

#### ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

#### CITY OF NOVI

Community Development Department (248) 347-0415

### Case No. PZ13-0028 40399 Grand River (Kroger Office)

Location: 40399 Grand River

#### Zoning District: I-1, Light Industrial District

The applicant is requesting a variance from the CITY OF NOVI, CODE OF ORDINANCES, Section 2400 to allow location of an accessory structure (pavilion) 48 ft. within the required minimum 100 ft. rear yard setback. The property is located west of Haggerty Road and south of Grand River Avenue.

#### Ordinance Sections:

CITY OF NOVI, CODE OF ORDINANCES, Section 2400 requires that structures within the I-1 zoning district have a minimum rear yard setback of 100 feet.

#### City of Novi Staff Comments:

The petitioner is proposing to install an open picnic style gazebo in the rear yard of an existing commercial building. The structure was initially proposed to be constructed between the south side of the existing building and the parking access drive. A utility easement prevents this location. The current request is within an area recessed within the existing landscaped berm along the south side of the side of the site. Retaining walls would allow the elevation of the berm to remain consistent and would protect the adjacent homes to some degree.

#### Standards for Granting a Dimensional Variance:

A variance may be granted if a practical difficulty exists due to all of the following:

	difficulty because
	The need is not self-created
	because,
•	Strict compliance with regulations governing area, setback, frontage, height, bulk, density or other dimensional requirements will unreasonably prevent the property owner from using the property for a permitted purpose, or will render conformity with those regulations unnecessarily burdensome because
•	The requested variance is the minimum variance necessary to do substantial justice to the applicant as well as to other property owners in the district because

 The requested variance will not cause an adverse impact on surrounding property, property values or the use and enjoyment of the property in the neighborhood or zoning district because



## ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

## CITY OF NOVI

# Community Development Department (248) 347-0415

For Official Use Only

	ayment with cash or check written to "			
	O BE COMPLETED BY APPLICA signed application and 13 copies of		-7	relevant to the appeal,
Applicant's Name _Jeffery /	A Scott Architects		Date_ 05/2	23/2013
Company (if applicable)Jeffe	ery A Scott Architects (attn: M	att Andrus)		
*Where all case correspondence is			_ST_MI_	ZIP_48336
Applicant's E-mail Address:	mandrus@jscottarchitects.co	om		
Phone Number ( ) (248)	476-8800 F	AX Number ( )	(248) 47	6-8833
Request is for: Residential Construction	(New/ Existing) Vacant F	roperty XC	ommercial _	Signage
1 Address of subject ZBA ca	se: 40399 Grand River Ave.			ZIP_48375
2. Sidwell Number: 5022 - 24	1327-009 ma	y be obtained from Ass	sessing Depart	ment (248) 347-0485
3. Is the property within a Ho	meowner's Association jurisdiction	? Yes No X		
4. Zoning: RAR-1R-2	R-3 R-4 RT RM-1 RM-2	. Пмн Грs-1 [	_ps-2 _ps	SC DST <u>I-1</u> OTHE
5. Property Owner Name (if o	ther than applicant) The Kroge	r Company		
6. Does your appeal result fro	om a Notice of Violation or Citation	Issued? Tyes	s XNo	
7. Indicate ordinance section	(s) and variances requested:			
1 Section 2400	Variance requested Pavilio	n to extend 48'	' into requi	red 100' setback
2. Section	Variance requested			
3. Section	Variance requested			
4 Section	Variance requested			
8. Please submit an accurate	, scaled drawing of the property sh	owing:		
a. All property lines and dim     b. The location and dimensi     c. Any roads, easements, di	ensions correlated with the legal desc ons of all existing and proposed struct ains, or waterways which traverse or a show compliance with the regulations	iption. Ires and uses on probut the property and		and setback

10. Describe any unique circumstances regarding the property (i.e., shape, topog to other properties in the area and which prevent strict compliance with the 2	
See attached	
ooc allaanida	
SIGN CASES ONLY:	
our signature on this application indicates that you agree to install a Mock-Up Sign ten	(10) days before the scheduled ZBA
neeting.	
Failure to install a mock-up sign may result in your case not being heard by the Board, ponceting, or cancelled. A mock-up sign is NOT to be the actual sign. Upon approval, the	mock-up sign must be removed with
ive (5) days of the meeting. If the case is denied, the applicant is responsible for all cost	ts involved in the removal of the moc
up or actual sign (if erected under violation) within five (5) days of the meeting.	
variance approval is void if permit not obtained within one hundred eighty (180) da	ays of date of decision.
There is a five (5) day hold period before work/action can be taken on variance approvals All property owners' within 300 feet of ZBA property address will be notified of the ZBA c	
	1
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE.	
The undersigned hereby appeals the determination of the Building Official/Inspe	ector or Ordinance Officer made
Construct New Home/Building Addition to Existing Home/Building	Table 1
Construct New Home/Building	X Accessory Building
	X Accessory Building
Use Signage Other	X Accessory Building
Use Signage Other	5-23-13
Use Signage Other	
	5-23-13
Use Signage Other	5-23-13
UseSignageOther	5-23-13 Date
UseSignageOther	5-23-13 Date
UseSignageOther  Applicants Signature  Property Owners Signature	5-23-13 Date
UseSignageOther  Applicant's Signature  Property Owners Signature  DECISION ON APPEAL	5-23-13 Date  Date  Applicant Beard
UseSignageOther  Applicant's Signature  Property Owners Signature  DECISION ON APPEAL  Granted Denied Postponed by Request of A	5-23-13 Date  Date  Applicant Beard





#### architects · engineers

TO:

Zoning Board of Appeals

City of Novi

FROM:

Jeffery A Scott AIA

Jeffery A. Scott Architects

Matt Andrus

Jeffery A. Scott Architects

DATE:

Thursday, May 23, 2012

RE:

Michigan Division: Kroger Office Pavilion

40399 Grand River Ave. Novi, Michigan 48375

JSA job#

1146.2

CC:

File.

## 9. State the practical difficulties which prevent conformance with the Zoning Ordinance requirements:

All

This property is currently zoned I-1, light industrial. The current use of the property is office. When the current owner purchased the property, the previous owner also used the property as offices. The use does not reflect the current zoning of the property. This in turn requires the property owner to abide by a set of zoning requirements that were meant for more intense industrial uses and not office uses.

The proposed pavilion that would encroach in the 100' rear yard setback is not a building or structure that would have a use similar to that of an industrial use. It is merely a covered area outdoors for the employees of the business to be able to go and enjoy their surroundings. It is a place to relax and eat lunch or to have a possible social gathering. There is an existing beautiful landscape buffer between the existing building and the residential area that provides an element of nature for the employees to take in and enjoy.

The original intent was to locate the proposed pavilion along the rear exterior of the building, 20' from the south exterior wall of the existing building. However, due to an existing water main easement that is in the exact location of the originally proposed pavilion, the new proposed pavilion location had to be changed to what is shown on the plans. The intent is to not affect any existing landscape and to keep the existing landscape buffer between this office business and the adjacent residencies.

10. Describe any unique circumstances regarding the property (i.e., shape, topography, etc.) which are not common to other properties in the area and which prevent strict compliance with the Zoning Ordinance:

The property directly adjacent to this property to the west is zoned NCC. Their existing use is similar to this property's use yet they are only required to maintain a 20' rear yard setback abutting the R-4 residential district. The proposed pavilion still provides for an 52' rear yard setback which is much greater than the adjacent property's setback. The existing landscape buffer will still provide a buffer between this office business and the adjacent residencies.

END OF MEMORANDUM

