surface, reducing the amount of light spilling into unwanted areas. In some cases, city ordinances or other factors require the use of shorter poles, a challenge that experienced manufacturers can typically resolve with customizations like additional poles or creative aiming strategies to achieve your lighting goals on and off the field.


## Pole Distance

The optimal height of the poles needed for your lighting system and resulting project cost is also affected by their distance from the playing surface. Structures such as bleachers and buildings will impact pole location and resulting distance from the field. Future expansions or other facility plans should be discussed with your lighting manufacturer.


Musco's expert project managers and engineers will work with you to design the ideal lighting system for your specific needs.

## 5. Why should I be concerned with spill light and glare?

The ability to effectively control spill light and glare is critical for a number of reasons.

## Spill Light is Wasted Energy

Fixtures with poor light control waste light by allowing it to go off the field into neighborhood spill and sky glow. Proper light control redirects wasted spill light back onto the playing surface. Efficient fixture and system design, along with application expertise, will reduce the number of fixtures needed to get useful light onto the field. This can also significantly cut the energy consumption and carbon footprint at your facility.


Planning a retrofit in which new LEDs are swapped in for old fixtures on a 1:1 basis can lead to serious problems with glare and spill if the fixture is not properly designed. Since LED involves hundreds of tiny light sources instead of one large one, effectively controlling the light being emitted is more challenging.

## Impact on Players and Fans

Due to the intensity of the LED light source, increased measures should be taken to provide optic controls that minimize glare. Poorly designed fixtures create excessive glare, making it difficult for fans to follow the action and for players to follow the ball, creating the possibility for injury. Players competing on multi-field complexes can also be affected by glare from adjacent fields.

## Impact on Neighbors

Neighboring homes and businesses can be significantly impacted if your lights create glare and/or spill that disrupt


Glare impacts players


Musco gets the glare out of the players' eyes their evening hours. Some schools and organizations have even been forced to leave their lights off by homeowners associations and municipalities until they resolve problems with glare and spill.
There's a growing concern for wasting energy and for limiting the impact of light pollution. Many communities are enacting environmental light pollution ordinances to keep wasted light from impacting roadways, astronomical research facilities, and nearby wildlife habitats.

## Community Growth

Even if there aren't currently homes in the immediate area around your facility, that could change. Communities often grow around sports facilities, and your lighting system should last 20 years or more. By minimizing spill light and glare now, you'll ensure happy neighbors when they do arrive and receive fewer complaints in the future.


Musco has been evolving its advanced glare and spill control technology for over four decades, and has nearly two dozen patents focused on better light control.

Novi High School Novi, Michigan, USA

Request Information

> Variance request is for the same light system that was installed at Novi High School


## Novi High School Achieves Lighting Goals with LED System Designed Specifically to Meet Its Structural Needs

With the existing HID lighting at Novi High School's football stadium outdated and consuming far too much energy, administrators decided to upgrade to a more efficient and versatile LED system. They also needed a solution that would include new poles for most of the lighting, while using an existing mounting structure for the rest. Having partnered together on several past projects, Novi again turned to Musco and its Total Light Control—TLC for LED ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ technology for a customized, hybrid system that would adapt to this unique architectural setting, improve energy efficiency, simplify facility management with easy-to-use controls, and create a more memorable experience for fans and athletes.

- Energy consumption is reduced by $\mathbf{7 4}$ percent compared to the previous metal halide equipment, resulting in a more energyefficient operation.
- Staff can control the lights instantly, from anywhere, with a smartphone, avoiding the need to go to the stadium late at night to manually turn them off.
- The school gains proactive $\mathbf{2 4 / 7}$ scheduling and monitoring support from the Control-Link ${ }^{\circledR}$ system, ensuring reliable performance and necessary light levels.
- The school won't pay maintenance costs through the year 2044 as a result of Musco's long-term warranty covering every part and all labor.
- Fans and players will enjoy superior visibility with the system's custom optics designed around the LEDs and Musco's unique BallTracker® technology, achieving greater on-field light uniformity.

> Benefits of the proposed lighting system which requires 80' high poles.

## TLC for LED® - Total Light Control



For nearly a decade, Musco has been testing the Light Emitting Diode (LED) light source and applying it on projects in which it was the best option. While LED saved energy, for a typical recreational facility the hours of operation weren't great enough to offset the higher


Section 3.1.1.E
Increase the height of the parking deck to a maximum

## Standard \#2. Not Self-Created. <br> 42 feet at the stairwells and for the light poles.

Describe the immediate practical difficulty causing the need for the Dimensional Variance, that the need for the requested variance is not the result of actions of the property owner or previous property owners (i.e., is not self-created).

Due to limited space, a parking structure is required to meet the parking needs of the campus. The architectural features of the proposed parking structure are consistent with the development goals of the campus and the recent STEM Addition.

## Standard \#3. Strict Compliance.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance in strict compliance with regulations governing area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome.
A three level parking structure is an efficient use of land as it reduces the area of hard surface by $75 \%$. The required stairwells and light poles are not possible below the allowable height of 35 feet.

## Standard \#4. Minimum Variance Necessary.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance requested is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district.

The height variance for the stairwells allow the entire building to have architectural variety which is a characteristic of similar campuses. Aside from these three stairwells and light poles, the bulk of the parking structure will stay below the allowable height of 35 '.

## Standard \#5. Adverse Impact on Surrounding Area.

Explain how the Dimensional Variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values, or the use and enjoyment of property in the neighborhood or zoning district.

The proposed building setbacks far exceed the required setbacks:
front setback: proposed $=146^{\prime} /$ minimum $=75$ ' Wixom Rd.'
rear setback $=671^{\prime} /$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$
side setback $=515^{\prime}$ (east) and 760' (west) $/$ minimum $=75^{\prime}$
The proposed building lot coverage is far below the allowable site coverage:
$5.6 \%$ proposed lot coverage vs. $25 \%$ allowable.
The parking structure is designed and has been reviewed as a building. The architectural design and materials are of the highest quality. As noted above, the height variance for the stairwells allow the entire building to have architectural variety.
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| Project Tile |
|  |
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## Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck

1 message
Idhome [ldhome@ameritech.net](mailto:ldhome@ameritech.net)
Thu, Jan 5, 2023 at 2:03 PM
To: Lbell@cityofnovi.org

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375

City of Novi Planning Commission:
I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Name: Jerry and Lolita Brocks
Address: 24971 Samoset court
Novi, MI 48374

Best,
Jerry and Lolita Brocks
Sent via the Samsung Galaxy Note20 Ultra 5G, an AT\&T 5G smartphone

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375

| Re: | Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck |
| :--- | :--- |
| STEM Addition |  |
| JSP 22-37 |  |

Via: Ibell@cityofnovi.org

JSP 22-37

City of Novi Planning Commission:
I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Monish and Roshnee Verma
50976 Drakes Bay Drive
Novi, MI 48374

## CC Support letter for expansion

1 message
Lora Leneschmidt [lleneschmidt@yahoo.com](mailto:lleneschmidt@yahoo.com)
Tue, Jan 10, 2023 at 4:10 PM
To: "lbell@cityofnovi.org" [|bell@cityofnovi.org](mailto:%7Cbell@cityofnovi.org)
Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375

Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck Via: Ibell@cityofnovi.org STEM Addition

JSP 22-37
City of Novi Planning Commission:
I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

## Sincerely,

Lora and James Leneschmidt
47749 Aberdeen Drive
Novi, MI 48374
248-946-4078

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Nevi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375
Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck STEM Addition
JSP 22-37
Via: Ibell@cityofnovi.org

City of Novi Planning Commission:
I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Name: Sure BScuedta
adders: 25868 Shoreline Dr.
Novi, MI 48374

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375
Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking
Deck Via: lbell@cityofnovi.org
STEM Addition
JSP 22-37

City of Novi Planning Commission:
I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.
Sincerely,
Name:


Address: 45299 COUTVIEW TP:
NovFi kI 48375

## Athletic fields support letter

2 messages
Vincent Lee [vince@smprate.com](mailto:vince@smprate.com)
Mon, Jan 9, 2023 at 1:39 PM
To: Angela Hill [ahill@catholiccentral.net](mailto:ahill@catholiccentral.net)
Cc: Cecilia Morelli [cmorell@smprate.com](mailto:cmorell@smprate.com)

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375

Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck
Via: lbell@cityofnovi.org
STEM Addition
JSP 22-37

City of Novi Planning Commission:
I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Name: Christine Lee
Address: 25603 Shore Line Drive
Novi, MI 48374

## CC Support letter for expansion

1 message
Lora Leneschmidt [lleneschmidt@yahoo.com](mailto:lleneschmidt@yahoo.com)
Tue, Jan 10, 2023 at 4:10 PM
To: "lbell@cityofnovi.org" [|bell@cityofnovi.org](mailto:%7Cbell@cityofnovi.org)
Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375
Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck Via: Ibell@cityofnovi.org STEM Addition JSP 22-37

City of Novi Planning Commission:
I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Lora and James Leneschmidt
47749 Aberdeen Drive
Novi, MI 48374
248-946-4078

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375

Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck
Via: lbell@cityofnovi.org STEM Addition
JSP 22-37

## City of Novi Planning Commission:

I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Name: Plancelte- Agnation
Address: 25868 Shoreline Dr.

$$
\text { Nevi, mI } 48374
$$

## Re:Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck

3 messages
Marie Cregar [mecregar@yahoo.com](mailto:mecregar@yahoo.com)
Mon, Dec 19, 2022 at 9:35 PM
To: Ibell@cityofnovi.org

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner

City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375
Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck
Via: Ibell@cityofnovi.
org
STEM Addition
JSP 22-37

## City of Novi Planning Commission:

We are residents of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. We have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. We support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. We believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. We ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Christopher and Marie Cregar
24803 Reeds Pointe Drive
Novi, MI 48374

Sent from my iPhone

## Catholic Central Projects

3 messages
Chuck Childress [child1776@gmail.com](mailto:child1776@gmail.com)
Thu, Dec 29, 2022 at 2:15 PM
To: Ibell@cityofnovi.org

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375

Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck
STEM Addition
JSP 22-37

Via: Ibell@cityofnovi.org

City of Novi Planning Commission:
I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Name: $\qquad$ Charles Childress $\qquad$
Address: $\qquad$ 25924 Island Lake Dr $\qquad$ Novi, 48374

Chuck Childress [child1776@gmail.com](mailto:child1776@gmail.com)
To: Angela Hill [ahill@catholiccentral.net](mailto:ahill@catholiccentral.net)
This what I sent
Sent from my iPhone
Begin forwarded message:

From: Chuck Childress [child1776@gmail.com](mailto:child1776@gmail.com)
Date: December 29, 2022 at 2:16:01 PM EST
To: Lbell@cityofnovi.org
Subject: Catholic Central Projects

## Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck

1 message
josephdulzo [josephdulzo@aol.com](mailto:josephdulzo@aol.com)
Thu, Jan 5, 2023 at 1:57 PM
Reply-To: josephdulzo [josephdulzo@aol.com](mailto:josephdulzo@aol.com)
To: "Lbell@cityofnovi.org" [Lbell@cityofnovi.org](mailto:Lbell@cityofnovi.org)
Ms, Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375
Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck STEM Addition
JSP 22-37
City of Novi Planning Commission:
I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Joe Dulzo
42786 Sandstone Dr
Novi, MI 48377

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375

Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck STEM Addition

Via: Ibell@cityofnovi.org
JSP 22-37

## City of Novi Planning Commission:

I am a resident of the City of Novi and live close to Catholic Central High School. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,
Name: Milhoestuntors
Address:


Dui 48394

## Letter of support for CC Addition - JSP 22-37

2 messages
Beth [Beth@signarama-novi.com](mailto:Beth@signarama-novi.com)
To: "lbell@cityofnovi.org" [lbell@cityofnovi.org](mailto:lbell@cityofnovi.org)
Cc: Angela Hill [ahill@catholiccentral.net](mailto:ahill@catholiccentral.net)

Also attached.

Ms. Lindsay Bell, Senior Planner
City of Novi Planning Commission
45175 Ten Mile Road
Novi, MI 48375

Re: Catholic Central Athletic Fields and Parking Deck
STEM Addition
JSP 22-37

## City of Novi Planning Commission:

I am a business owner, and our business, Signarama of Novi, is just North of CC on Grand Reiver. I have reviewed the plans for the Athletic Fields and Parking Deck proposed by Catholic Central High School. I support this project and believe that the location of the fields, architectural design and height of the parking deck and press box are appropriate. I believe that continued improvements to the Catholic Central campus are a benefit not only to the students and faculty but to the entire Novi community. I ask that the Planning Commission approve this project.

Sincerely,

## Beth Powers

Name: Beth Powers, Owner, Signarama of Novi
Address: 49677 Grand River

## Beth Powers

Signarama Novi and Flint
Check out our Catalog - https://docs.signarama.com/catalog/
Phone: 248-924-3324 x 104 - Mobile: 248-472-7620

TO: CITY OF NOV
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
45175 TEN MILE ROAD
NOV, MI 48375
Please note my comments to:
27225 Wixom Road, Parcel \# 50-22-18-200-026 \& 50-22-18-200-027 (PZ23-0001)
Please note my: (Approval) Objection y to the requested variance.
Comments:
 att permitted height. The light from these poles will come light pollution and disturbance to the houses in the neighbourhood.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## (PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY)

Name: $\qquad$
Address: 49669 HARTWICK UR NOV M148374
Date: $2-22-23$

Anonymous comments will not be considered.

Zoning Board of Appeals meetings are broadcast live on Spectrum channel 13-1 and AT\&T U-verse channel 99. They are also streamed live on the City's website at cityofnovi.org.

